

## A BUSINESS BRINGER

# Michie's

SOMETHING TO INTEREST YOU ABOUT OUR GOODS AND PRICES.

Over Five Thousand people in Toronto will Recognize This at a Glance.

It is a reduction of the headline of a little paper which we publish and deliver regularly every week, free, into thousands of homes in Toronto—and hundreds outside Toronto.

Does it go into yours? We think it will pay you to receive it. We feel sure it will interest you.

It is a business paper, issued for business purposes. It does not pretend to be anything else.

**IT TELLS**  
About Our Goods,  
About Our Methods,  
About Our Prices.

**NOTHING MORE.**

We want to deliver it to everyone who wishes to receive it.

Send in your name to

## MCHIE & CO.

7 King Street West or  
466 and 468 Spadina Avenue,  
TORONTO.

Secure also a copy of Michie's 32-page Illustrated Holiday Price List of

GROCERIES, PROVISIONS,  
CONFECTIONERY AND FANCY GOODS  
FOR CHRISTMAS.

AN HOTEL ON WHEELS.

How Two Miles and Business Went on  
Just the Same—Towns Moved Bodily  
to be in the Swim.

Moosomin, N. W. T., Spectator.  
The Dauphin Railway has now been completed 100 miles north of Gladstone. This is 16 miles beyond the town of Dauphin. According to all reports the town is booming and in a most peculiar manner. While the road was being constructed the two rival towns, Dauphin and Garmouth, which are four miles apart, made strong bids for the line, each vowing that it should receive the benefit of being the headquarters of the railway. The contractors could not decide the ground between the two towns, and an equal distance from each. Their compromise was no more than to place the inhabitants of both towns made a rush for the new location. Both Dauphin and Garmouth moved bodily to the new town, which will be known by the old name of Dauphin. The buildings were moved on wheels, but when the snow came skids were used, and the houses were rushed over in great haste.

The most peculiar part of the whole matter was that business went on while the process of moving was in operation. The Dauphin Hotel started on its two mile journey on rollers, but the snow covered the ground before it had gone far and the rollers had to be replaced by skids. This seriously delayed the work and the journey lasted seven days. During this time the business of the house was thriving, rooms were at a premium and the servants attended to their household duties as usual. Stores were moved in the same way. A farmer would undertake a navigating grocery store and move his horse to it, would go in and make his purchases. The horse would follow the building and when the purchase was completed the storekeeper would throw off the tow line and the two would start on their journey. The horse would follow the building and when the purchase was completed the storekeeper would throw off the tow line and the two would start on their journey.

The English churches of Dauphin were drawn together and made into one church. The congregation save the cost of transportation and joining. The buildings are now in shape and forty of them are punched together on the townsite, which was put on the market on Oct. 7.

**Young Fleming Found Guilty.**  
William H. Fleming was tried in the Superior Court yesterday on the charge of forgery. The case was heard by Judge J. L. Macdonald. Fleming was found guilty of forgery and sentenced to six months in the penitentiary.

**A Black Devil's Work.**  
Memphis, Tenn., Dec. 7.—A negro named Davis, while on his way to work yesterday, was shot and killed by a white man. The case is being investigated by the police.

**Provincial Health Notes.**  
The outbreak of diphtheria in Delhi, Norfolk County, is reported to be subsiding. The authorities are now permitting the reopening of the schools.

**Christmas Gift Suggestions from Scottish Clan and Family Tartan Department.**  
Fine Woven Tartan Dress Length. Fine Silk Tartan Dress Length. Fine Woven Tartan Square Shawl. All-Wool Reversible Tartan Traveling Rug. Silk Tartan Sash, 3 yards by 12 inches. Silk Tartan Scarf, 40 inches by 5 inches. Tartan Handkerchiefs, 19 in. square. Spun Silk Tartan Mufflers, 27 in. square. Pure Silk Tartan Mufflers, 30 in. square. Ladies' Silk Tartan Belts. Men's Silk Tartan Club Ties. Men's Cairngorm Shoulder Straps. Boys' Cairngorm Shoulder Straps. Men's and Boys' Cap Buckles. If customers at a distance or otherwise unable to call will send word in which clan or family name they are interested, we will send them historical sketches of the clan, together with list of all goods in stock of the particular name inquired for.

**JOHN CATTO & SON.**  
KING-ST. - OPP. POSTOFFICE.

## THE MAYOR BRINGS DOWN HIS SECRET DEAL

WITH THE RAILWAY PEOPLE

There Was Plenty of Hot Talk at the City Council Yesterday.

Little or No Attention Was Paid to the Complaint of the Lord's Day Alliance.

Ald. Boustead Scores the Mayor's Honesty and the Mayor in Turn Gave Him the Lie, but His Words Were Called Down—Candidates Squabble Once More.

The Sunday Car Matter Goes to a Committee.

There was an air of suppressed excitement in the Council Chamber yesterday afternoon, as the members took their seats. The side benches were filled with a number of clerical men, who were present in connection with the Sunday car service, among them being Revs. W. F. Wilson, Wm. Pizzelli, V. H. Emory, R. N. Burns, W. Burns, L. W. Hill, A. B. Chambers, C. O. Johnson, W. J. Barkwell, G. K. Adams, Dr. Parker, E. B. Rowe, W. J. Smith, J. C. Speer, George Webber and A. C. Courtice.

All the members of the council were in their places when the Mayor took the chair. He immediately proceeded to read the message upon the table, which had been sent to him by Mr. G. H. Bertman, on behalf of the Toronto Railway Company, when Ald. Boustead rose and called the attention of the Mayor to the fact that the council had not yet decided upon the matter.

The Mayor claimed the right to call a meeting at any time he chose.

Ald. McMurich thought at that point was that the orders of the day were not for the day.

The Mayor intimated somewhat warmly that he intended to have the question decided at that meeting and upon its merits and, moreover, he was going to have the done for purposes of his own.

Ald. Leslie did not propose to oppose the motion, but he did propose to have the question decided at that meeting and upon its merits and, moreover, he was going to have the done for purposes of his own.

The Mayor asserted that members had been upon the public press and had been in the business, the orders of the day were not for the day.

The Mayor's message, which reviewed the progress of the negotiations with Mr. Bertman and commended the arrangement made between them, was read in the correspondence, as perfectly satisfactory to him.

The Mayor had not the slightest doubt that the Mayor was actuated by what he considered to be the best interests of the city.

Ald. Leslie thought that all things considered, the Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

## THE MAYOR BRINGS DOWN HIS SECRET DEAL

WITH THE RAILWAY PEOPLE

There Was Plenty of Hot Talk at the City Council Yesterday.

Little or No Attention Was Paid to the Complaint of the Lord's Day Alliance.

Ald. Boustead Scores the Mayor's Honesty and the Mayor in Turn Gave Him the Lie, but His Words Were Called Down—Candidates Squabble Once More.

The Sunday Car Matter Goes to a Committee.

There was an air of suppressed excitement in the Council Chamber yesterday afternoon, as the members took their seats. The side benches were filled with a number of clerical men, who were present in connection with the Sunday car service, among them being Revs. W. F. Wilson, Wm. Pizzelli, V. H. Emory, R. N. Burns, W. Burns, L. W. Hill, A. B. Chambers, C. O. Johnson, W. J. Barkwell, G. K. Adams, Dr. Parker, E. B. Rowe, W. J. Smith, J. C. Speer, George Webber and A. C. Courtice.

All the members of the council were in their places when the Mayor took the chair. He immediately proceeded to read the message upon the table, which had been sent to him by Mr. G. H. Bertman, on behalf of the Toronto Railway Company, when Ald. Boustead rose and called the attention of the Mayor to the fact that the council had not yet decided upon the matter.

The Mayor claimed the right to call a meeting at any time he chose.

Ald. McMurich thought at that point was that the orders of the day were not for the day.

The Mayor intimated somewhat warmly that he intended to have the question decided at that meeting and upon its merits and, moreover, he was going to have the done for purposes of his own.

Ald. Leslie did not propose to oppose the motion, but he did propose to have the question decided at that meeting and upon its merits and, moreover, he was going to have the done for purposes of his own.

The Mayor asserted that members had been upon the public press and had been in the business, the orders of the day were not for the day.

The Mayor's message, which reviewed the progress of the negotiations with Mr. Bertman and commended the arrangement made between them, was read in the correspondence, as perfectly satisfactory to him.

The Mayor had not the slightest doubt that the Mayor was actuated by what he considered to be the best interests of the city.

Ald. Leslie thought that all things considered, the Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.

The Mayor's action was a mistake. On no consideration would he support the Mayor's action.