

find fault either with my letter or the action of the Committee of the College Corporation.

I am Dear Sir,
Very truly yours,

H. F. DARNELL,
Principal H. L. College.

P.S.—The Lord Bishop has just looked in, and as I am writing to you requests me to say that as soon as he can find a quiet moment amid all the clerical business which is transacting this week, he will reply to your communication.

Second letter from Dr. Going to Rev. H. F. Darnell :

LONDON, DEC. 9th, 1874.

DEAR SIR :

I beg to acknowledge the receipt of your cheque for \$8, \$6 being balance of my salary unjustly placed to Mrs. Wilson's credit, and \$2 your daughter's College fee.

Your statement in your letter "the fact of my having learned from Mrs. Darnell your intention of charging Mrs. Wilson," &c., cannot possibly be correct, *because* I never told Mrs. Darnell any such thing. You confuse the cases—I hope not wilfully—of the Misses Haigh and Blythe with that of Miss Wilson. I told Mrs. Darnell of my intention to send accounts to the parents of these young ladies, but I never mentioned Miss Wilson's case until I told Mrs. Darnell on the 27th of October of my having presented an account to Mrs. Wilson, and having received payment of it.

You say "indeed you told me yourself that you found no fault with it" (your letter) "save that you conceived I should have addressed *you* instead of the *Bishop*," I stated that if you had addressed your letter to me I would have at once explained the matter of Miss Wilson's charge, but that I said I found no fault with the letter itself is simply absurd, because I charged the Bishop, and still charge him, with maliciously endorsing such a document. You go on to say "as to your subsequent resignation, I regard it as simply a matter of finance, you seeming to refuse to continue your