occurs, alfo, in the facred volume, under a far more extended acceptation. "On this rock I will build my Church." (Matt. xvi. 18 ) "God added daily to the Church fuch as should be faved." (Acts ii. 47.) " Christ loved the Church and gave himself for it." (Ephes. v. 5.) "And he, Christ, is the head of the body, the Church." (Coloss. i. 18.) In thefe, and in many other portions of fcripture, the term Church is manifeftly taken generally. It is employed as defignating a body, concerning which the attributes of unity and indiffolubility may be predicated; and confequently, whenever the phrate presents itself in either of the more contracted senses above mentioned, it will be admitted, perhaps, on all hands, to be then-strictly synonimous with "that portion of the general Church which is in the house of Nymphas, or in Jerusalem, or in England."\* On these very principles of Mr. Grant, the Kirk of Scotland is called a Church, my Meeting-House is called a Church, and is diftinguished from others by the appellation Saint Andrews Church.

Mr. Grant, like yourfelf, under the influence of antipathy to particular names, and jealous of a rival establishment, applies the word Kirk to the National Ecclefiaftical Conflitution of Scotland. "A legal Church" fays he, p. 56, "is a Church established by the law of the land. A Church may be true, yet not legal, as Episcopacy is in Scotland, or legal without being true, as is the Kirk in the same country." Delicious morfel for a Scotch Episcopalian! But it is only the unqualifted affertion of Johnson Grant, M.A. Now what is the origin of this contemptuous epithet and what is its genuine fignification? Turn to Johnson's Dictionary and you will find it thus interpreted, "An old word for a Church, yet retained in Scotland." Cleaveland who is the Dr's authority, takes it to be of Saxon origin (cynce) and it is fingular that the word Church in Saxon is cipce, kouriake. So it appears that the distinction which antipathy and jealoufy lead you and Mr. Grant to make, is a diffinction without a difference—that Kirk and Church are as nearly the same as fix and half a dozen. But if we follow

Dr. Wa from t Lord, a nally ki perly e a whole duced i and in when y and ung Scotlan ciple of Saint A from ot gramina drews ( by Law antipath the prop Gramma ing-Hou

I am a I of things by the great M without furround leffer fire own dign of any I Dr. Henr eloquent even amo was confi cause he

Church

But al