not procure his pay before giving his evidence. This caused a laugh throughout the Court, in which the judge joined, and Charley was obliged to hand him 2s. 6d.

Here Charley said, Mr. Carey, you have, I dare say, heard Mr. Dean, the defendant, say he had received letters at our Post Office, in the name of R. Peel. Mr. C. said, I have. I have also heard him assign the cause for it. (That is not what I wanted said honest Charley.) Did vou never hear him say that he had received letters in other names in addition to R. Peel? Never. Here Charley looked daggers! and said, I have done The defendant said, Then I will begin with you Mr. Carey. with you. Do you not know that Charley Magrath is a twice convicted slanderer? Here *amiable* Charley called out at the top of his voice, (as if he gloried aduits! in his shame,) "I admit it, and paid the verdict the day it became due." The defendant said, no! only in the latter Lusty's case. The former one, MacNabb's, was made up by subscription.

Charley

he was

fined !

bor

Caney

Lucars

hard!

Archibald Paterson, formerly of Primrose Cottage, Springfield, a poor miserable tool of the Magraths, said to the defendant in open Court, You Mander are a damned liar; I would wring your nose if I had you here. (Upon which the defendant called out, Your worship, do you allow swearing in open Court?

The impartial judge, said you must proceed with your case Mr. Dean, so the defendant said, Mr. Carey will you be kind enough to inform the Court what kind of a character Charles Magrath bears, as a witness, in a Court of Justice. Mr. Carey hesitating said, what do you mean? The defendant said would you believe Magrath under oath? Mr. C. said emphatically, No! The defendant asked Mr. C. his reasons? "I have known him, Charley Magrath, on two occasions to commit cool and deliberate perjury, and I would not believe him under oath in any case wherein he was in the least interested." Reader, Mr. Carey was Magrath's witness. The defendant said, your worship has heard the character given of Magrath by his own witness. I have now in court four highly respectable freeholders who will testify to the same effect, before whom he had committed wilful perjury.

A —— Cameron of Toronto, who figured as a witness in gagging Tom's peculations of the race funds, was called, who swore, after having read from himself to Charley Magrath, a letter couched in these sympathetic and endearing terms, "my dear Sir" to a perjurer and twice con-This language strongly reminded the defendant of the victed slanderer. old adage, "tell me the company the man keeps and I will tell you what he is!" What an amiable Mr. Cameron, so active and a tool of the Magraths, that he had twice written to New York before he could procure the cheque ; and that the cheque produced was the one his friend, Charles Magrath, had paid into the Bank. Cameron had instructions from New York to shew the cheque to Mr. Dean; and, strange to say, so wedded

was Can Magrath 35 U. H e . ' or's Jurin of the co were so self-impo court !

The j delay of had with $2s. 3\frac{1}{2}d$ ant, by sum of the addi was con moval o Durit

agent st not then examine him his had a r tone, tol Whe

judge i judge, to proce was dep have be aware 1 day, we crease court, i his frie The

person at Mag This

Fitzge obtaine the or