(Continued from Page One.)

No, I don't think so.

Well, are you sure? Well I am morally certain—let m

No Letters From Mr. White, M.P. Have you any letters in your posom Mr. White, member of on road matters or something like arliament for Victoria, in reference think I haven't Well, will you swear you haven't?

will, yes.

Have you ever had any letters in your possession from Mr. White in onnection with this project? No, not in connection with this pro-You understand what I mean now sent to you by Mr. Cushing in connec

yes. I understand what you mean, Anything in connection with the con- my life. struction of or the opposition to the

construction of the road by the Alletters written by you to Mr. Cushing? herta & Great Waterways Co.?

That is what that means? rta & Great Waterways Co.? ou never had such letter? I have had letters from Mr.

You mean Mr. White, M. Yes. I have had letters from Mr White private letters.

In connection with this matter? Well. I don't know that they could he said to be exactly. Well, somebody else might know they any telegram. could be said. Will you produce these letters for Mr. Walsh's inspection I wouldn't say; I haven't had let-ters where the Alberta & Great Waterways was mentioned.

Will you produce these letters for Mr Walsh's inspection? I haven't any of them now. Where are they? One of them somebody else has got Who is the somebody else?

presume you know better than It was taken out of my coat here in the hall: you can find that one esume. The other one that I had You destroyed it, why?

There wasn't anything of importance And that is the reason you destroy-

You destroyed it because there was nothing important in it? When did

you destroy it? I think as soon as I got it. Was it a typewritten letter, or ten with pen and ink?

Written with pen and ink. Do you know whether Mr. has a copy of it or not?
No. I den't. But I might say i hadn't anything at all to do with the Alberta and Great Waterways rail-

That is the one I say was destroyed.

One was stolen and the other de-So these are the only letters you have ever received from Mr. White,

M.P., in connection with the Alberta & Great Waterways railway? I wouldn't like to say that. Are there any others in existence? These were not in connection with

Alberta and Great Waterways railway. Political Situation Discussed.

The project was mentioned in the I con't think the project was men-

tioned, but I think the political situation was discussed. In there? Have you got any others from him?

Well, I have a number of letters durthe last two or three years from White. Having any bearing on the Alberta & Great Waterways railway project?

I don't think so. Will you swear they haven't? Well I will to the best of my re Well, if they are in existence, where

are thev? What in existence? Letters from Mr. White to you that may bear on this matter? I told you I didn't know of any in existence. There never were any ill

existence that bore on this matter that If you have any, where will they be Weil, I would either have them or I

ed them in the usual way. I don't always keep private correspond-You would either have them or you

destroyed them in the usual way?

Because they are of no importance: But if you haven't destroyed them where are they now? Well, I think I answered that.

If there are any such letters in existence, where can you find them? I think I told you that. After the House met I received to the best of my recollection two letters from Mr. White. One is lost, I believe was taken out of my overcoat; the other one was mentioned the Alberta & Great Waterways railway, but it discussed potical matters, and I tore it up. These are the only two that you re-

ember ever to have received from That is all, yes, that had any bearing even on the political situation raisd by the Alberta & Great Waterways railway affair. Was it in reference to the Alberta &

Great Waterways railway? Neither one of them had. No reference whatever?

They had to the political situation. But not otherwise Not to the Alberta & Great Waterways railway arrangement, no. No Letters From Cushing.

Have you any letters in your pos-session from W. H. Cushing, former minister of public works in this province, in connection with the A. & G.

I haven't Did you ever have any letters or ng you information? That is all.

think a moment. No, I don't think I have. I don't think I ever received any letters from Mr. Cushing of any

kind, excepting departmental letters I want to know whether you have ment of the A. & G. W. Railway, exit, then it would become necessary.
it we think it is something we have in your possession any letters or other dr. Justice Beck: Would there be to have to decide whether we have

No. I don't think so Sent to you by Mr. Cushing? No, not that I can remember, and if I had, I think I would remember Have you any copies of any letter them, that you do not want to slow them, that you do not want to disclose the names of the persons who gave the information.

Mr. Justice Harvey: I did not Mr. Biggar have entirely different papers. tion with this matter? No, I don't think I ever wrote Mr. Cushing half a dozen letters

gather that from Mr. Bennett's ex- papers Well you may have five conjess Bennett may be right. He is had light to communicate all the evidence or information that he has in connection. Mr. Biggar: Not only that, but Mr. Boyle—Says It might be. tion with these charges. He may want to bring his cwn witnesses and No, I never wrote Mr. Cushing an letters with respect to the A. & G.

In reference to it at all? Or sending him any telegrams? You understand by letters I mean tele-grams or any other papers? Intimated that any person may appear here and give evidence and not submit it to Mr. Walsh. Mr. Biggar If your Lordships will No; I don't think I ever sent him permit me, it seems to me there may e a very considerable doubt about Mr. Minty you have produced by you? that. We cannot tell until this

material is submitted to counsel for I think so, all that I can find. the commission whether it only im-implicates somebody for whom he is Have you any letters in your pos sion from the Hon. Frank Oliver, Bennett is not acting, or whether it minister of the interior, in connection implicates somebody ofr whom he is with the A. & G. W. Railway? "None whatever, Mr. Nolan. Did you ever have any? Never did. ommission. Mr. Justice Harvey: Mr. Bennet Have you in your possession any

Where are these letters?

There are no such letters

o, not that I can remember.

ng on this matter? No. not directly bearing on

other members of the Legislature

That is all they contained.

do with that?

of these documents?

copies here.

Certainly I have.

amination later on.

What difference does it make?

Had Reason for Questions.

ing of the Legislature.

the Legislature?

No, the present session

You have no papers:

matter.

matter

stated he intends to get the informapies of any documents?-Mr. Justice Scott: How is that let ion before us, but prefers to adopt from Mr. Frank Oliver going to his own method. I do not know whe affect these matters we are going to ther for political reasons or otherwise; does not matter to us. Objection to Disclosing Names. Mr. Nolan: I am asking if he has any such letters.

Mr. Justice Beck: I understand Mr. Justice Scott: Aren't you travelthere might be a reasonable objection ling a little out of the limit? That to disclosing the names, but that ast question you asked was not for seems to be the only ground. The Witness: As I already intimated the purpose of eliciting information with reference to this enquiry, but for to your Lordships, personally I don't some other object.

Mr. Nolan: I would say it isn't done

see any objection at all to turning any way on this project should

way on this project should any way on the project should be also be any object on at all to turning any way on the project should be also be Mr. Nolan: I would say it isn't done for any other purpose than to find out what the attitude of this witness was in regard to that matter. was in regard to that matter.

The Witness: I might say I haven't any objection to his asking me anything about any correspondence between myself and the Hon. Frank Oliver. Personally, I have no

ing retained them.

Mr. Mackenzie: I thing now, that Mr. Nolan: Well, are there any letthe question has arisen, instead of it class? No Correspondence With Members. being a compromise, as suggested by Mr. Boyle, I think that, after it has Is there any correspondence in your gone now for the second day, that my control. possession between yourself and any there should be a distinct moving. I Mr. Bigga other member of the Legislative As- am representing a member of the sembly of this province in connection Legislature exactly the same as Mr.

Boyle. There are letters in the correspondence I have with third parties, as well as on the part of Mr. Corn-There are no letters between myself wall. Further than that, it is corres-and any member of the Legislative pondence between Mr. Cornwall and written prior to the open- his solicitor. If everybody in the house is going to be a public man or is going to take adantage of counse or privilege between solicitor and

Nothing written prior to the opening client, I am under that head. But as I say, I am willing to show counse for the commission everything in my possession. Mr. Robson has practic-in Edmonton, sworn, examined ally taken that stand, and we sub-Mr. Walsh, testified as follows: The opening of the present session? mitted to it. Now, if it is raised that Have you any written since the opening of the present session bear the commission our hands, while the others stand, then I think there should this be a distinct ruling that we know where we stand with the commission,

place all the members of the Legisla- ents instructing you. I do not think one have more or less latter from that has been averaged. that has been suggested. The letters that are now being discussed are not letters such as the documents you now have may be but then as you ture have, more or less, letters from that has been suggested. The letters fu connection with the A. & G. W. now have may be, but they are certain documents giving certain inform-Not particularly. That was all ation to Mr. Boyle which may be to threshed out in the House with rehis advantage and which cannot be ference to the political ascept of it vidence in any way, no matter wheth er they are shown to Mr. Walsh or Well, I don't want to have anything

Mr. MacKenzie: The position, as understand, when those telegrams are being produced on the part of the want to know if you have in your possession now copies of any documents that are on the files brought telegraph companies, is as a matter down to the House during the session, of assisting the commission and not and the House during the session, of assisting the commission and not a marked here as exhibits, that is, the public works department, the executive council department, and the attorney general's department? Have you any copies willing to trust counsel for the commission in the perusal of everything When were these copies made, and and that is the position that I the taking irrespective of my letter of I read a good many of those things structions if I had one in writing, and I submit that to the counsel for the commission to look over these, Mr. Justice Harvey: We have those the same secrecy in reference How is that going to to Mr. Boyle as in reference to elp us any if Mr. Boyle has copies?

this particular stage for obvious rea- pertenant. Boyle's Letters Not Evidence. sons. I am asking the question in good faith, and because I have a very Mr. Parlee: My lords, I think that good reason for it. I dont' want to Mr. Justice Harvey explained the cirwith these communications.

The papers of the communications with these communications. You may tell me this; for you me this you may tell me this; for you may tell me this; for you may tell me this; for you me this yo good reason for it. I dont' want to asking these questions. Possibly it that my learned friends have here are may become better in his general ex-If your Lord-here are not evidence. They suggest culty in answering that question. ships think so, I will defer it.

Mr. Justice Harvey: The present I do not understand that Mr. Boyle examination so far as it has gone has refuses to produce them. I think the

ments which may be useful. To find the data to all the data to give that evidence out if he has copies of documents that their names would be given use whatever, from that point of view.

Mr. Nolan: The matter can be enquired into later, when the question can be legitimately put, and there can be no possibility of doubt, so I will not say anything further about it at this stage.

Mr. Justice Scott: Mr. Boyle leaves and that he has received certain papers does not itself constitute a privilege. I think that the papers must have been sent to him as a solicitor for a certain specified pur solve itself. I do not anticipate theritate that Mr. Henwood is a solicitor and that he has received certain papers does not itself constitute a privilege. I think that the papers must have been sent to him as a solicitor for a certain specified pur pose within the discharge of his duty. out if he has copies of documents which we already have can be of no Mr. Justice Scott: Mr. Boyle leaves and that he has received certain and that he has received certain t at this stage.

Wr. Justice Beck: You stated there

Wr. Justice Beck: You stated there

The witness: I might say, my lord.

ground of his privilege is the relawere some documents in your posses- I don't think there will be any ob tion existing between solicitor and

programed to be letters from members handing Mr. Walsh all the data that

Yes. I have not a single letter in soon may issue an order requiring any Yes. I have not a single letter in one to produce his papers, Mr. Cornmy possession that has any connection at all with any business arrange.

If Mr. Bennett does not want to do

Walsh, with the names covered up?

No, I don't think so. I don't think

some of the witnesses are not willing that

some of the witnesses are not willing. son why you do not want to show seems to arise at once, what is to be

Mr. Justice Scott: I understand you Mr. Justice Scott: Perhaps Mr. are refering to papers Mr. Bennett Bennett may be right. He is not obliged to communicate all the evidence Mr. Biggar: Not only that, but Mr. March, I the series of the communicate all the evidence of the communication.

he is in the hands of his counsel.

Mr. Biggar: Quite so, so are the examine in his own way. I do not think that he is obliged to hand them over to Mr. Walsh. We have already livery of those papers and to hand over to Mr. Walsh. We have an experimental and person may appear intimated that any person may appear them over.

Mr. Justice Scott: You would not without to do that without like your client to do that without

his counsel's advice? Not a Question of Advice, Mr. Biggar: It isn't a question advice; it is that the commission has the right to see the papers, assuming that Mr. Bennett will ness not to deliver the papers.

acting, and therefore it is necessary to submit it to Mr. Walsh for the produced. Mr. Biggar: Very well, the papers can be produced now.
The Witness: Mr. Walsh has never asked me for anything that I haven't given him. Probably if Mr. Walsh wants anything he will likely ask me

Mr. Nolan: I should like to sav. be fore the witness leaves the chair, that my request for papers to be handed ver to Mr. Walsh was not confined at all to letters received by Mr. Boyle giving him information. request was that any documents whatoever in his possession bearing in handed over to Mr. Walsh, so that he ould come to the conclusion whether these papers were relevant or not to

of that is in my possession

be obtained, and what the nature of

t is, etc. Mr. Biggar: And information? The Witness: And information. ourse, to such documents as these.

GEO, B. HENWOOD. G. B. Henwood, barrister, practising I have been informed that we are going beyond what any one else either have or have had in your poss going to do, and are going to show session certain correspondence bearing upon some of these matters which are being enquired into; is that true? Well, I hardly know, my lords, whe- on this. ther they would have any bearing or trouble of trying to get at the original

you have undertaken to show Mr. Walsh private letters from your climust surely have some other information of the content of

vestigation, but I do not think that Mr. Justice Harvey: You are just munication with any member of the sked if you had any papers. asked if you had any papers.

Mr. Justice Beck: It is not a question of his privilege; it is a question

of your privilege. Have Some Papers. Mr. Walsh-I understand from what you say, without enquiring into the details of the papers, that you have had some papers which are I now in your possession which might have a bearing upon some of the mat ters which are being enquired into by this commission?

I think possibly they might have. From whom did you get them? Well, I do not think I should an wer this question. Why not I do not think I have any right, solicitor, to make any disclosure a

all. I may be wrong, but I may say telegraph companies and their railway company. Therefore, these documents should be produced to counsel for the commission and he should be the Mr. Nolan: It may make a differ-commission and he should be the great deal of consideration, or to take ence, and I dont' want to suggest at judge as to whether or not they are the advice of counsel on it, but it appears to me that, until I have in structions from my client, I should not disclose anything in connection

You may tell me this; for what pur pose were these papers sent to you? Well, I think i have the same diffi-Asks for Answer. Mr. Walsh-I think, my lords, I an

entitled to an answer to that question, been for the purpose of getting documents which may be useful. To find the did not think these private parties, cide whether or not the private does not should undertake to give that evidence. sion or some in the possession of Mr. jection if I have an opportunity f client, that is the ground upon which Bennett. Mostly all, if not all, I talking it over with Mr. Bennett, to he refuses to answer.

of the Legislature, or from others giv. I have got. As I understand it, the that Mr. Henwood stated that at the tuture of Alberta with respect to the to Toronto? people who are presumably on the outset, but they may have been sent matters disclosed by these papers that other side want to know now before to him for some purpose which would they put their witnesses in the box not be a purpose within the discharge Mr. Walsh—My lords, I did not making a copy and keeping it other side want to know now before to him for some purpose which the discharge they put their witnesses in the box not be a purpose within the discharge to convey the idea that Mr. Henthey put their witnesses in the box not be a solicitor at all.

property asked a particular witness.

Mr. Justice Harvey—You can get property asked a particular witness.

Mr. Justice Scott: Then they all fall under that class?

Mr. Justice Harvey: The commission of the person from whom he pression that I have.

Mr. Justice Harvey—You can get the name of the person from whom he pression that I have.

Mr. Justice Harvey—You can get the name of the person from whom he pression that I have.

Mr. Justice Harvey—You can get the name of the person from whom he pression that I have.

Mr. Justice Harvey—You can get the name of the person from whom he pression that I have.

Mr. Justice Harvey—You can get the name of the person from whom he pression that I have.

Mr. Justice Harvey—You can get the name of the person from whom he pression that I have. Mr. Walsh-From whom did you re eive the papers? I received them from Mr. Davidson,

solicitor in Toronto. Of what firm? The firm of Henderson & Davidson Were they returned to him? They were. For whom were you acting in the atter?

I was acting through Mr. Henderson Mr. Hawes. What is his full name, do you know? Did you keep any copy of these

I did not. When were they returned to Mr. They were returned about the 15th March, I think. This present month? Yes.

Mr. Justice Harvey-Did you say Mr. Hawes is of Toronto? Mr. Walsh-Under whose instruc tions did you return them?

Under the instructions of Mr. David. I understand your communication hen, in the matter was all with Mr. Davidson? Yes.

Again Claims Privilege. Was any member of the Government Legislature of Alberta concerned the matter? Well, I don't think I should go into the matter further, Mr. Walsh. may be wrong and I am willing to do whatever your lordships think right I should do. It seems to me I have ber of the legislature.

no right to make any further dislosures without the consent at any Mr. Walsh-Do your lordship think that question is one that should and a third party would not be pri e answered?

Mr. Justice Harvey-It is doubtful, the way you put it. It might refer to a communication as between his client and himself, or it might refer to something outside of that communication The communication might be between solicitor and client.

Was Any Member Concerned. Mr. Walsh-I think I would be er hese papers were relevant or not to titled to carry the question this far his enquiry—not necessarily limited Mr. Henwood has told us that these o letters giving information.

The Witness: Mr. Walsh has them with certain matters that might be already, excepting the letters giving within the scope of this enquiry. think that I am entitled to ask hi me information.

Mr. Justice Scott: You have already handed over documents of every other ment or any member of the Legisla-

The Witness: Everyone that I know matter which he was required to transmr. Biggar is acting for the parties who were Mr. Henwood's clients in the matter?

Mr. Justice Harvey—I did not hear who were Mr. Henwood's clients in the matter?

Mr. Biggar is acting for the parties who were Mr. Henwood's clients in the matter?

Mr. Biggar is acting for the parties who were Mr. Henwood's clients in the matter?

Mr. Biggar is acting for the parties who were Mr. Henwood's clients in the matter?

Mr. Biggar is acting for the parties who were Mr. Henwood's clients in the matter?

Mr. Biggar is acting for the parties who were Mr. Henwood's clients in the matter?

Mr. Biggar is acting for the parties who were Mr. Henwood's clients in the matter?

Mr. Biggar—No, I was on the opposite side. of the nature where information can have some bearing upon this question. Walsh-Were these papers sent you, Mr. Henwood, in connection with Henwood; I think I am entitled to any transaction to which any member that and probably that would be suf-

of the Government or Legislature of ficient for the present. Well. I don't know whether I tion with Mr. Cornwall. should answer this question or not. It seems to me that if I say from whom I got the documents and for have disclosed as much as I should you by Mr. Davidson? by disclose, and say at the same time

these possibly may have some bearing Are we going to have the Mr. Justice Harvey: I do not think

Well, but bearing in any way on this

Well, but bearing in any way on this

Well and the stand with the communication of the stand whether the stand with the communication of the stand whether the stand with the communication of the stand whether the stand with the communication of the stand whether the stand with the communication of the stand whether the stand whether the stand with the communication of the stand whether the stand with the communication of the stand whether the stand whether

Mr. Walsh: Did you have any con with respect to the matters disclosed by these papers that were sent to you?

estion either. Why not? Any communication that I had with regard to that was privileged. The communication you would have I think it might be, I don't know

Mr. Justice Scot—What have you to say with regard to the region?

I don't know my lord that I am at iberty to answer. Mr. Justice Scott-It seems to me as you have shown them to other pe

ole other than your client your privi ege to a certain extent is gone. you showed them to others then ther s no reason why you should not dis se the documents to us. Mr. Justice Harvey-The element secrecy would be very largely put out of the way if you have shown them to

as to the question as put to you? Do you still persist in refusing to answer?
Well, with your lordships permision, I think I might have a little further time to look into the matter or if necessary to have some one re-present me in the matter, because, as say, I received a subpoena this norning and I do not like

in a way that would not be right in my client's interest. Mr. Justice Harvey-Are we gather that you were resting on tassumption that you would not asked to come before this con and give any information ?

Until this morning? Yes, my lord. Witness Was Very Innocent. Mr. Justice Harvey-You must be ery innocent, knowing what is going

Mr. Justice Scott-Put the question again, Mr. Walsh. Question read as follows-Did you have any communication with any Mr. Walsh-Yes, I am quite aware member of the government or legisla-

I think they all fall under that class?

I think they all fall under that what we know. I do not propose they class except a memorandum made by shall if I can help it.

South I did not propose within the discharge of his duty as a solicitor at all.

Mr. Justice Scott—We have just to accept his statement that he received that would fall in the same class—suggesting certain things that should be properly asked a particular witness.

The want to show his hand until the properly asked a particular witness.

The want to convey the idea that Mr. Hence the same that he received them as a solicitor.

Mr. Justice Scott—We have just to accept his statement that he received them as a solicitor.

Mr. Justice Harvey—You can get the matters that he did had dealings respecting the matters that he matte with any member of the government or any member of the legislature.

The witness—It seems to me if

> the communications I received from Mr. Justice Scott-Is it absolutely

necessary for you to obtain this in-formation? this stage, we are looking for documents now. The documents in fact ments now. are not here; Mr. Henwood has said they were sent to Toronto. I have first place, for what purpose, it you heard a report, I don't know whether had the originals? it is true, but I have heard there are I made it for my own protection,

copies in existence.
Mr. Walsh—I have no information as to any person them if Mr. Henwood has not them. The reason I think it is important is this. I do not know whether or not this. I do not know whether or not thing further.

Well, I don't think I will say thing further.

Was there anything that necessitating making a copy? You say for making a copy? You say for the copy is the copy in the copy in the copy in the copy is the copy in the copy in the copy is the copy in the copy in the copy in the copy is the copy in the copy in the copy in the copy in the copy is the copy in the it comes within the scope of this en-quiry and I cannot decide whether they are important or not unless I know that they will be relevant to the enquiry. The position I take is this. My information is to the effect. it may be true or may not, I don't know, that the correspondence that Mr. Henwood had in his hands, and

to which he has referred. is correspondence between his client, Mr. Hawes. whom he has spoken of, and a mem-ber of the legislature of the province of Alberta. Now, I think I am en-titled to ask Mr. Henwood if he had yourself and the stenographer who any communication with that party. Mr. Justice Harvey-That member of the legislature?

The following is the remainder the evidence before the Royal Commission Great Waterways enquiry on Wednesday:
Mr. Walsh—Yes, my lord, that mem-

Mr. Justice Scott-We are of opinion that he is not justified in refusing to answer the question, for this reason. that a communication between him villeged between himself and his cli ent. Under the circumstances I do not see why you should refuse to give the information, Mr. He wood. Mr. Walsh-I would ask your an

swer to that question? (Question read again.) Mr. Biggar—My lords, I have been distrained for the last few minutes by my friends on my left from interjecting myself into this discussion.

The client for whom I act in this connecton is sitting behind me and I am
that question, if he ever showed these prepared to give all the information documents or papers to any member that I have. I have most of the information that can in any event be useful to the papers that are under

Mr. Justice Scott-Is that satisfact with any member of the government ment or any member of the ory? ture of Alberta was concerned in the ory? Mr. Walsh—Do I understand that

to this question I have put to Mr,

Mr. Nolan: Mr. request extends, of the province of Alberta was a party? | The Witness-I had a communica-Respecting the matters dealt with It seems to me a perfectly fair ques-

whom I was acting in the matter, that in this correspondence forwarded to tion to ask Mr. Henwood to whom disclose, and say at the same time Yes.
that I was employed in the capacity Mr. Walsh—I understand Mr. Bigof a solicitor in connection with these gar's offer to be to give me the infor- something about that already Mr. documents or communications that I mation which he thinks should be conveyed from the other side rela-Mr. Justice Harvey: You say that tive to this matter.
Mr. Biggar—I would like to do it right away as a witness if Mr. Walsh would be satisfied with that.

was only one copy made while the Mr. Walsh-I would rather not d t in that way, Mr. Biggar. Mr. Biggar—My client, for whom I—No answer.

Can you tell me the name of the was acting, desires that it be made in person that way and it was for that purpose that I made the offer. Mr. Walsh-Have you any obje ion to letting me see the papers?

Mr. Biggar-I have absolutely noth ng in writing, absolutely.
Mr. Walsh—Perhaps when we reach Mr. Walsh—Perhaps when we reach the main subject of the enquiry if we have not the papers your offer may the facts are these. Of course Mr. be taken advantage of; I do not think Henwood has been doing exactly the

Mr. Biggar-If it is to be taken ad- into the matter was this. Mr. Cornvantage of it seems to me it should wall came to me one Saturday mornbe taken advantage of now, some ing, I have forgotten how many weeks smoke has been raised and I would ago, and told me a man named Hawes ould be one of the class that I have like to put out the fire right away; I was making a claim against him, and have the pail of water handy. Mr. Justice Harvey-Are you afraid same time, against Mr. Minty. I it may get beyond your control? Mr. Biggar-No, but I understand tion. The result was that in the aft we are going to adjourn now for about ernoon I went to see Mr. Henwood

The Cross-Examination.

ents while in your possession? Yes.

understood that my clerk made For what purpose? I thought it would be well to have Have you got the copy?

Who has the copy?

It was destroyed Who destroyed it? My clerk. Oh, some ten days ago. Under instructions From whom? From my principal in Toronto.

letter or wire, or how? By telegram. has been destroyed? The material was returned to him.

oronto? When did you return the originals PAGE FIVE.

Had you any instructions about making a copy and keeping it when you were asked for the originals?

I would ask your lordships to protect me in the matter. objected himself. You were asked to return the originanswer the question I may be called als and you have a copy in your pos-upon to disclose exactly the nature of the communications. I the communications of the communications of the communications of the communications of the communications.

You made the copy after you re-No, when the originals were return-Mr. Justice Beck-Is it necessary at ed the copy in my possession was de-

simply.

Was there anything requiring pro-

your protection? Was that copy ne-Well, I felt that it was. When were the originals returned? On the date that I gave you. What date was that?

And when was the copy destroyed? The same time. The 15th of March?

made it? No. Did anybody see the originals while they were in your possession? Well, I shall object to answer any

If I make the suggestion will you whether I am right or wrong? No, I won't say anything further. Cross-examination by Mr. Parlee-Did you show these papers to any member of the legislature while they were here, the originals?

Well, I don't know that I should go any further into the matter.
Mr. Parlee—My lords, I think I am justified in asking if he has shown these papers to any member of the legislature. Mr. Justice Scott-We will not in-

sist on his answering that question. Mr. Parlee—Did he show these papers to any member of the government? Mr. Justice Beck—That is the same

of the government?
The witness—I do decline to answer Did you ever have any negotiations

regarding those papers? I decline to answer that too. Mr. Parlee—Presumably th

sume they are destroyed Mr. Justice Harvey-Mr. Henwood would be as good as any one to give Mr. Parlee-He possibly might be. It might be necessary at the opening of the inquiry to have these witnesses here who have seen these documents

he has shown them so as to get sec ondary evidence. Mr. Justice Scott-Don't you know Mr. Parlee-I would not like to say Mr. MacKenzie (To the witness)-I want to be clear about that. There

that correct? who made the copy? 'I don't think I can tell you and

thing more Mr. MacKenzie

O. M. BIGGAR I do not think I can answer that at the present time it is proper to go only thing he could possibly do in the circumstances. The I think possibly he told me at the don't know when I got that informawho I learned was acting for this Mr

Mr. Walsh-I do not think I can Hawes, and Mr. Henwood produced carry the matter through with Mr. the papers to me and our negotiations Henwood at the present time. I will took place on the Saturday, on the consult with my colleague about Mr. Monday and on the Tuesday and I thing possibly on the Wednesday of the week. Of course it was absolutely Was a copy made of these docu- without prejudice. Mr. Henwood showed me the documents which he had and he told me that his instructions were that he should hand over these documents and settle the claim -the two things were always united handing over the documents and settling the claim, if Mr. Cornwall would come up with a quarter of a million loliars. The thing was too preposterous for serious consideration and we talked about it for two or three days and Mr. Henwood tried to get instruc tions. It was \$250,000 or your life, as it were; he had no authority to say nything else. That Wednesday o Thursday he having failed in that, that he had I don't know whether you want the secondary evidence now -but they are all the ones that I saw and I understood that I saw all the naterial ones. They are all on the Inder instructions from your princi-files that I have seen in Mr. Minty's al in Toronto the only copy extant hands and my own, They are all on tone letter that Mr Cornwall wrote to And you kept a copy of it? You Mr. Hawes, but that is absolutely im-

cept it and returned the original to material. It dealt with the con tion that he had with Mr. Cushing

(Continued on Page Six.)

actory in stock. cate Ave. Edmonton ROVED CITY esters 114 Jasper W.

and how

Maps of

ny other

art and

three-

renewing

ram can

berta

www n the conduct of Government who Such attack would in direspect of an the slander opposing memand as men are this kind must er attacks. That nd ready oppors in kind makes nre. In the in-, truth and san are trying to s a gag into the de but persist in organs as they

inded up.

ere was a spirit ture this mornof bills proposze medical stuhysicians in the a dozen such paper today. Tommittee passed them.

ure Objects.