and the many statements making the very point stressed in operative paragraph 2 attest to its importance and its validity. This cardinal point is one which, in the view of the co-sponsors of L.507, should be affirmed clearly by the General Assembly.

The suggestion that a resolution containing affirmations as important as those contained in operative paragraphs 1 and 2 of Draft Resolution L.507 is too narrow is not, with due respect, acceptable to the co-sponsors of L.507.

I should like to turn now to operative paragraphs 3, 4, 5 and 6 (?) of the resolution. These paragraphs might fairly be termed as essentially procedural. Even here, however, the co-sponsors of Resolution L.507 do not consider that the concrete action being proposed can fairly be described as "too narrow". Firstly, as has been made clear by the co-sponsors, the two topics proposed for study are not intended as the only two to be so studied. The way is clearly left open for discussion and study of further topics, and indeed further topics are welcomed. Moreover, we should not lose sight of the fact that the topics proposed as the first to be studied have been selected with some considerable care with a view to their importance to the newer nations of the world and their relevance to the item on the agenda. Each of the topics proposed is in itself a very broad one, and each lends itself to a serious and comprehensive study by this Committee. Taken together, these two