Supply

Bill C-102, an act to amend the Customs Act and the Customs Tariff and to make related and consequential amendments to other acts—Chapter No. 41.

GOVERNMENT ORDERS

[English]

SUPPLY

ALLOTTED DAY—UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE REFORM

The House resumed consideration of the motion and the amendment.

Mr. Andy Scott (Fredericton—York—Sunbury, Lib.): Madam Speaker, one of the benefits of the recently announced employment insurance amendments has to do with the fact that coverage is going to be broadened quite considerably. Many people will have access to employment insurance who have not had access to it in the past.

Another significant advantage is the low income protection contained in the amendments. This will allow up to 80 per cent of insurable earnings to be covered for low income families with children.

There are also the advantages in terms of the long term political viability of the program in that high income earners will have a greater percentage of their benefits clawed back. As an Atlantic Canadian, I am somewhat tired of all of those who point to us and talk about all those wealthy people who are drawing unemployment insurance benefits after making large amounts of money. It does not happen very often. By introducing this clawback we will be able to establish that more quickly in the minds of Canadians.

I also point out the importance of the employment benefit programs that are part of the package. There were 39 in the past which have been reduced to five. Those five programs will now be managed essentially by local officials which means if one element of the package is more suitable to my constituency then all of the attention could be placed in that area. That is a huge improvement.

The job partnership program meets a very real need in my part of Canada. Many people do not acquire enough coverage for the full 52-week period. For example, people are eligible for 26 weeks of insurance benefits yet their summer job or their seasonal job does not start until 10 weeks beyond that.

One of the elements of the new employment benefit package is a job partnership program which will allow job creation opportunities in the communities. This will allow people to fill in that gap when they otherwise would not have benefits or income.

I pay tribute to the minister for last year's consultation. As an Atlantic Canadian, I have been particularly concerned about the bill and the UI changes. I can only say good things about the amount of consultation the minister has allowed and I under-

stand he is going to continue to receive when we look at the bill in committee.

The Acting Speaker (Mrs. Maheu): It being 5.15 p.m., it is my duty to interrupt the proceedings and put forthwith every question necessary to dispose of the business of supply pursuant to Standing Order 81(16).

Is the House ready for the question?

Some hon. members: Question.

The Acting Speaker (Mrs. Maheu): The question is on the amendment. Is it the pleasure of the House to adopt the amendment?

Some hon. members: Agreed.

Some hon. members: No.

The Acting Speaker (Mrs. Maheu): All those in favour of the amendment will please say yea.

Some hon. members: Yea.

The Acting Speaker (Mrs. Maheu): All those opposed will please say nay.

Some hon. members: Nay.

The Acting Speaker (Mrs. Maheu): In my opinion the nays have it.

And more than five members having risen:

The Acting Speaker (Mrs. Maheu): Call in the members.

• (1740)

[Translation]

Williams-33

(The House divided on the amendment, which was negatived on the following division:)

(Division No. 389)

YEAS

Members Ablonczy Benoit Breitkreuz (Yorkton-Melville) Bridgman Brown (Calgary Southeast/Sud-Est) Chatters Cummins Duncan Forseth Grey (Beaver River) Grubel Hanger Hanrahan Hart Hayes Hill (Macleod) Hill (Prince George-Peace River) Johnston McClelland (Edmonton Southwest/Sud-Oucst) Meredith Mills (Red Deer) Scott (Skeena) Ringma Silye Solberg Speaker Strahl White (North Vancouver)