His Lordship: The first patent had no connection with the storage?

Mr. Anglin: Neither patent has any connection with what is strictly called storage house. The second is for the working house.

His Lordship:—The first is not for a working house?

Mr. Anglin: Yes, it is also for a working house.

His Lordship: It does not say so.

Mr. Anglin: It is incidentally shewn. I do not want to anticipate it. Q. So that these plans were made in January, 1906, or December, 1905, and were approved in January, 1906? A. Approved January 30th, 1906.

Q. All three of them? A. Yes.

Q. His Lordship asked a question as to the construction of the elevator that you mentioned, that it was accepted some time in 1907. We might get the record of that. You have here, I understand, the letter to your company, the Barnett & Record Company, asking for acceptance, and their letter in reply, and a subsequent letter from you, and the letter in reply to that; the last, which is the letter of the railway company, stating that 'it now seems to be finished up in satisfactory shape,' being dated October 11th, 1907? A. Yes.

Mr. Davidson: I suppose those copies will be taken under reserve.

His Lordship: Yes, if you wish.

Mr. Davidson: I have not seen them. I do not know what they contain.

Mr. Anglin: Q. Two of these are the actual original letters? A. Yes.

Q. The letter of August 23rd, 1907, and the letter of October 11th, 1907, are the original letters written by the railway company to your American company? A. Yes.

Q. And the others, I believe, are carbon copies? A. Copies of our letters to them.

Q. Are they duplicates made at the time, or are they carbon copies? A. They are carbon copies made at the time.

Mr. Anglin: There are two original letters, with two copies. (Exhibit 8). Q. What was your reason for going