

Q. All right, let us take it from 1919 to 1925; that period you do know about?—A. Yes.

Q. During that time is it correct to say that there were seizures of amounts that ran up sometimes into hundreds of thousands of dollars?—A. On goods?

Q. Yes.—A. No, I do not remember any seizure like that.

Q. Have you any idea of the largest one you do recollect?—A. No.

Q. You cannot even tell me that?—A. I can tell you that a number of our seizures are not seizures of goods, but are charges made for having smuggled goods.

Q. That is, on subsequent discovery?—A. No, on investigation.

Q. As a result of investigations you have found out that people—I am not talking about petty matters—have repeatedly smuggled large quantities of goods in for profit?—A. Not repeatedly.

Q. But several times?—A. Not several times.

Q. Do I correctly understand you to say that when the records you are bringing in are laid before the Committee there will not, as far as you know, be cases in which people have repeatedly smuggled large quantities of goods for profit?—A. I said there was one case that I could remember.

Q. One case only?—A. That is all I remember.

Q. You spoke of one man who was caught where there were three cases of smuggling goods for profit, three violations of the law?—A. Yes.

Q. Why was he not prosecuted?—A. You will have to ask the Ministers of the day.

Q. I thought that was in your department?—A. I do not attend on prosecutions.

Q. You have no knowledge of why no prosecution was undertaken in that man's case?—A. No.

Q. Will you give me the name?—A. The name I remember is The Snag Proof Overall Company.

Q. And the nature of the goods?—A. Cotton goods.

Q. On each occasion?—A. On each occasion. The first one started I think in 1912.

Q. And again when?—A. My recollection is not of the next day, I don't know that, but I remember that case because we were preparing our statement for the House; that is how I remember it.

Q. Was there known to you any report made out recommending a prosecution?—A. No.

Q. Either coming to your office or going from it?—A. No.

Q. By the way, I suppose we can get without any serious delay in this case copies of the reports made by you to the Minister, of various infractions?—A. Yes. That is what I understood Mr. Bennett to ask for.

Mr. DONAGHY: This is all covered by what Mr. Bennett asked him to produce.

By Mr. Bell:

Q. You can let us have them without delay?—A. I have explained already how long it will take to copy the documents.

Q. I do not mean copying the list, I mean the actual copy you put on your files when you returned the original report to the Minister?—A. I never kept a copy.

Q. At no time?—A. No; the thing is on the files.

Q. And those files are not available?—A. Yes, they are available.

Q. Will you recall what you said a moment ago about some cases where seizures were made to the value of over \$200?—A. Yes.

Q. Tell me again, please, what you said about the prosecution being left to outside people?—A. I said that under instructions issued by the Department