ON. giving of a ng him to at the Sacired probaice, would, copal brethent in itself, Wesleyan bable useer, are the bation has pointment rs, by elecn at all, to inances, as ency, in the s, so I was

ed, illustraiter length, ition of my is stage of misunderto explain

e sketched compromise

about equally exercised on all sides: the present Methodist Church of Canada would be conceding lay co-operation to a much greater extent than now in the District Meeting, and the same in the Annual Conference in lieu of its present mixed-committee system; the Primitive Methodist would be giving up one-half of their lay-delegates; and all those bodies which have full lay-delegation at present would be surrendering several things to pastoral authority and ministerial prerogative which ministers do not enjoy among them now. I do not say anything about our Episcopal brethren surrendering the matter of lay-delegation in the Annual as well as General Conference, for they have it under consideration; but our brethren of the Evangelical Association, if they came into the measure, would be surrendering that which they have not yet conceded to the laity-a lay representation in Conference; and the Episcopal Methodists would be surrendering their Bishops, proper, for General Superintendents, elected for a term of four years; and they would be giving up their travelling Presiding Elders, unless in rare cases, as a temporary expedient for supervising the newer parts of the work, more likely to be supplied with a younger and less experienced ministry. The diaconate, perhaps, might not be much cared for one way or another on any side; but all the other bodies besides themselves would be adopting what they have not been much-or lately-used to, a General Supervision instead of an Annual and Local Presidency.