

he should with bended knees, and uplifted hands, implore their forgiveness. This he refused to do and glad am I of it; for they discharged him without submitting, to so servile and ignominious a homage. Thus we have a picture drawn at length, how truly and faithfully they guard our rights, which they have so solemnly promised to perform, and which I will prove they never intended to perform, but on the contrary, to have chains put on our necks, and they to be the *men* to rivet and to fix them.

I have watched the proceedings of the House of Assembly with a vestal's vigilance, and shall now fully display their conduct, with regard to the Digby Election. A gentleman of the name of Wm. H. Roach took his seat as member for the township of Digby, being fully qualified by law, and elected by a majority of ONE. A Mr. Hughes petitioned the House against Mr. Roach, declaring in that petition that HE ought to be the sitting member. After several days debating on this very important point, a majority of the House decided, that the seat of Mr. Roach ought to be vacated, and a new writ issued for the election of a member to represent the township of Digby in general assembly. Now if ONE vote does not decide a contested election, I would be glad to know if one THOUSAND would. Yet the members of our House of Representatives have decided that 123 is more than 124, and their resolutions on that occasion affects in my opinion the very VITALS of our chartered constitution, they being subversive of the rights of the whole body of electors in this province. They being so, then, they ought to be expunged from the journals of the House.

This House of Assembly is created by the people, as the other House, I mean the Council, is created by the representatives of His Majesty; if, then, a majority of the House of Assembly declare in contradiction to the writ of the sheriff, that a duly elected member ought not to sit, tho' qualified BY LAW, and duly chosen,