

many of Station W similarly altered, but which now show a clean sheet, Mr. Bishop's testimony, as well as that of my brother Donald, who saw and compared these envelopes with the Superintendent's memos, and the record more than once will, I presume, be regarded as proof sufficient; so that not only in the case of the three candidates for whose papers in history and geography there are in your possession two envelopes for each, is this fourth charge shown to be true, but in the case of all those of Station W for which you have the Superintendent's memos. Moreover, having procured duplicates to such an extent of Station W envelopes, as Mr. Bishop's and my brother's testimony goes to show, can there be any doubt that the torn envelopes of Stations W and G are indeed the originals, and the others duplicates of them?

Charge No. 5 accuses the Superintendent of having destroyed the originals after procuring duplicates. If charge No. 4 be sustained, as I think it must be, the truthfulness of this charge follows as a matter of course. Where are the originals of all those of Station W to which attention has been called corresponding with the memos in your possession of that Station, but which now show a clean sheet? No doubt they are destroyed. Since November last, when these envelopes came into his possession, the stove in the office has had fire in it every office day. It was not so, however, in September; the other three, torn as you see them, were consigned to the stove, but not to the flames. They bear evidence, however, in their torn condition of his best efforts towards destruction, and doubtless it was not owing to any lack of purpose on his part that the destruction was not complete. At all events, there they are, in their tell-tale, mutilated condition, to bear testimony against him.

The 6th charge is of a different nature from the preceding five. It takes precedence of the others in point of time, so far as the examinations are concerned, but the proof of it, as far as presented, not being within my own observation, and being more difficult to secure, I have placed it last on the list.

The proof of the charge has been brought before you in the evidence given by Mr. Thomas Robertson and Miss McIntosh. Other witnesses were brought forward with the faintest possible hope of eliciting further proof of this charge, but the faintness of the hope was more than realized.

Mr. Robertson's evidence went to show that for the assistance of a candidate for examination of whom he had spoken to the Superintendent, Mr. Hunt, to Mr. Robertson's surprise, handed him an envelope with papers enclosed which he gave him to understand were the questions of the approaching examination. For the assistance of the candidate spoken of they were given almost immediately previous to the examination, and they were sent with the full conviction that they were the papers of the coming examination.

From some of the questions put to Mr. Robertson, appealing to