

APPENDIX No. 2

Q. And in August and September when you have the water higher than it otherwise would have been you say it would be a foot or more than what you call spring-tide?—A. Oh, yes.

Q. And has it affected the Ouse in any way? Would it affect the mouth of the Ouse having those stop logs in?—A. It would to a certain extent, certainly.

Q. But it would not bring it up to high water mark?—A. No.

Q. So it would not flood back very far?—A. No.

Q. How far would you say it would flood back by reason of this preservation of the water?—A. I do not think it would go back further than a mile.

Q. Not further than a mile at its highest point?—A. It would not affect it as far.

Mr. CARVELL.—Just a moment, please. You should let the witness go on.

Mr. LENNOX.—He is an intelligent witness and I would not think that if the water is a certain height and you log it a foot it would not go so far up stream as it would go otherwise.

THE WITNESS.—Certainly, it would affect it so far as the foot is concerned.

By Mr. Lennox:

Q. So you would not say it would flood as far as it would at the high water mark?—A. Certainly not.

Q. And as to flooding back as far as Sargent's, have you ever known it to flood back by reason of floods in the Trent?—A. Yes.

Q. That is, as far as you have known by reason of the flooding in the Trent is up as far as Sargent's. Is that correct?—A. Yes.

Q. Now, we will take C. M. Birdsall. Is that land flooded by reason of the waters in the Trent?—A. Not to my knowledge.

Q. Then there is Mr. Breckenridge's here. You sold some land to one of them?—A. Yes.

Q. Which one?—A. Matthew.

Q. What lot was it?—A. Lots 2 and 3, the west half of 2 and 3 in the third concession.

By Mr. Carvell:

Q. Would that be further up the Ouse?—A. It does not touch the Ouse at all. It is east of the Ouse.

Mr. LENNOX.—It is north of the Ouse.

By Mr. Carvell:

Q. It would front on the Trent?—A. Number 1 is in front of it, a broken lot.

By Mr. Lennox:

Q. But it is further north and east than the Sargent's lot, I think?—A. Yes number 3 is north and number 2.

Q. Due east?—A. Yes, due east.

Q. You sold that land?—A. Yes.

Q. Is any of that land either lot 2 or lot 3 flooded?—A. Part of number 2 is drowned.

By Mr. Carvell:

Q. That is now Matthew Breckenridge's land?—A. Yes.

By Mr. Lennox:

Q. Any portion of number 3 drowned?—A. No.

Q. None at all?—A. No.

Q. Was that your own property or were you acting for somebody?—A. I was administrator of my brother's estate.

Q. And there was a lot of available timber on that property wasn't there?—A. Yes.