

Literary Competition

Three Prizes: \$20, \$15, \$5.

W. H. Thompson, M.D., D.Sc., aided by other dietetic experts at Trinity College, Dublin, made a series of elaborate experiments to ascertain the value of "Bovril".

"Now, good digestion wait on appetite, And health on both!"

From what source is this taken? THREE PRIZES as above will be awarded for correct answers.

Replies must be addressed "Competition," Bovril Limited, 27 St. Peter Street, Montreal, and must state:

- (1) The name of the author, name of play and number of act and scene. (2) The full name and address of sender. (3) The name of this newspaper.

The letters will be opened at 11 a.m., April 12 next, and the prizes will be awarded to the writers of the first three letters opened containing a correct reply.

The names of the successful competitors will be sent to this paper on April 12 and will appear in our first advertisement inserted after receipt.

The competition is open to all, except employees of the Company, the Company's representatives or their assistants.

ONE DOLLAR GAS

Housewives with an eye to thrift will be especially interested in the free demonstration of cooking with One Dollar Gas, which will be given this afternoon.

As this demonstration, as already announced, three complete meals, with a number of extra articles, including bread, will be cooked simultaneously, utilizing the same quantity of gas for the whole operation, in but little more than thirty-five minutes, the fuel costing a mere trifle.

Mr. Thompson, the expert demonstrator, who will be assisted by Vincent the Oxters, will prove that for culinary and other purposes One Dollar Gas effects the greatest saving in time, labor and expense.

As Friday is a holiday, the second demonstration will be held on Thursday afternoon, beginning promptly at 3 o'clock. The menu for today's demonstration will be found in the One Dollar Gas advertisement on page 11.

Mr. Thompson will be at the showrooms today from 10 o'clock till noon and for a short time after the demonstration to explain the various appliances for cooking with gas.

Mr. Thompson visited the domestic science classes today and extended to the pupils a hearty invitation to attend the cookery demonstrations of today and Thursday.

Mr. Thompson, the expert demonstrator, who will be assisted by Vincent the Oxters, will prove that for culinary and other purposes One Dollar Gas effects the greatest saving in time, labor and expense.

As Friday is a holiday, the second demonstration will be held on Thursday afternoon, beginning promptly at 3 o'clock. The menu for today's demonstration will be found in the One Dollar Gas advertisement on page 11.

Mr. Thompson will be at the showrooms today from 10 o'clock till noon and for a short time after the demonstration to explain the various appliances for cooking with gas.

Mr. Thompson visited the domestic science classes today and extended to the pupils a hearty invitation to attend the cookery demonstrations of today and Thursday.

Mr. Thompson, the expert demonstrator, who will be assisted by Vincent the Oxters, will prove that for culinary and other purposes One Dollar Gas effects the greatest saving in time, labor and expense.

As Friday is a holiday, the second demonstration will be held on Thursday afternoon, beginning promptly at 3 o'clock. The menu for today's demonstration will be found in the One Dollar Gas advertisement on page 11.

Mr. Thompson will be at the showrooms today from 10 o'clock till noon and for a short time after the demonstration to explain the various appliances for cooking with gas.

Mr. Thompson visited the domestic science classes today and extended to the pupils a hearty invitation to attend the cookery demonstrations of today and Thursday.

Mr. Thompson, the expert demonstrator, who will be assisted by Vincent the Oxters, will prove that for culinary and other purposes One Dollar Gas effects the greatest saving in time, labor and expense.

As Friday is a holiday, the second demonstration will be held on Thursday afternoon, beginning promptly at 3 o'clock. The menu for today's demonstration will be found in the One Dollar Gas advertisement on page 11.

Mr. Thompson will be at the showrooms today from 10 o'clock till noon and for a short time after the demonstration to explain the various appliances for cooking with gas.

Mr. Thompson visited the domestic science classes today and extended to the pupils a hearty invitation to attend the cookery demonstrations of today and Thursday.

Mr. Thompson, the expert demonstrator, who will be assisted by Vincent the Oxters, will prove that for culinary and other purposes One Dollar Gas effects the greatest saving in time, labor and expense.

As Friday is a holiday, the second demonstration will be held on Thursday afternoon, beginning promptly at 3 o'clock. The menu for today's demonstration will be found in the One Dollar Gas advertisement on page 11.

Mr. Thompson will be at the showrooms today from 10 o'clock till noon and for a short time after the demonstration to explain the various appliances for cooking with gas.

Mr. Thompson visited the domestic science classes today and extended to the pupils a hearty invitation to attend the cookery demonstrations of today and Thursday.

Mr. Thompson, the expert demonstrator, who will be assisted by Vincent the Oxters, will prove that for culinary and other purposes One Dollar Gas effects the greatest saving in time, labor and expense.

As Friday is a holiday, the second demonstration will be held on Thursday afternoon, beginning promptly at 3 o'clock. The menu for today's demonstration will be found in the One Dollar Gas advertisement on page 11.

Mr. Thompson will be at the showrooms today from 10 o'clock till noon and for a short time after the demonstration to explain the various appliances for cooking with gas.

Mr. Thompson visited the domestic science classes today and extended to the pupils a hearty invitation to attend the cookery demonstrations of today and Thursday.

Mr. Thompson, the expert demonstrator, who will be assisted by Vincent the Oxters, will prove that for culinary and other purposes One Dollar Gas effects the greatest saving in time, labor and expense.

As Friday is a holiday, the second demonstration will be held on Thursday afternoon, beginning promptly at 3 o'clock. The menu for today's demonstration will be found in the One Dollar Gas advertisement on page 11.

Mr. Thompson will be at the showrooms today from 10 o'clock till noon and for a short time after the demonstration to explain the various appliances for cooking with gas.

Mr. Thompson visited the domestic science classes today and extended to the pupils a hearty invitation to attend the cookery demonstrations of today and Thursday.

Mr. Thompson, the expert demonstrator, who will be assisted by Vincent the Oxters, will prove that for culinary and other purposes One Dollar Gas effects the greatest saving in time, labor and expense.

As Friday is a holiday, the second demonstration will be held on Thursday afternoon, beginning promptly at 3 o'clock. The menu for today's demonstration will be found in the One Dollar Gas advertisement on page 11.

Mr. Thompson will be at the showrooms today from 10 o'clock till noon and for a short time after the demonstration to explain the various appliances for cooking with gas.

Mr. Thompson visited the domestic science classes today and extended to the pupils a hearty invitation to attend the cookery demonstrations of today and Thursday.

Mr. Thompson, the expert demonstrator, who will be assisted by Vincent the Oxters, will prove that for culinary and other purposes One Dollar Gas effects the greatest saving in time, labor and expense.

As Friday is a holiday, the second demonstration will be held on Thursday afternoon, beginning promptly at 3 o'clock. The menu for today's demonstration will be found in the One Dollar Gas advertisement on page 11.

Mr. Thompson will be at the showrooms today from 10 o'clock till noon and for a short time after the demonstration to explain the various appliances for cooking with gas.

Mr. Thompson visited the domestic science classes today and extended to the pupils a hearty invitation to attend the cookery demonstrations of today and Thursday.

Mr. Thompson, the expert demonstrator, who will be assisted by Vincent the Oxters, will prove that for culinary and other purposes One Dollar Gas effects the greatest saving in time, labor and expense.

As Friday is a holiday, the second demonstration will be held on Thursday afternoon, beginning promptly at 3 o'clock. The menu for today's demonstration will be found in the One Dollar Gas advertisement on page 11.

Mr. Thompson will be at the showrooms today from 10 o'clock till noon and for a short time after the demonstration to explain the various appliances for cooking with gas.

Mr. Thompson visited the domestic science classes today and extended to the pupils a hearty invitation to attend the cookery demonstrations of today and Thursday.

Mr. Thompson, the expert demonstrator, who will be assisted by Vincent the Oxters, will prove that for culinary and other purposes One Dollar Gas effects the greatest saving in time, labor and expense.

The Evening Chit-Chat

By RUTH CAMERON

WHAT is it not only what one has to wear, but how it is worn, not only what furniture there is in a house, but how the house is kept, that makes a person or a house look attractive or unattractive, is a truism.

We had been gazing at a lovely new home the other day. The happy bride had proudly shown us all over it. She is decidedly well to do, and the furniture was beautiful. Dull, rich mahogany in the one old line, marvellously grained Circassian walnut brass beds of exquisite dull finish and chaste design, fragile gold and white china, heavy silver in the severe antique-pattern, beautiful pictures and wonderful oriental rugs—indeed, everything that the heart of man, or rather of woman, could desire, seemed to be in that house.

As we walked towards home my companion sighed enviously, "Wasn't it lovely? Oh, it just makes me sick to go into my house after that."

A vision of her home came before my eyes. The furnishings are good, though not expensive. The house is pleasant and has fine possibilities, and yet it is a distinctly unattractive home—far more unattractive than it has any reason for being.

For the mistress of the house ignores the truism that it is not only what is in a home, but how it is kept that makes it pleasing or not. Take her bedroom, for instance. With its pretty white beds, its well built oak dresser, its dainty cretonne hangings and comfortable window-curtains and a very pleasant room if it were kept perfectly clean and in good order. But when I last saw it, the hangings were soiled, the dresser was littered and one chair was soiled, the beds were carelessly made and one of them was with a long scratch which might have been easily remedied by a bit of enamel.

The effect was not pleasing. She evidently attributes the unattractive aspect to the lack of fine furniture. But if she had the finest furniture, the most expensive mahogany or Caraccian walnut, and kept the room so carelessly, it was as unattractive as she now is, it is, in fact, the effect would still be far from pleasing.

A certain young married woman envies her girl friends their pretty clothes and sometimes feels aggrieved that they buy for themselves. Apparently she is very ambitious to look well. And yet she often goes about in the most unattractive way. Her dresses are shabby and her hair is not well kept. The finest clothes worn in this way would be unattractive. The cheapest machine made houseworn, if it is of a becoming make and color, and carefully and neatly put on, would look better.

We may not all have beautiful furnishings or expensive clothes. But we may all wear our clothes and keep our homes in a way that shall give us their full value and attractiveness.

Mahogany, dirty, scratched and in disorder, is not so attractive as oak kept neat and orderly and unmarred.

A silk gown carelessly put on, and worn without belt or collar, is far less effective than aingham dress in proper condition.

The woman who not only acknowledges, but lives them, is, practically sure of an attractive person, a pleasing home.

gives not only the name of John Munro, but also of Mrs. John Munro his wife, and of several of their children. The preceding judge deputed this form of testimony and showed that on the tombstone of the patriot John Hampden, the names of his three wives and of his twelve children are inscribed, although the epigraph on the same monument explicitly states that he died unmarried.

There are two families of Munro. One is headed by Sir Hector Munro, of Foulis House, who makes his home with a castle, in Forfarshire, and is an offshoot of the Munros of Foulis and it is to them that the name of Munro belongs.

Some years ago the Burke's "Peagee" became involved in an equally odd quarrel with James Burke-Roche, brother and next heir to Lord Ferny, and who was parted from his American wife. The latter had left her husband, had her two boys and her daughter, and had rejoined her father, Frank Work, in New York. Mrs. Burke-Roche then proceeded to sue for divorce from the Delaware courts a divorce from her Irish husband. The divorce was decreed in Burke's favor, and the marriage against the editors of Burke's "Peagee" for having published the divorce proceedings.

He pointed out to them that, in the first place, being an Irishman, neither he nor his wife could secure a dissolution of their marriage, excepting by a private act of parliament, since divorce is unknown in Irish jurisprudence. He added that the divorce obtained by his wife from the courts of Delaware, was utterly valueless in the eyes of English or Irish law, since his wife had become a British subject by marrying him, and could secure matrimonial freedom only by the decree of the British courts or by act of parliament.

He claimed that the divorce proceedings were a ground of injury done to his good name in Ireland, where, although not himself a peer, he was a member of the House of Commons, and he claimed that the divorce proceedings were a ground of injury done to his good name in Ireland, where, although not himself a peer, he was a member of the House of Commons, and he claimed that the divorce proceedings were a ground of injury done to his good name in Ireland, where, although not himself a peer, he was a member of the House of Commons.

He pointed out to them that, in the first place, being an Irishman, neither he nor his wife could secure a dissolution of their marriage, excepting by a private act of parliament, since divorce is unknown in Irish jurisprudence. He added that the divorce obtained by his wife from the courts of Delaware, was utterly valueless in the eyes of English or Irish law, since his wife had become a British subject by marrying him, and could secure matrimonial freedom only by the decree of the British courts or by act of parliament.

He claimed that the divorce proceedings were a ground of injury done to his good name in Ireland, where, although not himself a peer, he was a member of the House of Commons, and he claimed that the divorce proceedings were a ground of injury done to his good name in Ireland, where, although not himself a peer, he was a member of the House of Commons.

He pointed out to them that, in the first place, being an Irishman, neither he nor his wife could secure a dissolution of their marriage, excepting by a private act of parliament, since divorce is unknown in Irish jurisprudence. He added that the divorce obtained by his wife from the courts of Delaware, was utterly valueless in the eyes of English or Irish law, since his wife had become a British subject by marrying him, and could secure matrimonial freedom only by the decree of the British courts or by act of parliament.

He claimed that the divorce proceedings were a ground of injury done to his good name in Ireland, where, although not himself a peer, he was a member of the House of Commons, and he claimed that the divorce proceedings were a ground of injury done to his good name in Ireland, where, although not himself a peer, he was a member of the House of Commons.

He pointed out to them that, in the first place, being an Irishman, neither he nor his wife could secure a dissolution of their marriage, excepting by a private act of parliament, since divorce is unknown in Irish jurisprudence. He added that the divorce obtained by his wife from the courts of Delaware, was utterly valueless in the eyes of English or Irish law, since his wife had become a British subject by marrying him, and could secure matrimonial freedom only by the decree of the British courts or by act of parliament.

He claimed that the divorce proceedings were a ground of injury done to his good name in Ireland, where, although not himself a peer, he was a member of the House of Commons, and he claimed that the divorce proceedings were a ground of injury done to his good name in Ireland, where, although not himself a peer, he was a member of the House of Commons.

He pointed out to them that, in the first place, being an Irishman, neither he nor his wife could secure a dissolution of their marriage, excepting by a private act of parliament, since divorce is unknown in Irish jurisprudence. He added that the divorce obtained by his wife from the courts of Delaware, was utterly valueless in the eyes of English or Irish law, since his wife had become a British subject by marrying him, and could secure matrimonial freedom only by the decree of the British courts or by act of parliament.

He claimed that the divorce proceedings were a ground of injury done to his good name in Ireland, where, although not himself a peer, he was a member of the House of Commons, and he claimed that the divorce proceedings were a ground of injury done to his good name in Ireland, where, although not himself a peer, he was a member of the House of Commons.

He pointed out to them that, in the first place, being an Irishman, neither he nor his wife could secure a dissolution of their marriage, excepting by a private act of parliament, since divorce is unknown in Irish jurisprudence. He added that the divorce obtained by his wife from the courts of Delaware, was utterly valueless in the eyes of English or Irish law, since his wife had become a British subject by marrying him, and could secure matrimonial freedom only by the decree of the British courts or by act of parliament.

He claimed that the divorce proceedings were a ground of injury done to his good name in Ireland, where, although not himself a peer, he was a member of the House of Commons, and he claimed that the divorce proceedings were a ground of injury done to his good name in Ireland, where, although not himself a peer, he was a member of the House of Commons.

He pointed out to them that, in the first place, being an Irishman, neither he nor his wife could secure a dissolution of their marriage, excepting by a private act of parliament, since divorce is unknown in Irish jurisprudence. He added that the divorce obtained by his wife from the courts of Delaware, was utterly valueless in the eyes of English or Irish law, since his wife had become a British subject by marrying him, and could secure matrimonial freedom only by the decree of the British courts or by act of parliament.

He claimed that the divorce proceedings were a ground of injury done to his good name in Ireland, where, although not himself a peer, he was a member of the House of Commons, and he claimed that the divorce proceedings were a ground of injury done to his good name in Ireland, where, although not himself a peer, he was a member of the House of Commons.

He pointed out to them that, in the first place, being an Irishman, neither he nor his wife could secure a dissolution of their marriage, excepting by a private act of parliament, since divorce is unknown in Irish jurisprudence. He added that the divorce obtained by his wife from the courts of Delaware, was utterly valueless in the eyes of English or Irish law, since his wife had become a British subject by marrying him, and could secure matrimonial freedom only by the decree of the British courts or by act of parliament.

He claimed that the divorce proceedings were a ground of injury done to his good name in Ireland, where, although not himself a peer, he was a member of the House of Commons, and he claimed that the divorce proceedings were a ground of injury done to his good name in Ireland, where, although not himself a peer, he was a member of the House of Commons.

He pointed out to them that, in the first place, being an Irishman, neither he nor his wife could secure a dissolution of their marriage, excepting by a private act of parliament, since divorce is unknown in Irish jurisprudence. He added that the divorce obtained by his wife from the courts of Delaware, was utterly valueless in the eyes of English or Irish law, since his wife had become a British subject by marrying him, and could secure matrimonial freedom only by the decree of the British courts or by act of parliament.

He claimed that the divorce proceedings were a ground of injury done to his good name in Ireland, where, although not himself a peer, he was a member of the House of Commons, and he claimed that the divorce proceedings were a ground of injury done to his good name in Ireland, where, although not himself a peer, he was a member of the House of Commons.

He pointed out to them that, in the first place, being an Irishman, neither he nor his wife could secure a dissolution of their marriage, excepting by a private act of parliament, since divorce is unknown in Irish jurisprudence. He added that the divorce obtained by his wife from the courts of Delaware, was utterly valueless in the eyes of English or Irish law, since his wife had become a British subject by marrying him, and could secure matrimonial freedom only by the decree of the British courts or by act of parliament.

He claimed that the divorce proceedings were a ground of injury done to his good name in Ireland, where, although not himself a peer, he was a member of the House of Commons, and he claimed that the divorce proceedings were a ground of injury done to his good name in Ireland, where, although not himself a peer, he was a member of the House of Commons.

He pointed out to them that, in the first place, being an Irishman, neither he nor his wife could secure a dissolution of their marriage, excepting by a private act of parliament, since divorce is unknown in Irish jurisprudence. He added that the divorce obtained by his wife from the courts of Delaware, was utterly valueless in the eyes of English or Irish law, since his wife had become a British subject by marrying him, and could secure matrimonial freedom only by the decree of the British courts or by act of parliament.

He claimed that the divorce proceedings were a ground of injury done to his good name in Ireland, where, although not himself a peer, he was a member of the House of Commons, and he claimed that the divorce proceedings were a ground of injury done to his good name in Ireland, where, although not himself a peer, he was a member of the House of Commons.

He pointed out to them that, in the first place, being an Irishman, neither he nor his wife could secure a dissolution of their marriage, excepting by a private act of parliament, since divorce is unknown in Irish jurisprudence. He added that the divorce obtained by his wife from the courts of Delaware, was utterly valueless in the eyes of English or Irish law, since his wife had become a British subject by marrying him, and could secure matrimonial freedom only by the decree of the British courts or by act of parliament.

He claimed that the divorce proceedings were a ground of injury done to his good name in Ireland, where, although not himself a peer, he was a member of the House of Commons, and he claimed that the divorce proceedings were a ground of injury done to his good name in Ireland, where, although not himself a peer, he was a member of the House of Commons.

He pointed out to them that, in the first place, being an Irishman, neither he nor his wife could secure a dissolution of their marriage, excepting by a private act of parliament, since divorce is unknown in Irish jurisprudence. He added that the divorce obtained by his wife from the courts of Delaware, was utterly valueless in the eyes of English or Irish law, since his wife had become a British subject by marrying him, and could secure matrimonial freedom only by the decree of the British courts or by act of parliament.

He claimed that the divorce proceedings were a ground of injury done to his good name in Ireland, where, although not himself a peer, he was a member of the House of Commons, and he claimed that the divorce proceedings were a ground of injury done to his good name in Ireland, where, although not himself a peer, he was a member of the House of Commons.

He pointed out to them that, in the first place, being an Irishman, neither he nor his wife could secure a dissolution of their marriage, excepting by a private act of parliament, since divorce is unknown in Irish jurisprudence. He added that the divorce obtained by his wife from the courts of Delaware, was utterly valueless in the eyes of English or Irish law, since his wife had become a British subject by marrying him, and could secure matrimonial freedom only by the decree of the British courts or by act of parliament.

He claimed that the divorce proceedings were a ground of injury done to his good name in Ireland, where, although not himself a peer, he was a member of the House of Commons, and he claimed that the divorce proceedings were a ground of injury done to his good name in Ireland, where, although not himself a peer, he was a member of the House of Commons.

He pointed out to them that, in the first place, being an Irishman, neither he nor his wife could secure a dissolution of their marriage, excepting by a private act of parliament, since divorce is unknown in Irish jurisprudence. He added that the divorce obtained by his wife from the courts of Delaware, was utterly valueless in the eyes of English or Irish law, since his wife had become a British subject by marrying him, and could secure matrimonial freedom only by the decree of the British courts or by act of parliament.

He claimed that the divorce proceedings were a ground of injury done to his good name in Ireland, where, although not himself a peer, he was a member of the House of Commons, and he claimed that the divorce proceedings were a ground of injury done to his good name in Ireland, where, although not himself a peer, he was a member of the House of Commons.

He pointed out to them that, in the first place, being an Irishman, neither he nor his wife could secure a dissolution of their marriage, excepting by a private act of parliament, since divorce is unknown in Irish jurisprudence. He added that the divorce obtained by his wife from the courts of Delaware, was utterly valueless in the eyes of English or Irish law, since his wife had become a British subject by marrying him, and could secure matrimonial freedom only by the decree of the British courts or by act of parliament.

He claimed that the divorce proceedings were a ground of injury done to his good name in Ireland, where, although not himself a peer, he was a member of the House of Commons, and he claimed that the divorce proceedings were a ground of injury done to his good name in Ireland, where, although not himself a peer, he was a member of the House of Commons.

He pointed out to them that, in the first place, being an Irishman, neither he nor his wife could secure a dissolution of their marriage, excepting by a private act of parliament, since divorce is unknown in Irish jurisprudence. He added that the divorce obtained by his wife from the courts of Delaware, was utterly valueless in the eyes of English or Irish law, since his wife had become a British subject by marrying him, and could secure matrimonial freedom only by the decree of the British courts or by act of parliament.

He claimed that the divorce proceedings were a ground of injury done to his good name in Ireland, where, although not himself a peer, he was a member of the House of Commons, and he claimed that the divorce proceedings were a ground of injury done to his good name in Ireland, where, although not himself a peer, he was a member of the House of Commons.

He pointed out to them that, in the first place, being an Irishman, neither he nor his wife could secure a dissolution of their marriage, excepting by a private act of parliament, since divorce is unknown in Irish jurisprudence. He added that the divorce obtained by his wife from the courts of Delaware, was utterly valueless in the eyes of English or Irish law, since his wife had become a British subject by marrying him, and could secure matrimonial freedom only by the decree of the British courts or by act of parliament.

He claimed that the divorce proceedings were a ground of injury done to his good name in Ireland, where, although not himself a peer, he was a member of the House of Commons, and he claimed that the divorce proceedings were a ground of injury done to his good name in Ireland, where, although not himself a peer, he was a member of the House of Commons.

He pointed out to them that, in the first place, being an Irishman, neither he nor his wife could secure a dissolution of their marriage, excepting by a private act of parliament, since divorce is unknown in Irish jurisprudence. He added that the divorce obtained by his wife from the courts of Delaware, was utterly valueless in the eyes of English or Irish law, since his wife had become a British subject by marrying him, and could secure matrimonial freedom only by the decree of the British courts or by act of parliament.

He claimed that the divorce proceedings were a ground of injury done to his good name in Ireland, where, although not himself a peer, he was a member of the House of Commons, and he claimed that the divorce proceedings were a ground of injury done to his good name in Ireland, where, although not himself a peer, he was a member of the House of Commons.

He pointed out to them that, in the first place, being an Irishman, neither he nor his wife could secure a dissolution of their marriage, excepting by a private act of parliament, since divorce is unknown in Irish jurisprudence. He added that the divorce obtained by his wife from the courts of Delaware, was utterly valueless in the eyes of English or Irish law, since his wife had become a British subject by marrying him, and could secure matrimonial freedom only by the decree of the British courts or by act of parliament.

Daily Hints For the Cook

SALAD DRESSING MADE OF BUTTER Two whole eggs, half teaspoonful of mustard, one tablespoon sugar, two tablespoons of butter, half teaspoon of salt, one tumbler of weak vinegar or past water. Beat the eggs and add gradually the different ingredients, the butter last, slightly melted; set the basin on the range, stir till it thickens, then add half a cup of sweet cream. Let cool and it is ready for use.

POTATO DUMPLINGS One dozen large potatoes, six table-spoons flour, two table-spoons baking powder, one table-spoon butter, three eggs, salt and nutmeg. Grate potatoes, which have been boiled and skinned the day before, mix with the flour, previously sifted together with baking powder; add the melted butter and eggs one by one, and salt and nutmeg to taste; form into balls about the size of a small apple, put into boiling water which has been well salted, boil 15 minutes; take out with skimmer and serve with any kind of sauce or pot roast.

One dozen large potatoes, six table-spoons flour, two table-spoons baking powder, one table-spoon butter, three eggs, salt and nutmeg. Grate potatoes, which have been boiled and skinned the day before, mix with the flour, previously sifted together with baking powder; add the melted butter and eggs one by one, and salt and nutmeg to taste; form into balls about the size of a small apple, put into boiling water which has been well salted, boil 15 minutes; take out with skimmer and serve with any kind of sauce or pot roast.

One dozen large potatoes, six table-spoons flour, two table-spoons baking powder, one table-spoon butter, three eggs, salt and nutmeg. Grate potatoes, which have been boiled and skinned the day before, mix with the flour, previously sifted together with baking powder; add the melted butter and eggs one by one, and salt and nutmeg to taste; form into balls about the size of a small apple, put into boiling water which has been well salted, boil 15 minutes; take out with skimmer and serve with any kind of sauce or pot roast.

One dozen large potatoes, six table-spoons flour, two table-spoons baking powder, one table-spoon butter, three eggs, salt and nutmeg. Grate potatoes, which have been boiled and skinned the day before, mix with the flour, previously sifted together with baking powder; add the melted butter and eggs one by one, and salt and nutmeg to taste; form into balls about the size of a small apple, put into boiling water which has been well salted, boil 15 minutes; take out with skimmer and serve with any kind of sauce or pot roast.

One dozen large potatoes, six table-spoons flour, two table-spoons baking powder, one table-spoon butter, three eggs, salt and nutmeg. Grate potatoes, which have been boiled and skinned the day before, mix with the flour, previously sifted together with baking powder; add the melted butter and eggs one by one, and salt and nutmeg to taste; form into balls about the size of a small apple, put into boiling water which has been well salted, boil 15 minutes; take out with skimmer and serve with any kind of sauce or pot roast.

One dozen large potatoes, six table-spoons flour, two table-spoons baking powder, one table-spoon butter, three eggs, salt and nutmeg. Grate potatoes, which have been boiled and skinned the day before, mix with the flour, previously sifted together with baking powder; add the melted butter and eggs one by one, and salt and nutmeg to taste; form into balls about the size of a small apple, put into boiling water which has been well salted, boil 15 minutes; take out with skimmer and serve with any kind of sauce or pot roast.

One dozen large potatoes, six table-spoons flour, two table-spoons baking powder, one table-spoon butter, three eggs, salt and nutmeg. Grate potatoes, which have been boiled and skinned the day before, mix with the flour, previously sifted together with baking powder; add the melted butter and eggs one by one, and salt and nutmeg to taste; form into balls about the size of a small apple, put into boiling water which has been well salted, boil 15 minutes; take out with skimmer and serve with any kind of sauce or pot roast.

One dozen large potatoes, six table-spoons flour, two table-spoons baking powder, one table-spoon butter, three eggs, salt and nutmeg. Grate potatoes, which have been boiled and skinned the day before, mix with the flour, previously sifted together with baking powder; add the melted butter and eggs one by one, and salt and nutmeg to taste; form into balls about the size of a small apple, put into boiling water which has been well salted, boil 15 minutes; take out with skimmer and serve with any kind of sauce or pot roast.

One dozen large potatoes, six table-spoons flour, two table-spoons baking powder, one table-spoon butter, three eggs, salt and nutmeg. Grate potatoes, which have been boiled and skinned the day before, mix with the flour, previously sifted together with baking powder; add the melted butter and eggs one by one, and salt and nutmeg to taste; form into balls about the size of a small apple, put into boiling water which has been well salted, boil 15 minutes; take out with skimmer and serve with any kind of sauce or pot roast.

One dozen large potatoes, six table-spoons flour, two table-spoons baking powder, one table-spoon butter, three eggs, salt and nutmeg. Grate potatoes, which have been boiled and skinned the day before, mix with the flour, previously sifted together with baking powder; add the melted butter and eggs one by one, and salt and nutmeg to taste; form into balls about the size of a small apple, put into boiling water which has been well salted, boil 15 minutes; take out with skimmer and serve with any kind of sauce or pot roast.

One dozen large potatoes, six table-spoons flour, two table-spoons baking powder, one table-spoon butter, three eggs, salt and nutmeg. Grate potatoes, which have been boiled and skinned the day before, mix with the flour, previously sifted together with baking powder; add the melted butter and eggs one by one, and salt and nutmeg to taste; form into balls about the size of a small apple, put into boiling water which has been well salted, boil 15 minutes; take out with skimmer and serve with any kind of sauce or pot roast.

One dozen large potatoes, six table-spoons flour, two table-spoons baking powder, one table-spoon butter, three eggs, salt and nutmeg. Grate potatoes, which have been boiled and skinned the day before, mix with the flour, previously sifted together with baking powder; add the melted butter and eggs one by one, and salt and nutmeg to taste; form into balls about the size of a small apple, put into boiling water which has been well salted, boil 15 minutes; take out with skimmer and serve with any kind of sauce or pot roast.

One dozen large potatoes, six table-spoons flour, two table-spoons baking powder, one table-spoon butter, three eggs, salt and nutmeg. Grate potatoes, which have been boiled and skinned the day before, mix with the flour, previously sifted together with baking powder; add the melted butter and eggs one by one, and salt and nutmeg to taste; form into balls about the size of a