## the Gateway

## EDITORIAL

## And now, here's...

## Bun surgin mimm

On the whole, I'd rather be in Philadelphia.
W.C. Fields

Writing an introduction could be viewed by some as something of a chore and a dangerous one at that. When first asked by the out-going editor to write the intro, I balked. I did not want to say something that would land me in hot water with any one of next year's staff. After all, I have to work with
these people. But then a friend asked me a rhetorical question these people. But then a friend asked me a rhetorical question
that convinced me otherwise, he said; "what could you possibly that convinced me otherwise, he said, "what could you possibly
say about these people, being totally hpnest, that would get you say about these
into trouble?"

I decided he was right. So, for better or worse, here is the intro.

The news department next year will be assumed by two news editors - Alison Annesley and Richard Watts. Alison has hard work and willingness to learn are great. She posseses fine writing skills, and should, as a woman, provide a different perspective on some issues on campus than did the two male editors this year. Richard, who also happens to be my brother, is again a fine writer and if he can stay motivated, straight and sober for eight months he should provide next year's readers with some excellent reporting.

In managing, moving over from Arts, is Jens Andersen. I'm sure most people are familiar with Jens writing. He happens to be one of the best on staff. Jens provides the wants. I'm bloody thankful he'll be around next year, the paper wants. not do without him.

Taking over from Jens in Arts is Dave Cox. Dave's background in English literature should provide the base for an His views on modern art may be somewhat refreshing next year than were those of Jens Andersen's for many this year.

In sports, taking the reins from myself, is Brent Jang. Brent has previous newspaper experience and has already section perhaps more eagerly than any other in the paper.

Last, but certainly not least, as they say, is Ray Giguere in photo. It will be Ray's second full year as photo editor for the
paier. तis quality work has already earned him a city wide reputation and a place in the sun (so to speak). Ray gives the Gateway impeccable prints everytime and he takes the worry of the department away from anyone else, including and especially me,

So there you have it, next year's staff: I look forward to working with them all more closely than this year. These people should provide excellent copy for my laudatorial at the
 end of next year's Gateway. I'm sure it will be a pleasure to write and one which should certainly prove to be anything but a chore.

Andrew Watts

## Lauditorium

Last year's editor used to get bugged about a mysteriously receding hairline - a problem of some concern to him because the
more we bugged him the worse his problem: in Orwell's famous terms, the effect became the cause, thus producing an intensified effect and so on....
Happily,

Happily, in the past eight months, 51 issues, pages in all behind us, my own scalp is more or less intact - the vicious
Orwellian circle was never allowed to close in on us - and that is a testament to a remarkably cooperative, or at least tolerant staff with whom it has been mostly a pleasure to work

You would not believe me if I said after eight months, 40 to 50 hours each week, isolated in a two-room operation for 18 hour
stretches at a time putting out a paper that we all kept thinking could be so much better if we all worked just a little harder - that it all could have been an unmixed experience.

Perhaps it would have been pleasant if we had been all of one mind and sentiment in all things, but that is not the sort of result one eve
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lacking, in three years with the Gateway, this have ended up doing just that, and the result year's staff has capitalized better on its potentia stock of greatness than any of the people I've orked

We may also have had, I think, unprecedented peace among ourselves and with others. We spent more time together without
desther, whether it was at play or at parties or simply unwinding in RATT; we ended press nights earlier than ever before, and - I might as well come right out and say it we produced more innovative, bold issues, and particularly front covers, than in the recent past;
we had the first-ever Cartoon Issue, the various we had the first-ever Cartoon Issue, the various $20^{\prime}$ 's; we wrote more features internally, rather than pirating the CUP files; we printed bigger and better photographs, kept up the high quality of locally drawn cartoons and graphics, and performed cleaner, more consistent lay out. All of this does not mean the Gateway is
he paper I thought it could be early last the paper I thought it could be early last
September. Inevitably, as my influence waned September. Inevitably, as my influence waned,
others on staff contributed their own ideas about how newspapers should look and read; I agreed and differed at times, but I can't remember ever forcing myself upon others. Of course, I may have tried to put out the whole paper myself, for if I had tried, I probably would

## vould have been disastrous. <br> To use the old cliche, the paper for mostly

 good, represented the efforts of those core people who produced the thing all year long.Here, then, is my last chance to see things my way: ( in no particular order)
Mary Ruth Olson - an unproven quantity late last year, we were fortunate to convince Mary Ruth to come back as Managing editor and resident Japanese translator. She filled the most
ill-defined position on the paper well, especially ill-defined position on the paper well, especially
considering the lack of direction provided by the considering the lack of direction provided by the
editor. Beyond the obvious day to day drudgery editor. Beyond the obvious day to day drugery
of editing the half-literate letters-to-the-editor and laying out the editorial pages, and, from time to time, writing stories and drawing graphics, her strength was her unfailing cheerfulness. Mary Ruth put up with mostly a male cast throughout the year that tended toward the sort of excesses typical of a
concentration of men anywhere, whether it's concentration of men anywhere, whether it's
late at night at the Gateway or at the Boat with the Engineers. Somehow she put up with us and is willing still to come back next year to help out and cheer up next year's staff.

Wes Oginski - half of the News
department, and then some. Wes did more than
his share of stories, and dealing with people, and
writing p.r. plugs, as thry are called, that nobody else wanted to do. He put in more hours than anybody else, I think, and invariably met deadines, even if it meant as in any newspaper,
having to come back the next day to clean up the loose ends. He also was my main sounding loose ends. He also was my main sounding
board for otherwise unvoiced gripes, and I suspect he could do very well if he ever had a mind to become a blackmailer.

Greg Harris - the other half of the news department. He may well have been the best newswriter and cheap-shot artist (i.e. editorial
writer) on board. Greg had a knack for getting a writer) on board. Greg had a knack for getting a
lot of good stories, and doing them well. $t$ of good stories, and doing them well.
Greg was also responsible for most of Greg was also responsible for most of the
ternational features we ran this year, which he international features we ran this year, which he
culled from various sources. I hope someday soon that he will become a realized conservative, at which point his skills will begin to bloom fully, and to all it will be, I suspect, greatly
satisfying. satisfying.
Robert

Robert Cook - definitely the most transformed staffer in the last year and a half.
Once nicknamed Prince Charles Robert become the last best hope of Canadian University Press at the Gateway (and, for that matter, in the West); though I do not share his optimism for the cooperative, I appreciate his sincere efforts. In between classes or in his spare time
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