

**Speaker's Rulings and Statements – (Continued)***Bills, Government; Report Stage Motions:*

- During debate on Bill C-14 (Federal Business Development Act), Mr. Dick proposed motion defining "business corporation". Mr. Speaker ruled motion would add new clause to definition section in turn adding new principle beyond scope of bill but motion might be put in form of substantive amendment to basic principle of bill, accordingly the motion was dropped, 154-5.
- Statement *re* grouping of proposed motions, 155, 618-9, 936, 1385.
- During debate on Bill C-13 (Northern Canada Power Commission Act), Mr. Neil proposed amendment to motion of Mr. Nielsen. Mr. Deputy Speaker ruled amendment out of order as it was a substantive proposition requiring notice, 429-30.
- During debate on Bill C-13 (Northern Canada Power Commission Act), Mr. Dinsdale proposed amendment to motion of Mr. Nielsen. Mr. Deputy Speaker ruled amendment out of order as it was a substantive proposition requiring notice, 430.
- During debate on Bill C-13 (Northern Canada Power Commission Act), Mr. Nielsen proposed motion adding to definition of the role of Northern Canada Power Commission. Mr. Acting Speaker ruled motion out of order in that it was beyond scope of bill, 431.
- Statement *re* tabling of reprinted Bill C-44 (Senate and House of Commons, Salaries and Parliamentary Secretaries Acts amendment) and filing of motions on Order Paper, 487.
- During debate on Bill C-50 (Agricultural Stabilization Act amendment), Mr. Acting Speaker ruled motions of Messrs. Horner and Towers out of order in that they were beyond scope of Royal Recommendation, 597.
- During debate on Bill C-2 (Combines Investigation Act amendment), Mr. Rodriguez proposed motion to add "parliamentary committee" to definition section. Mr. Speaker ruled that motion was substantive but because of restricted nature of the section gave member the benefit of the doubt, 618.
- During debate on Bill C-2 (Combines Investigation Act amendment), Mr. Rodriguez proposed motion amending penalty provisions. Mr. Speaker ruled that motion sought to amend Act rather than bill but noted that bill redefined offences therefore there was a connection with the penalties and member might be given benefit of the doubt, but deferred his decision, 618-20. Mr. Speaker ruled motion out of order in that it was beyond scope of clause, 773.
- During debate on Bill C-2 (Combines Investigation Act amendment), Mr. Speaker stated that he had certain reservations as to motion proposed by Mr. Stevens to refer parts of bill to Supreme Court and deferred his decision, 619. Mr. Speaker confirmed his reservations and ruled the motion out of order in that it was beyond the scope of bill, 772-3.
- During debate on Bill C-8 (Petro-Canada Act), Mr. Andre (Calgary Centre) proposed two motions *re* sale of common shares. Mr. Speaker ruled motions out of order in that they altered basic principle of bill, 677-8.
- During debate on Bill C-63 (Olympic (1976) Act amendment), Mr. Jelinek proposed a motion to redefine the words "due process of law" in the Canadian Bill of Rights with regards to provisions of bill. Mr. Speaker ruled motion out of order in that it was beyond scope of bill, 700-1.
- During debate on Bill C-73 (Anti-Inflation Act), Mr. Stevens proposed motion to add a new concept to Anti-Inflation Board functions. Mr. Speaker ruled motion out of order in that it was beyond scope of bill, 881.
- During debate on Bill C-69 (Unemployment Insurance Act amendment), Mr. Orlikow proposed a motion to delete a section not proposed to be deleted by the bill. Mr. Speaker deferred his decision, 935. Mr. Speaker ruled motion out of order, 943.
- During debate on Bill C-69 (Unemployment Insurance Act amendment), Mr. Rodriguez proposed two motions to increase benefits by extending period of qualification. Mr. Speaker ruled motions out of order as they were beyond scope of Royal Recommendation, 935-7.
- During debate on Bill C-52 (Statute Law (Superannuation) Amendment Act), Mr. Speaker ruled motions in name of Mr. Lambert (Bellechasse) out of order in that they sought to amend the Act rather than the bill and that they infringed upon the financial initiative of the Crown, 972.
- During debate on Bill C-61 (Maritime Code Act), Mr. Forrestall proposed a motion that sought to amend the Arctic Waters Pollution Prevention Act. Mr. Acting Speaker ruled motion out of order in that it was beyond scope of bill, 1090.
- During debate on Bill C-84 (Criminal Law Amendment Act), Mr. Speaker ruled a number of amendments to reintroduce death penalty out of order in that they opposed principle of the bill as agreed to at second reading. He further ruled that motions to delete were in order as they were covered by