f a want of inl,—and of aputhors without , that of wilias been satisre to say that and instructive rge of plagiaroulosity in givom he has deriking features ond edition of ole before us: ients, and we not one upon e of any thing agiarism,—not n, it is not aniere the anonythat it cannot elf. Even the ainst him by rm an excep-

rill be disposed rations which, e whole truth troduced into form the great he himself deattered limbs. as of travels ooth, into one slocated memnd by the help noon, or right articular narn accomplishs used rather be admitted; nstances of alh the biogras are first accurately given in the original language,—a mode of proceeding which completely establishes the honesty of his intentions. It is vain to accuse of fraudulent interpolation a writer who first warns the reader that he must be prepared to find some of the translations of the documents, which he has presented, partially transposed, and corrected by the help of geography and chronology, and who carefully quotes, in the same page, the passages in the original, before he presents them in the shape which he believes consistent with truth. If this be fraud, it possesses a very singular character—it is fraud which courts detection—it is forgery, studiously placing in the hands of all readers the documents by which its iniquity may be traced and established.

With regard to the attack made upon this writer for his ignorance and mistranslation, the author of the Life of Cabot, we think, in considering Hakluyt's voluminous labours, consisting of three folio volumes, might have been disposed to pardon a few inaccuracies of this na-They will insinuate themselves, however anxious we may be to avoid them, into all human productions; and he who has spent most time in works requiring multifarious research, and the painful examination of ancient authorities, will be the most disposed to view with lenient eyes the errors of such as are similarly occupied. If the biographer will turn to his own Memoir (p. 240), he will find in Pasquiligi's description of the captives the following sentence: "Hanno signata la faza in modo de Indiani: chi da vi., chi da viii., chi da manco segni." Their faces they have punctured like Indians', some with six, some with eight, some  $w_{\ell}$ 's fewer marks. In the Memoir, it is thus translated, "They puncture the face, like the Indians, exhibiting six, eight, or even more marks." Do not let the biographer imagine that we have quoted this erroneous version of a very simple passage with the view of accusing him of ignorance, or that there is the slightest intention of insinuating, that he did not know that the four words "chi da manco segni" meant "some with fewer marks." The oversight is pointed out as an example of the occasional mistranslations or mistakes into which, with every desire to be accurate, even a well-informed writer will occasionally fall, that he may be inclined to extend to the labours of others that