y perhaps be rousethis debate, that this, like all other great national questions, is vitably attend a conbringing to its support, or arraying in opposition to it, local in its progress, anquestions and prejudices which seem likely to give it a tendency which has been witand an impetus more powerful than could have been imparted claration that repulto it by its own intrinsic merit or importance. Those who d in fact, as it would gard themselves as particularly interested in our present instance recorded itariff laws, and dread as the greatest calamity any change or sustain them in thmodification of them, and who think they see strong indicaovernment previoutions in the doctrines advanced by the Executive of a strong is country then, wadisposition on his part to establish, as far as he may be able comparatively younto accomplish it, a system of free trade, frankly avow, or many upon our commercof them do, their willingness to see the country involved in pe had, to a very cowar, rather than permit the industrial pursuits of the people to which was still fube laid prostrate by a ruinous competition with the pauper intercourse act. Ollabor of Europe. Sir, I think it would not be too much to asthe lowest point, as it that the manufacturing States of the Union would prefer med to be paralyzea war to a system of free trade. Many of those who contribent had not the meanted to the elevation of the present Chief Magistrate, pledged Themselves in the canvass of 1844 to a course of policy respectse is different. ample. We have ang the tariff, which, if we are to judge from the tenor of his ore than sufficient famual message, he is not likely to pursue. At the North he therefore the duty was declared to be in favor of a high protective tariff, while at much zeal, to prepathe South he was understood to be in favor of free trade. Now, we seem to be tendisir, if we are to judge of the President's opinions from his anbe any opposition and message, he is more likely to favor the latter than the ons necessary for cormer in his course of policy. It is well known by whom he denounce the intrigus, surrounded in his cabinet councils: the very minister who ssue, and will hold conducts our foreign negotiations may be considered as favorbeen placed in charable to, having voted for, the tariff of 18-2. He is most intie the policy they ponately connected with the great iron interest of the State from arty ascendency, the hich he comes. A war would be more sure protection to ne of the most powerthose interests than any law which could be passed by Conh I have the honor ress. I make no charge against that or any other gentleman; from the necessary out when I hear it declared by gentlemen from that section of t in the field of striountry that they would prefer a war to any considerable reof this debate to production of the tariff, I am bound, sir, to suppose it not imposis party character. sible that their policy may in some degree be dictated by their in party intrigues, anterests. In Pennsylvania, it is well known that Mr. Polk e scheme f designwas represented as "a better tariff man than Mr. Clay,"nal question, and Mr. CHARLES J. INGERSOLL. That was my argument.] e of President-makiwhile at the South he was understood to be in favor of free I in the eyes of the rade, or a low ad valorem rate of duties. How are these difnsequences involved erences to be reconciled? You cannot have one tariff for the ty lines and oblitera North and another for the South; fortunately or unfortunately, i, also, in the course ur laws extend over the whole country. Are we to be thrown