You feem furprized at lord Chatham's faying, that no true protestant could support this bill; and in answer you say, "no true protestant, my lord, " can be no perfecutor, no true protestant can " harbour any fuch idea as that of establishing re-" ligion by force: is the Spaniard in Mexico to be " an example for a protestant legislator?" My lord Chatham, I dare presume, and every true protestant would answer no; charity being the foundation of their holy religion. And where would be the charity, in the first instance, in giving support to a bill which deprived the miserable inhabitants of a right, founded on a compact between them, and the people of England, to the free enjoyment of their religion; and placed this right in the breast of the crown, whereby the very fouls of the people are enchained? For should a king govern these realms, who with the collected hypocrify of all the scribes, and all the pharisees, enveloping the heart of a Nero, should, I say, the ford in his wrath think fuch a folemn monster a fit ruler; what bloody purposes may he not turn those Canadians to, should they unhappily conceive the retention of their worship the first object. that they do, no man can doubt; who knows it to be an established dogma of their church, that there is no falvation out of her pale. Consequently the question will be with a Canadian, shall I be damned by