

Corporations and Labour Unions

on a point of order to inquire whether or not he might ask a question of another hon. member. There is no custom whereby an hon. member can enter into debate at a time of his own choosing and interrupt another member to do it.

Mr. Rae: I do not mind the hon. member's interruption at all, Mr. Speaker. In fact, I would enjoy the opportunity of being in a forum where we had the opportunity to debate these issues.

Before I call it six o'clock I want to say to the hon. member—

Mr. Blais: Hurry up.

Mr. Rae: The minister wants me to hurry up. But I am a little slower on my feet at six o'clock than I am at four.

I am saying to the hon. member that it is because this question has to be debated that we need to have the information. If we do not have the information then we do not have all

the facts at our disposal which allow us to reach decisions. If we are going to give more to savings and less to consumption—and I know the member's view is that we should, because I heard him express that view when he was a minister—that is fine and it is something which one could argue. Any society has to make those difficult decisions about future investment. But you cannot do it on imperfect information because partial information does not tell you who is getting the savings. That is all I am saying. If we are living in that kind of society, we will be arguing those things, so let us have the information. We do not have it, nor is the government going to give it to us.

May I call it six o'clock, Mr. Speaker?

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Blaker): It being six o'clock, the House stands adjourned until two o'clock tomorrow, pursuant to Standing Order 2(1).

At 6.01 the House adjourned, without question put, pursuant to Standing Order.
