isting. It took its rise in the fifth cen- you preach a distinction of nature in restury. Nestarius, from whom it derived pect to the divinity and humanity, and a its name, was a native of Syria, and was conjunction of them in one person."made bishop of Constantinople, a.d. 428. And to another prelate he said, "of the Having warmly opposed the Apollinarian two natures thers is one authority, one heresy, which lost sight of the distinction virtue, one power, and one person, acbetween the divine and human natures of cording to one dignity." The opportuChrist, and represented his divinity as oc-- nity, however, for humbling the occucupying the place and performing the pant of the zec of Constantinople which functions of a human soul, and having had begun to eclipse its patriarchates was espoused the views of those who, main- too good to be lost; and, accordingly, taining that the divine nature of Christ insufficient as were the grounds for prowas not confounded nor blended with his humanity, scrupled to apply to the Virgin Mary the epishet of Mother of God, he provoked the jealousy and opposition of the ambitious and turbulent Cyril, bishop of Alexandria, at whose instigation he was arraigned for heresy. It is not, perhaps, to be questioned, that Nestorius was chargeable with speculating too boldly upon these mysterious themes, in regard to which the human mind must be content to know the fact as revealed by inspiration, without inquiring as to the manner of the fact. Still, for ought that appears, there $1 s$ reason for believing that his views were correct in the main: and the motive by which he seems to have been actuated was entitled to the highest commendation, --a wish, namely, to check the growing superstition of the age, and to prevent idulatrous homare from being offered to a departed mortal. The truth is, he did not even plead guilty to the charge of being unwilliug to apply the above title to the Virgin, if it was properly understood. He said, "I have often declared that, if one more simple among you, or any others, is pleased with this word, I have no objection to it, so be that he make not the Virgin God," And with reference to the other charge which was brought against him, of holding that there were not only two natures, but two persons in Christ, (though even this language might possibly have been used in a sense which would not have implied any serious heresy), he distinctly denied it: and he continued to du so to (from the end of his life. To Cyril, his ene-have imbibed his opinions), participated $m y$, he wrote thus:-"I approve that|generally in his views; and afler his

