and can ed with cute and ted than Hopathy, Practice esent," is ation and hat person ficulty by in did not actice was thic pracson with n infiniterinciple of compoop;

ith a third g to sub-UMBUG.

he wrote a

RANSCRIPT. 2th of May ve me space ne insertion lishment of Medical Fa-Chat article oug," in two rd one. My -Humbug's last, and I t for " Antis bis No. 3 oming, with your to reply

nymous letsoldier firing edge: therefeebly I may ayself not at as the only iere is abunthat "Anti-

IY?

ug," it will be t is meant by Humbug" has ceopathy then d in nature aic diseases, s follows :ertain properves, on being lealth, by proscruple dose of Ipecacuanha, for instance, was given to a person in health, Bilious vomiting, with headache, vertigo, nausea, loss of appetite, severe pain in the stomach, &c, were induced. When Ipecacuanha is taken in large doses by a person in heaith, it may be looked upon as a general law, that the above symptoms will take place, as any one may soon satisfy himself about, if there are doubts existing in his mind. This property of Ipecac became known only by experiment.

By the experiment of administering a small dose of Ipecacuanha to a person iu disease attended with symptoms similar to those produced in a healthy person, by a large dose of Ipecacuanha, it will be found that all those symptoms will cease, and if all the symptoms have ceased, then the disease, the cause of the symptoms, has been recoved. Whoever prescribes medicine for the cure of disease upon that principle is a Homoopathist. Ipecacuanha has been merely taken as an example. Homecopathists have experimented on the healthy body with over two hundred remedies, recording the symptoms produced by each remedy, and they select any one of these proved remedies and administer it to the diseased person upon the same principle as the Ipecacuanha was selected and administered. Regarding the dose used by Hahnemann when he commenced to test the principle of Homeopathy, it was a large one, but he gradually lessened it, as he found a smaller dose more useful, and, like a sensible man, he adopted that which he found to be the best. Now, as then, every Homocopathist is permitted to select the dose which he finds most successful, " without sailing under false colors." But a person can never be a Homopathist who selects at random a nauseous bolus of Rhubarb, Opium, Calcmel, Hyosciamus, &c., without being guided by a curative rule, and in doses sufficient to sicken a healthy horse. A large dose of medicine, Homoopathically selected, will increase the disease; a dynamised dose, Hor copathically selected, will be powerful only to cure, not to destroy the body; and this is what staggers many an Allopathist. He always looks for some derangement in the healthy parts before he

will believe that the disease can be cured.
"Anti-Humbug" would seem to imply that a man can not be a Homosopathist without confining himself to a drop of the St. Lawrence. This simply shows his utter ignorance of the subject. Does he think that because a medicine is reduced to a thousandth or a millionth part of a grain, by

ducing certain symptoms. When the first of its smallness-per se? How ridiculous and unreasonable it would be to shut our eyes so that we could not see, because we could not weigh a ray of light; or to deny the power of life over our muscular frame because we could not weigh or chemically detect it. Life is a finer thing than even the bugbear of decilionth parts of grains of medicines, but would "Anti-Humbug" or any of his confreres deny their own existence and strength on that account. The powers resulting from life are known by experiment; the powers resulting from the administration of a high or low dilution of a Homeopathic remedy are likewise known by experiment, and Homosopathists are perfectly willing to leave the matter to such . No man has the right to say that the world does not turn round upon its own axis, without proof; neither has any man a right to say a priori that Homeopathic remedies do not act, because the every day practice of Homeopathists prove that they do act-just as demonstratively as that the "world still turns," and as clearly as life and light act. How illogically sometimes people reason upon such matters. A gentieman one day said to me :- " Doctor if you could only show me that a plant could live as well upon a drop of water as it would upon a pint, I would believe in Hom∞opathy." This gentleman put food and medicine upon a par. I replied that Homoo-pathlets did not feed their patients u; on a grain of beef-steak to provide new materials for their worn out organs, neither did they feed their plants upon a drop of water. Homosopathists give medicines in minute doses, selected to act according to the law of cure, because they find from experiment that diseases are subdued better by these means than by any other, but they do not find that healthy or diseased men can live upon drugs alone, whether in large or small doses.

HOMEOPATHY NOT A MODERN DISCOVERY.

It would appear that "Anti-Humbug" is likewise very ignorant of the antiquity of Homocopathy for he says:-" It requires a little more than the assertions of fifty Acars to do away with the assertions of sav two thousand years, and yet the Homcopathists are not by any means too vain to proclaim that they are attempting it." Homcopathy is not a novelty. The novelties are with Allopathy, for empiricism must be always changing. In the time of Vikramadita, king of Ujain, fifty-six years before the christian era there was a San crit poem which said: "It has been heard of old time in the world that poison is the remedy for trituration, that it does not act on account poison." In the writings attributed to Hy-