

and compared with that of 1886 the date of the previous inspection.

Meeting first with the statement of omission, it is observed that the first item viz. 144 pairs of mitts (woolen) brought forward in 1888 from a balance of 152 pairs on hand in '86 is correct, and the discrepancy which should have been accounted for in the last report - should now be explained. In the second item "Fishing" 69% on hand on hand last inspection, not brought forward, there is added the following note "according to the petition these articles have been issued, consequently the balances on hand are not affected." I beg to point out that this fact has nothing

2

6

Indian Affairs, Letterbook,
12 February 1889 - 25 February 1889, (R.G. 10, Volume 4612)

Poor
Copy

PUBLIC ARCHIVES
ARCHIVES PUBLIQUES
CANADA