

Inquiries of the Ministry

that a number of elevator companies are now prepared to go to additional expense to instal dryers and may lose money on this operation, will the minister and the government consider giving a financial incentive in the form of no sales tax, no duty, and faster depreciation allowances on such equipment installed at country elevators?

Hon. H. A. Olson (Minister of Agriculture): Mr. Speaker, some time ago the Minister of Industry, Trade and Commerce made certain announcements with respect to some of these taxes. There has been no change in the government's attitude with respect to taxes on grain dryers. I do not see any justification for going beyond that at this time. It is up to the elevator companies to decide what is best for their own operations.

Mr. A. P. Gleave (Saskatoon-Biggar): Will the elevator companies be allowed to use these dryers at local points to dry wheat or grain which is held to the account of the Canadian Wheat Board?

Mr. Olson: I will look into that. However, that raises some pretty complex problems about wheat shrinkage and that sort of thing.

CORPORATIONS AND LABOUR UNIONS RETURNS ACT

FAILURE TO FILE RETURNS

Mr. John L. Skoberg (Moose Jaw): Mr. Speaker, I should like to direct this question to the Minister of Industry, Trade and Commerce. In view of the number of corporations that have failed to file returns for the years 1965, 1966 and 1967 under the Corporations and Labour Unions Returns Act, does the minister intend to penalize those companies which are guilty under the act?

Mr. Speaker: This question should be placed on the order paper.

CONSUMER AFFAIRS

MISLEADING ADVERTISING RESPECTING STAMMERING AND STUTTERING

Mr. Max Saltsman (Waterloo): Mr. Speaker, my question is for the Minister of Consumer and Corporate Affairs. Has he received a communication on misleading advertising concerning the treatment of stammering and stuttering and, if so, does he intend to look into this?

Mr. Speaker: This question should be placed on the order paper.

[Mr. Benjamin.]

BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE

Mr. G. W. Baldwin (Peace River): Mr. Speaker, may I have the permission of the house to ask the President of the Privy Council as government house leader what business the government intends to introduce for the balance of this week and next week?

Hon. Donald S. Macdonald (President of the Privy Council): Yes, Mr. Speaker. I have had consultations with other groups. I gather that at the moment there would be no wish to have an opposition day under standing order No. 58 called during the coming week. Subject, therefore, to any unforeseen contingencies and depending on the progress which occurs on each particular item, I propose the following order of business. As previously announced, the items of business to be called today would be in the following order: First, item No. 30, second reading of Bill C-148, in respect of the Freshwater Fish Marketing Corporation; second, item No. 13, second reading of Bill C-102, in respect of the Patent Act, the Trade Marks Act and the Food and Drugs Act; third, item No. 17, second reading of Bill C-153, to amend the Historic Sites and Monuments Act; fourth, item No. 9, second reading of Bill C-151, to amend the Fisheries Improvement Loans Act.

With regard to the Fisheries Improvement Loans Act amendment I have noted the observation of the hon. member for Edmonton West (Mr. Lambert) recommending that the bill be referred to the standing committee on fisheries and forestry, even though it comes under the Minister of Finance (Mr. Benson) and involves the chartered banks. Therefore I intend to propose an amendment to the second reading motion to refer the bill to the standing committee on fisheries and forestry. The Minister of Fisheries (Mr. Davis) will be responsible for conducting the bill.

Tomorrow we will again call the freshwater fish marketing bill and the drug price bill, if these have not been given second reading. Then we would call item No. 37, second reading of Bill S-3, to amend the Canada Evidence Act. If those items are dealt with before the close of business, my colleague, the Minister of Veterans Affairs (Mr. Dubé), would be prepared to move second reading of Bill C-152, to amend the Veterans Land Act.

On Monday next we would call in this order the Historic Sites and Monuments Act and the Veterans Land Act amendments, if they have not been dealt with, and would