Paradis

Pavne

Phinney

Pillitteri

# Government Orders

No. 91 on the Order Paper on October 4, 1994. That was 186 days ago.

Last week, on the same point of order, the hon, parliamentary secretary to the House leader said that: "The Minister of Supply and Services has been working diligently", and that he would be in a position to answer my question soon.

It is my understanding that on all Order Paper questions the government does try to meet the 45-day period. One hundred and eighty-six days is an unacceptable length of time to respond to my question.

Would the hon. member please define what he means by "soon" and advise me as to when I will receive an answer to the question I asked six months ago?

Mr. Peter Milliken (Parliamentary Secretary to Leader of the Government in the House of Commons, Lib.): Mr. Speaker, I am more than happy to assist the hon, member in carrying out her duties.

The minister, as I indicated, has been working diligently on this question. I understand that the answer is being sent to the office that reports to the House through me this afternoon. I hope to be in a position to provide the hon. member with an answer tomorrow.

• (1515)

If not, obviously it will be after the break. I hope it is tomorrow. I am doing my very best to see that is what happens.

### MOTIONS FOR PAPERS

Mr. Peter Milliken (Parliamentary Secretary to Leader of the Government in the House of Commons, Lib.): Mr. Speaker, I ask that all notices of motions for the production of papers be allowed to stand.

The Deputy Speaker: Is that agreed?

Some hon. members: Agreed.

# **GOVERNMENT ORDERS**

[Translation]

# FIREARMS ACT

BILL C-68-MOTION FOR TIME ALLOCATION

Hon. Alfonso Gagliano (Secretary of State (Parliamentary Affairs) and Deputy Leader of the Government in the House of Commons, Lib.) moved:

That, in relation to Bill C-68, an act respecting firearms and other weapons, not more than one further sitting day be allotted to the consideration of the second reading stage of the bill; and

That, fifteen minutes before the expiry of the time provided for Government Business on the allotted day of the second reading consideration of the said bill, any proceedings before the House shall be interrupted, if required, for the purpose of this Order and, in turn, every question necessary for the disposal of

the stage of the bill shall be put forthwith and successively, without further debate or amendment.

The Deputy Speaker: Is it the pleasure of the House to adopt the motion?

Some hon. members: Agreed.

Some hon. members: No.

The Deputy Speaker: All those in favour will please say yea.

Some hon, members: Yea.

The Deputy Speaker: All those opposed will please say nay.

Some hon. members: Nay.

The Deputy Speaker: In my opinion the nays have it.

And more than five members having risen:

The Deputy Speaker: Call in the members.

(The House divided on the motion, which was agreed to on the following division:)

(Division No. 188)

YEAS	
Members	
Alcock	Allmand
Anderson	Arseneault
Assadourian	Augustine
Axworthy (Winnipeg South Centre)	Bakopanos
Barnes	Beaumier
Bellemare	Bevilacqua
Bhaduria	Blondin-Andrew
Bodnar	Bonin
Boudria	Brown (Oakville—Milton)
Brushett	Bryden
Bélair	Bélanger
Calder	Campbell
Cannis	Catterall
Cauchon	Chamberlain
Chan	Clancy
Cohen	Crawford
Culbert	DeVillers
Dhaliwal	Discepola
Dromisky	Duhamel
Easter	Eggleton
English	Fewchuk
Finestone	Finlay
Flis	Fontana
Gagliano	Gagnon (BonaventureÎles-de-la-Madeleine)
Gallaway	Godfrey
Goodale	Gray (Windsor West)
Harvard	Hickey
Hopkins	Ianno
Irwin	Jackson
Jordan	Karygiannis
Keyes	Kilger (Stormont—Dundas)
Kirkby	Knutson
Kraft Sloan	Lavigne (Verdun—Saint-Paul)
LeBlanc (Cape/Cap-Breton Highlands—Canso)	MacAulay
MacDonald	MacLaren
MacLellan (Cape/Cap-Breton-The Sydneys)	Maheu
Malhi	Manley
Marchi	Marleau
Martin (LaSalle—Émard)	Massé
McCormick	McKinnon
McLellan (Edmonton Northwest)	McTeague
Mifflin	Milliken
Minna	Mitchell
Murphy	Murray
Nunziata	O'Brien
Ouellet	Pagtakhan
Domdie	Dotas

Patry

Peric

Peterson

Richardson Ringuette-Maltais

Pickard (Essex-Kent)