two of the major outstanding renewal projects at Hamilton and Kitchener. It is my intention to visit seven or eight more before recommending to the government what policy we should follow in the immediate future in this respect.

I hope to do so very soon. It would be my intention to visit Vancouver and to take a look at that project on the ground again before reaching any conclusion. Last time I was out there I did visit part of a proposed urban renewal area. There was tremendous opposition then on the part of the people who live there. They did not wish to have their houses destroyed; they were proud of their houses and they felt that there were better solutions. I must say that I was inclined to agree with them. However, I would like to visit them again in the context of the present review, and then indicate to the municipalities concerned what we intend to do.

Mr. Lincoln M. Alexander (Hamilton West): Mr. Speaker—

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Order, please. Actually I should point out to hon. members that I allowed the questions of the hon. member for Gander-Twillingate and of the hon. member for Vancouver-Kingsway in addition to the regular question period time, and so I did not think we should pursue supplementaries at this point.

Mr. Alexander: On a point of order, Mr. Speaker, I would hope that after I have given you my reasons for this point of order you would perhaps allow me to pursue this matter with just one further supplementary question. I believe that the minister has just made a statement saying he intends to visit some seven, eight or nine more cities. In order that those who would be involved might have some preknowledge of his visits perhaps, Mr. Speaker, you would allow me to ask the minister if he would indicate to the house which cities he intends to visit?

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Order, please. If it is the disposition of the house to allow this question, certainly we can proceed with it. But again, I would point out that I have allowed the hon. member for Gander-Twillingate and the hon. member for Vancouver-Kingsway to go over the allotted time, and I note that the hon. member for Oxford also wants to ask a question. I am sure there are other hon. members who wish to ask questions. Possibly it is the disposition of the house to allow this one question to be put, but I would like to call orders of the day after that.

Canadian Overseas Telecommunications Act

Mr. Hellyer: I am not sure I can recall them from memory but included in them would be Vancouver, Calgary, Sault Ste. Marie, Sarnia, Niagara Falls and two or three others.

NATIONAL DEFENCE

NATO—REQUEST FOR STATEMENT ON POLICY

Mr. W. B. Nesbitt (Oxford): Mr. Speaker, I rise on a point of order. It was announced on numerous occasions last week by the Prime Minister that today, Monday, there would be an announcement with regard to Canada's future position in respect of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization. We realize the reason the Prime Minister, the Leader of the Opposition and some other hon. members are absent today; it is a very sad occasion in the capital of our neighbouring country. In view of the fact that the Prime Minister is not here to make the announcement which had been indicated would be made today, and so that we might hear about it before the house adjourns, perhaps Your Honour would use his good offices to find out whether or not the Secretary of State for External Affairs is in a position to make the announcement.

Hon. Mitchell Sharp (Secretary of State for External Affairs): Mr. Speaker, the answer is no.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: I was about to suggest the statement was more in the way of a representation than a point of order.

GOVERNMENT ORDERS

CANADIAN OVERSEAS TELECOMMUNI-CATION CORPORATION ACT

AMENDMENTS RESPECTING DEFINITIONS LIMITATIONS OF POWERS, ETC.—REPORT STAGE

Hon. Eric W. Kierans (Postmaster General): I move:

That Bill S-5, to amend the Canadian Overseas Telecommunication Corporation Act, as reported (without amendment), from the Standing Committee on Broadcasting, Films and Assistance to the Arts, be concurred in.

Motion (for concurrence) agreed to.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: When shall the said bill be read the third time?

Some hon. Members: Now.

Mr. Kierans moved that the bill be read a third time and passed.