

if someone could have watched the condition of the animal and noted the gradual but unheeded cessation of respiration without calling attention to it. As it is, one has to be content with the remark that the breathing was noticed to have stopped at some particular time, but there is nothing to throw any light upon the condition during the important period that immediately preceded this discovery. A similar hiatus appears in the account of accidental deaths in the human subject, and is unavoidable. These cases are probably identical with the instance referred to by Snow "in which animals died in a sudden and what was thought unaccountable manner whilst chloroform was given to prevent the pain and struggles which would be occasioned by physiological experiments." The death was not really sudden, but only rapid, and the result of reckless administration of concentrated vapour in the first instance, and careless neglect of the condition of the respiration in the second. There is no evidence whatever that a single one of them was due to paralysis or sudden stoppage of the heart, as Snow assumes to have been the case.

32. It must be remembered, in studying the tracings, that except when it is expressly stated to the contrary, chloroform was throughout administered very freely. The degree and rapidity of the fall of blood pressure are almost in all cases much greater than should be the case in administering chloroform to human beings. To avoid complicating the notes, the inhaler was kept on much more persistently, with none of those little interruptions while the cornea is being examined etc. which always occur in practice. The whole series, with few exceptions, may be characterised as examples of reckless administration of chloroform, and accidental deaths would have been much more numerous had it not been that, when once the animal was connected with the manometer, it was kept under the most careful observation. Experiment 79 affords a most interesting exception. The chloroformist, though present in body, was absent in mind, and failed to observe and report the cessation of the respiration. The chloroform was, in consequence, pushed much further than it should have been, and the animal died sooner than was intended.

33. These cases are of themselves quite sufficient to show that animals are just as liable to death from the careless administration of chloroform as human beings; and the accidental deaths which occurred during the experiments of the Commission afford the best possible proof that the effects of chloroform are identical in the lower animals and in the human subject. The statement so frequently made, that dogs are more resistant to chloroform than human beings, is entirely incorrect.

PRACTICAL CONCLUSIONS.

34. The following are the practical conclusions

which the Commission think may fairly be deduced from the experiments recorded in this report :—

I. The recumbent position on the back and absolute freedom of respiration are essential.

II. If during an operation the recumbent position on the back cannot, from any cause, be maintained during chloroform administration, the utmost attention to the respiration is necessary to prevent asphyxia or an overdose. If there is any doubt whatever about the state of respiration, the patient should be at once restored to the recumbent position on the back.

III. To ensure absolute freedom of respiration, tight clothing of every kind, either on the neck, chest, or abdomen, is to be strictly avoided; and no assistants or bystanders should be allowed to exert pressure on any part of the patient's thorax or abdomen, even though the patient be struggling violently. If struggling does occur, it is always possible to hold the patient down by pressure on the shoulders, pelvis, or legs without doing anything which can by any possibility interfere with the free movements of respiration.

IV. An apparatus is not essential, and ought not to be used, as, being made to fit the face, it must tend to produce a certain amount of asphyxia. Moreover, it is apt to take up part of the attention which is required elsewhere. In short, no matter how it is made, it introduces an element of danger into the administration. A convenient form of inhaler is an open cone or cap with a little absorbent cotton inside at the apex.

V. At the commencement of inhalation care should be taken, by not holding the cap too close over the mouth and nose, to avoid exciting, struggling or holding the breath. If struggling or holding the breath do occur, great care is necessary to avoid an over-dose during the deep inspirations which follow. When quiet breathing is ensured as the patient begins to go over, there is no reason why the inhaler should not be applied close to the face; and all that is then necessary is to watch the cornea and to see that the respiration is not interfered with.

VI. In children, crying ensures free admission of chloroform into the lungs; but as struggling and holding the breath can hardly be avoided, and one or two whiffs of chloroform may be sufficient to produce complete insensibility, they should always be allowed to inhale a little fresh air during the first deep inspirations which follow. In any struggling persons, but especially in children, it is essential to remove the inhaler after the first or second deep inspiration, as enough chloroform may have been inhaled to produce deep anaesthesia, and this may only appear, or may deepen, after the chloroform is stopped (*vide supra* sub-paragraphs 2 and 9 of conclusions in paragraph 30). Struggling is best avoided in adults by mak-