

APPENDIX No. 1

No. 248.

Secretary-Treasurer, Restigouche County Council, N.B.—No service.

No. 249.

Clerk, Rochester Township, Ontario.—Four villages in township and two Bell offices. Company was bonused to install these. Service fair, but rates too high to permit much use of it. People suffer for want of service at reasonable rates.

No. 250.

Clerk of Township of Cardiff, Ontario.—Only service private wire of I. B. & O. Railroad. Word telephone has no meaning to many inhabitants. Weekly mail only communication with outside world.

No. 251.

Town Clerk of Kincardine, Ontario.—Bell system. No complaint.

No. 252.

THE INDEPENDENT TELEPHONE ASSOCIATION OF WISCONSIN.

OFFICERS—Richard Valentine, President, Janesville; H. G. Slater, Vice-President, Waupaca; H. C. Winter, Secretary and Treasurer, Madison.

JANESVILLE, WIS., July 3, 1905.

Chairman Select Committee on Telephone Systems,
Ottawa.

MY DEAR SIR,—Enclosed I hand you a copy of Bill 263—A, which was lately made a law of the state of Wisconsin. I also send you a part of the Senate Journal, in which is given the opinion of the Attorney General on the constitutionality of the measure.

Sincerely yours,

RICHARD VALENTINE,
President.

No. 252a.

A BILL

To prohibit discrimination in the charges of telephone rentals in different cities and villages and fixing a penalty for the violation thereof.

The people of the State of Wisconsin, represented in Senate and Assembly, do enact as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby created and added to the statutes of 1898 a new section to be known and to read as follows: Section 1791a-1. It shall be unlawful for any individual, company, partnership or corporation, owning, controlling, or operating telephone exchanges in two or more cities or villages in this state to charge any individual, company, partnership or corporation in one city or village, a greater or a lesser rental for exchange telephone service than he or it charges any other individual, company, partnership or corporation residing in any other city or village of the same class, as defined herein, for like telephone exchange service. It shall be unlawful for any individual, company, partnership or corporation, owning, controlling or operating