

<i>Armand,</i>	<i>Dever,</i>	<i>Hamilton (Kingston),</i>	<i>Seymour,</i>
<i>Bellerose,</i>	<i>Dickey,</i>	<i>Haviland,</i>	<i>Smith,</i>
<i>Benson,</i>	<i>Dickson,</i>	<i>Kaulbach,</i>	<i>Sutherland,</i>
<i>Botsford,</i>	<i>Dumouchel,</i>	<i>McLelan (Londond'y)</i>	<i>Trudel,</i>
<i>Bourinot,</i>	<i>Ferrier,</i>	<i>Macpherson,</i>	<i>Vidal,</i>
<i>Campbell,</i>	<i>Flint,</i>	<i>Montgomery,</i>	<i>Wilmot.—36.</i>

So it passed in the negative.

The Honorable Mr. *Dickey* moved, seconded by the Honorable Mr. *Girard*,

That Clause ten of the Bill, as amended, in Committee, be *re considered* and *struck out*.

After Debate,

The Honorable Mr. *Allan* moved, in amendment, seconded by the Honorable Mr. *Macpherson*,

To leave out all the words after "That" and insert "the debate on the said motion be postponed until to-morrow."

The question of concurrence being put thereon, the same was, *on a division*, resolved in the affirmative.

The question being then put on the main motion, as amended, the same was, *on a division*, resolved in the affirmative, and

Ordered accordingly.

The Order of the Day being read for the consideration of the Report of the Select Committee of the Senate appointed to enquire:—

"1st. Whether the *Fort Frances Lock* when completed can be used for the purposes of commerce, in connection with the Canadian *Pacific Railway*, so as to form part of the through communication from *Lake Superior* to *Manitoba*, and if not, what improvements will be indispensable (in addition to the said *Fort Frances Lock*) to afford unbroken communication for Steamers between the Railway Stations of *Port Savanne (Lac des Mille Lacs)* and *Keewatin (Rat Portage)* and the probable cost of such improvements?

"2nd. What will be the use to the Dominion of the said *Fort Frances Lock* if it cannot be used for the purposes of Commerce, in connection with the *Canada Pacific Railway*, so as to form part of the said communication?

"3rd. What is the distance between the said *Lock* and the point nearest to it on the *Canada Pacific Railway*?

"4th. What are the dimensions of the said *Lock*, its estimated and probable cost, the amount expended upon it and upon works connected with it, or in any way incidental to it, so far as is known; the appropriation from which the money expended upon it has been taken; whether such application of the money has been in all case according to law, and whether the said *Lock* is being built by contract or otherwise?

"5th. And generally to inquire into all matters relating to the *Fort Frances Lock*."

On motion of the Honorable Mr. *Macpherson*, seconded by the Honorable Mr. *Macfarlane*, it was

Ordered, That the same be postponed until Monday next.

Pursuant to the Order of the Day, the House was adjourned during pleasure, and put into a Committee of the Whole on the Bill intituled: "An Act to amend the Act respecting the Election of Members of the House of Commons."

(*In Committee.*)

After some time the House was resumed, and

The Honorable Mr. *Dickey*, from the said Committee, reported that they had taken the said Bill into consideration, had made some progress therein, and had directed him to ask leave to sit again.