The Address-Mr. Pearson

their way of life, although there appear to be some in Tokyo who think this has happened. Whatever may be the truth about this, it is clear that the Japanese have fulfilled pretty well the requirements that have been imposed upon them by the occupation, and it seems to me that from here on we must give them some incentive to maintain and strengthen the democratic way of life, and to wish to maintain close and friendly relations with the western world. I suggest our security lies in this as much as in keeping them disarmed. This point of view was brought very forcefully to us by the Asian members of the commonwealth in their observations on a peace settlement with Japan. The other point of view—or more accurately, if you like, the other emphasis of the same point of view-was given by the Australian and New Zealand representatives at Colombo, who were anxious, as indeed we all are, that Japan should not be restored to a point where she could again become an aggressive power, and that the peace treaty should include clauses designed to prevent this.

Perhaps this prolonged occupation period will have served a purpose in enabling us to acquire a better perspective on the type of peace treaty we should make with Japan, which will, we hope, be a lasting one; one that should be realistic but not one that would be bitterly opposed as unjust by the Japanese people. We know the damage to peace and security that such a punitive peace treaty can cause. I am persuaded myself that, from here on, the disadvantages involved in military occupation, of which I have spoken before, will outweigh the advantages, and that a point of diminishing returns has been reached, if not passed. Therefore I hope that all governments interested in a peace settlement with Japan will not overlook any opportunity to further this end, even if-and this would certainly be an undesirable alternative, a second best-we had to have a peace conference with some powers absent because they refused to accept reasonable conditions for participation on which all other powers were agreed.

I was interested to note that the recently signed treaty between the Soviet union and the Peiping government contains an article providing that these two governments will expedite the signature of a Japanese peace treaty jointly with the other powers allied

opinion with the Soviet union over the procedure to be followed in the drafting of the treaty and the holding of the conference. Recently there has been added a further complication, as to which government from China, nationalist or communist, should represent China at the Japanese peace conference. China suffered most grievously at the hands of the Japanese aggressor, and, as Japan's most important neighbour, she cannot be ignored in any lasting peace settlement with Japan. The Canadian government is certainly anxious to see both the Soviet union and China play their full part in a Japanese peace conference which could never be a completely satisfactory one without them. But in this conference, as in other international conferences, we cannot accept dictation by one or two powers through arbitrary use of their veto. If such dictation is insisted on by these powers, I suggest we may have to go along without them.

We in Canada recognize that the United States government has a primary responsibility in respect to the settlement with Japan, and I gave expression to that recognition when I talked about this matter at our conference at Ceylon. For this and for other reasons I was particularly glad to have an opportunity to exchange views on this subject with General MacArthur in Tokyo. As a result, I hope now, more than ever, that all the recent statements that have been made favouring an early settlement with Japan will soon result in action, and that at least one major problem may soon be erased from our slate of problems in the Pacific. I may add that I found no objection in Tokyo from any quarter to this view of the desirability of a Japanese peace conference at the earliest possible day.

These were the main political subjects that we discussed at our conference; but we also talked about economic and financial questions. Some of these came up in the course of the discussion we had on the European situation, on developments towards European economic unity; the part that should be played more particularly by the United Kingdom in that development, and how the United Kingdom could reconcile her European and her commonwealth positions. Mr. Bevin, the foreign minister of the United Kingdom, who played such a wise and important part at our during the second world war. I should like conference, made a statement on this matto be able to take this article at face value, ter. A statement was also made by the as indeed I should like to be able to take the Canadian delegation on the same subject. It other articles of that treaty at face value. As was, I think, the only formal statement we you know, the greatest difficulty in the way made at the conference. I should like to put of the conclusion of a peace treaty with on the record some excerpts therefrom, Japan in the past has been the difference of because I think it deals with an important

[Mr. Pearson.]