Question.—By whom were you employed to follow the traces of the prisoner? Ans.

By the Prefect of Police.

Question .- What salary do you receive for the duties which you perform at present in America, and especially in Canada? Ans. My fixed salary is the same as if I was at Paris. I have in the United States a credit opened with a banker; I expend what I require, and at my return to France I shall render an account of my expenses to the prefeeture, as is always done.

Question .- What difference will there be in your emoluments if you succeed or do

not succeed in taking the prisoner back to Franco? Ans. None.

Question .- Whore was the prisoner in New York when you paid him the visits men-

tioned in your examination in chief? Ans. In the Ludlow Jail.

Question.—Was the prisoner aware at the time in what capacity you were acting in New York? Ans. Yes.

Question .- Had you ever known the prisoner before going to New York in search of Ans. No.

Question.—Is it not true that the prisoner has objected, and at present objects to your evidence?

(Objected to on behalf of the Crown. Objection sustained.)

Question .- Is there any person now here holding a warrant of arrest issued by any Court or Tribunal in France

Same objection. Objection sustained.)

Question.—Had you at New York in your possesssion, or had any other person, in the interest of the French Government, in his possession, a warrant of arrest or other equivalent judicial document issued by a Judge, or by competent authority in France, and if so state of what offence the prisoner was accused? Ans. I was the bearer of a telegraphic despatch from the Procureur Impérial, at Poitiers, to the Profect of Police at Paris, which is equivalent to a warrant of arrest, but I was besides the bearer of a warrant of arrest issued by M. Jolly, Juge d'Instruction at Poitiers, where Lamiraude was accused of embezzlement of funds to the prejudice of the Bank of France. Only that accusation appeared on the warrant which I held. Subsequently an indictment (arret de renvoi) arrived by which Lamirande was accused of forgery.

Question .- What has become of those documents? Ans. Those documents remained

in the United States.

Question.—During the visits which you paid to Lamirande at New York, did you tell him that his father and his brother had been arrested in consequence of the matters of which Lamirando was accused, and for which he had been arrested at New York? Ans. I told him that I had learned that his father and his brother had been arrested.

Question.—What truth was there in what you told him in relation to his father and his brother? Ans. I had been told it on leaving France, but I did not vouch for it in

speaking to Lamirande. I have since heard that the brother only was arrested.

Question .- When did you learn that the brother had not been arrested? Ans. I have never learned that the brother was not arrested.

Question .- Do you state that nothing destroyed your belief that the father had been

arrested? Ans. Nothing destroyed my belief.

Question .- From what you know through your correspondence with Poitiers, or any other part of France, do you mean to say that nothing affected the information of which you speak above as having been communicated to you before your departure from France, in relation to the apprehension of the father and the brother of Lamirande? Ans. I was never officially informed of the arrest or of the release.

Question.—Did you not subsequently say to Lamirande that neither his father nor

his brother had been arrested? Ans. No.

Question .- Were you ever the holder of a warrant of arrest issued under the authority of the Procureur Impérial of Poitiers, or have you seen such a warrant? Ans. I have not had any documents other than those which I have mentioned above.

Question.—How long before the date at which you say that Lamirande escaped, did

you receive the indictment? Ans. I do not know.

Question.—When do you assert that the prisoner escaped from New York? Ans. I think that it was on the third of July.