

With reference to the attached Memoranda, I asked Major Haywood of the Montreal General Hospital to come and discuss the matter further with me. We met on Thursday morning of the 12th of August in my office, Dr. Adams also being present, with the following result:

Major Haywood stated that he came as the representative of the Board of Governors of the Montreal General Hospital, and of the Medical Board of that Hospital as well. He agreed with the principle that has been suggested, and acknowledged that the application of such a principle should be conducive of good results to the hospitals and to this University. He pointed out, though, that were the Medical Board mentioned in the attached Memoranda to be constituted as set forth, it would result in the Medical representatives of the Montreal General Hospital being Drs. Finley and Hutchinson. He stated that the Medical Board of the Montreal General Hospital did not desire to be represented by Dr. Hutchinson; nor would the Board of Governors of the Hospital sanction the appointment of Dr. Hutchinson. It was pointed out to him that the representatives of the Montreal General Hospital, according to the terms of the plan outlined, might be Drs. Finley and Laflour. He said that these two names would not be satisfactory, and he suggested that the clause which refers to the two members of the Medical Board, reading as follows:

"Two members of the Medical Board of Hospital, who shall also be members of the Faculty of Medicine of McGill University"

should be changed to read,-

Two members of the Medical Board of Hospital, who shall also be members of the Teaching Staff of McGill University"

This suggestion was much debated by us, and for a time I thought it might be accepted. On further consideration I am of the opinion that the clause should stand as originally drafted, for the following reasons:

- (1) If a principle is right it should be adopted irrespective of any persons involved thereby.