

of a wise and virtuous heart, and therefore blends capacity for general inquiry with fairness of induction. *These persons go for nothing if the facts are proved true.*

Having ascertained the moral test, let us next attend to the application of it.

Now the *extent* of your criminal allegations, is truly serious and alarming; yet the whole bitterness of your invective is directed *only* against the Cardinal Alberoni. We know from the very constitution of our Spanish Courts, that the venerable Cardinal did not preside as an arbitrary Judge. *and then will*

Putting out of our consideration the implied conclusion of the Grand Inquest, it is to be observed that a Petty Jury selected under the right of challenge, and solemnly impannelled in the face of *in court*

God and man, interposed between the Prisoners and the Judge. The sentence pronounced by him, was the inevitable result of their verdict; and therefore what he did in pursuance of it, cannot possibly raise against him a heavier charge, than may upon the same principle and with as much reason be alleged against the executioner. The Judgment was grounded on the verdict; and the verdict was rendered by an *undissenting Jury*: yet not one syllable do you address to them, who might with greater probability listen to your animadversion and profit by your advice, were they