Nuclear Control and Administration

defence reasons and people cannot know about these things." I do not accept those reasons completely. They are good and sufficient reasons, I think, in terms of international and national security. You cannot let everything hang out. But perhaps more is hidden about this whole question than needs to be. I think Hon. Members on both sides of the House who believe in more freedom of information could support me in that statement.

I like the idea that 50 per cent of the representatives on the Atomic Energy Control Board, or the Nuclear Control Board as proposed, will not be charter members of the private sector or from the governmental nuclear industry fraternity. You have to have informed people on the Board. But if you put only people who have a stake in the industry you can hardly expect to escape bias. Similarly, the College of Physicians needs a medical consumer on its board and the legal profession should welcome lay people on their respective boards. I do not see why we should not have well informed lay people on the AECB as well. Is there a problem?

Mr. Munro (Esquimalt-Saanich): Mr. Speaker, I rise on a point of order. It has to do with the length of this debate. If I may have the indulgence of the House for a moment, this is the third occasion in Private Member's business when the third Bill or motion has been terminated after about 50 minutes because of the requirements to adjourn the House at six o'clock. I would like to ask either for unanimous consent to continue until 6.10 p.m., or, even better, if the matter of the continuance of the third Member's Bill or motion be referred to a committee so that the Member can get the full hour's debate, which I am sure was the intent of the committee when it decided that on Wednesdays there would be three Bills, motions or motions for papers and that each Member should have his full hour. The last Member should not have his time abbreviated as it has been and might unfortunately happen again today.

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Corbin): I thank the Hon. Member for his comments. He raises a valid concern, one which has been expressed by other Members, if I recall correctly. However, it is the Chair's duty under the present provisional

Standing Orders to adjourn the House at six o'clock. I refer Hon, Members to Standing Order 8(1) which reads:

At 6:00 o'clock p.m. on Mondays, Tuesdays, Wednesdays and Thursdays, and at 5:00 o'clock p.m. on Fridays, the Speaker shall adjourn the House until the next sitting day.

However, as the Chair acknowledges, the Hon. Member raises a valid point. Perhaps he would want to take the initiative of bringing this matter to the attention of the Special Committee on Procedure and Organization, which is now empowered under our provisional rules to consider on its own initiative the Standing Orders and procedure in the House and its committees. It is a matter which can be taken into account by that Committee. I cannot see any other way whereby we could at this time refer that matter to the Committee. The point has been made. It is on the record and I am sure someone will take it under consideration.

Mr. Rose: Mr. Speaker, in the attempt to assist me, I think the Hon. Member for Esquimalt-Saanich (Mr. Munro) has done his best to talk out the time allotted to me. I know that was not the intention. But I would like to point out, Mr. Speaker, since you said you knew of no other way in which I might be accommodated, a time extension can be granted by unanimous consent. The Speaker does not need to see the clock.

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Corbin): Is the Hon. Member for Mission-Port Moody (Mr. Rose) now proposing that by unanimous consent we do not see the clock?

Mr. Rose: Yes, Mr. Speaker.

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Corbin): I shall now put the question to the House. Is there unanimous consent to continue the sitting beyond six o'clock?

Some Hon. Members: Agreed.

Some Hon. Members: No.

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Corbin): The Hon. Member for Mission-Port Moody does not have unanimous consent. It being six o'clock this House stands adjourned until tomorrow at eleven o'clock, pursuant to Standing Order 2(1).

At 6 p.m., the House adjourned, without question put, pursuant to Standing Order.