

- (6) Is there any women's issue or problem that the community considers a 'private' matter and therefore not a legitimate concern of the justice system, whether state or non-state?
- (7) For those who accessed a state or non-state justice mechanism:
 - a. What was the woman's objective in accessing the process?
 - i. How was this objective defined or identified, or who defined this objective?
 - ii. Who decided on what right to claim, what remedy to pursue, and what mechanism to access?
 - iii. How much participation did the woman have in decision making?
 - iv. Did the woman understand her rights and the process she had to go through?
 - b. What experiences did the woman have in accessing the process and remedy chosen?
 - i. What factors affected the woman's access to the mechanism (e.g., cost of access or poverty, language, education, ethnic, religious or other identification, availability of support services)?
 - c. Did the woman pursue the chosen remedy to the end?
 - i. If yes, what factors facilitated it? If no, what factors hindered her from pursuing the process to the end?
 - d. Did the woman receive any psycho-social and legal support in the course of accessing justice?
 - i. What kind of support did she receive and from whom/where?
 - ii. Was the support adequate and effective?
 - iii. How did the kind and quality of support she received affect the pursuit of her claims?
 - e. Were legal professionals (i.e., lawyers, prosecutors and judges) involved in the process?
 - i. How did the legal professionals affect the woman's access to justice?
 - ii. What factors affected or constrained the legal professionals' delivery of justice?