in franc aleu noble held by the most independent tenure possible, a tenure which admitted of his disposing of his land in whatever way he pleased. The holder in franc aleu returier held as freely; with this reservation only, that he could not grant to inferiors, retaining to himself fendal superiority. The holder en fief was bound to his superior, and could grant, (either en fief or en roture,) if he pleased, to inferiors under him; and the holder en roture or censive was bound to his superior, but could have no inferior below him.

As to the essential character of the contract involved in the granting of land en fief, I refer here to one authority only, that of Hervé, the latest and perhaps most satisfactory writer on the whole subject of the Seigniorial Tenure. In his First Volume, on page 372, he says, speaking of this contract: " il doit être défini une " concession faite à la charge d'une reconnaissance toujours subsistante, qui doit "se manifester de la manière convenue"; "it must be defined to be a concession "made subject to the charge of an always subsisting acknowledgment, which must be manifested in the manner egreed upon." This, then, is the essential of the contract; a superior, holding nobly, grants to an inferior, who admits his inferiority and acknowledges it—how? In the manner agreed upon. The style of acknowledgment is the creature of the agreement between the parties. Here, again, is the definition of the holding à titre de cens, taken from the same author, pago 152. "C'est le bail d'une portion de fief ou d'aleu, à la charge par le preneur" de conserver et de reconnaître, de la manière convenue, un rapport de sujétion tou-"jours subsistant entre la portion concédée et celle qui ne l'est pas, et de jour "roturièrement; "it is the grant of a portion of a fief or aleu, subject to the charge upon the taker, of maintaining and recognising, in the manner agreed upon, a "relation of subjection ever subsisting between the part conceded and that not "conceded, and of holding as a roturier." The holder en roture was a proprietor, but he must always recognize his chief—and this, as a roturier or commoner; while the holder en fief held as a noble. Both tenures were creatures of contract. In some parts of France one Custom, in others another, prevailed; and in the silence of contracts the Customs governed the relations between the parties. The Custom which prevailed throughout Lower Canada, is well known to have been the Custom of Paris; and under it, as indeed under most Customs, the grantor of land was at liberty to grant on all kinds or conditions, and the appeal was only made to the regulations of the Custom in the silence of the contract. Particular Customs prohibited certain conventions; but in general men granted, whether en fief or en censive, as they pleased, only observing not to transcend whatever might be the conditions of the Custom under which they contracted.

I admit, of course, that during a long period of dim antiquity, neither land held en fief nor land held en censive was really and truly property. In those days, such grant of land was merely the grant of its use; and the holder could not leave it to his children, or in any other way dispose of it. But in process of time it became the rule, that holders of land en fief could part with it by will, or by any contract known to the law,—by sale, lease, grant à cens or à rente, or in any other way. If the holder did thus part with his land, the Lord of the land might claim his certain amount of dues; if it was a fief or part of a fief that was sold, the buyer had to pay a quint. But I repeat, subject to these payments, the holder could sell his fief or any part of it; only in the latter case, he could not make such part a new fief. The purchaser would merely become a co-proprietor with himself.

"

"

" "

61

"

"

"

Indeed, subsequently, still further relaxation came to be allowed. Within varying limits the holder en fief became entitled to alienate, without dues accruing to the Lord. According to the Custom of Paris, this point was regulated in a very precise manner; the holder of a fief being at liberty to sell, grant or otherwise alienate, two thirds of his fief, if he only reserved the foi to himself—that is to say, if he held himself still as the feudal—nant or Seignior of the whole, and retained some real right, large or small, over the land alienated. He might take the value in any way he pleased, provided he only retained something payable annually as a token of his feudal superiority, and provided also he did not dispose of more than two thirds of his holding. In Brittany and elsewhere, the whole of this system of disposing of fiefs was unknown. There, the Seignior could not sell part of his fief. He could either grant it nobly or en roture; but could take only a small cash payment; and, supposing he had ever granted land at a particular amount of rent,