DNA: upping the risk of research

by Clifford A. Welch eprinted from College Press Service by Canadian University Press

DENVER (CPS-CUP) - The Movie Anmeda Strain dramatized a situation in which a an bacteria strain is discovered and typed by a group of scientists. It turns out that the emitted by the bacteria is very potent; if ased to the environment it would quickly oduce and destroy humanity. It is handled carefully in what is described as the safest, protected, well-equipped, ultra-secret arch laboratory in the world. And yet, the makes clear, this genocidal andromeda eria is almost injected into the air waves by lab's fail-safe system, due to an understane combination of human error and inaporiate knowledge.

At this time, in nearly 100 university, college, industrial laboratories across the nation, ntists and researchers less well-equipped those in the Andromeda fiction are conducexperiments which, it is widely agreed, run risk of science fiction-type screw-ups.

Genetic experimentation, in particular ombinant DNA studies, run the "risk" — of a of possibilities as yet neither proven nor moven — of disrupting our natural ecological ems, according to Larry Gordon, a researcher the People's Business Commission (PBC) ch opposes recominant DNA research.

Recombinant DNA experimentation, which not technically feasible until 1973, is the itored combining of DNA or gene chains (the ogical blueprint that determines the editary characteristics of all living things) from organism with the DNA of another, dissimilar anism to form foreign genes. Recombination been done with the DNA of a cancer virus and of a bacteria, for instance. The created strains breign or mutant DNA make bacteria with a ety of new properties — from curing diabetes ausing cancer.

It is the wide variety of mutant possibilities has upset people and ignited a national troversy questioning the necessity of recomant DNA research. There is, most observers nit, the potential of an "Andromeda Strain" in Aresearch — what differentiates proponents opponents is the degree of seriousness with th they take the risk potential.

So far there have been no problems with the A experiments but opponents, like Gordon, culate on the potential.

Gordon points to the "shotgun methods"

used in recombinant DNA studies as one place where irreparable mistakes might occur. To create new DNA forms, some scientists blast together various species of bacteria like a shotgun shell fired into a small container. Inadvertently, something very harmful, not unlike the andromeda strain, could be created, Gordon

Because of this Dr. Lieve Cavalieri, of the Sloane-Kettering Institute for Cancer Research says, "Only one accident is needed to harm all of

But what opponents are more concerned with, Gordon says, is the purposeful injection of these mutant colonies in our environment. There is talk of creating new animals and plants which will circumvent our natural ecological system, he says. Gordon adds that scientists hope to develop a hearty plant which would produce nitrogen - a necessary ingredient which most plants must get from the ground. The mutant plant could be grown in areas where known vegetation could not survive. "But," Gordon warns, "what if the plant had the proliferation characteristics of weeds - it might wipe out other plants, causing ecological disaster to the food chain."

A top official at the National Institute of Health, a government agency which gives out genetic research grants, did not deny the claim that risks are involved but he believes, with proper safeguards, the benefits of recombinant DNA experimentation outweigh the potential problems.

The official, who asked not to be named, explained that there were two main classes of advantages. The most easily taken is the reason which guides much of science: "To find out more about ourselves. DNA research is perhaps the most revealing method we have discovered." There is little doubt on either side of the debate: the closer we get to understanding and being able to manipulate gene chains, the closer we are to understanding life. It is a rich line of inquiry.

Second, there are scientific products, like the nitrogen producing plants. Within DNA recombination lay a limitless potential of biological offspring. For instance, insulin, that magical but scarce component of every diabetic's life, could be created in the laboratory. This is good news for diabetics as well as for pharmaceutical industries, which, according to Gordon, have tried to place patents on the information collected in recombination DNA research.

The PBC, flanked by such groups as the Friends of the Earth and scientists like Nobel laureate Dr. George Wald of Harvard, would like to see a "full-scale moratorium" on recombinant DNA research and experimentation until a national public debate is held to decide, in Gordon's words, "whether we have the right and wisdom to fool with Mother Nature."

enjoyed for over 125 years.

Brits poison cells

oting the sale of infectious teriological organisms, using fears among the sciencommunity that terrorists d purchase them and use mto contaminate entire cities.

The British defense ministry nceded in mid-February that for the organisms began

ONDON (ZNS-CUP) - A running in the prominent British tish military research scientific magazine Nature in pratory has been openly December. Researchers in at leset nine western Europ nations are reported to have purchased the bacteria.

> The ads offer "bacteria by the kilogram," including three strains of germs which cause severe stomach infections, and one strain of influek, which can cause pneumonia and other lung infections.

ADDITIONAL EXTENSION OF LIBRARY HOURS

On Sundays, from March 13th to April 17th, he Cameron. Education and Rutherford Libraries will open at 10:00 A.M.



The unique taste of Southern Comfort,