

then you are in the way of putting into operation many of the things contained in the Report. Most of them are very good but some of them have certain controversial factors. That, in general, is my layout.

DEAN O'NEILL: May I ask, in regard to organizations of the Protestant Committee, do you understand from COLONEL BOVEY: that I think that is a very clear statement of your position, or that they have it for

rural districts or for Greater Montreal.

PROF. LOCKHART: If there are any questions I can answer, I should be glad to do so, I don't want to in take up your time. I imagine that the Montreal District

would be practically autonomous, with perhaps general THE PRINCIPAL: Dealing now with that part which must oversight and control by the Protestant Committee. be implemented by legislation, have you any serious criticisms against re-organization? so far as the

recommendations and conclusions are concerned, there PROF. LOCKHART: I don't know of any serious criticisms, is a clear enough definition of the powers of the but I think the Committee on the whole is a bit too Greater Montreal District, in relation to the powers large; I would like to see it smaller, of the Protestant Committee.

There is another thing. I don't like to see, for instance, clergymen made members of the Committee merely because they are clergymen. I have

no objection to having them on the committee, but to

THE PRINCIPAL: The definition of the powers, between me, they should have other qualifications. these two respective parties, is somewhat nebulous?

THE PRINCIPAL: Might I ask, did you appear before the

PROF. LOCKHART: Yes.

Survey Committee?

THE PRINCIPAL: Carrying that further, is it your view

PROF. LOCKHART: Yes, I appeared. I was in charge that the definition of the powers of the Department of of the brief prepared by the Protestant Associations Education and of the Protestant Committee and of the