

The other important position of wing fell to Frank Packard. A remark from the player himself will say more than many pages. "This rink is too small," and well may we add that white wings never grow weary, for he fought to the end, and never had a wet hair on his head. "Blondy" played a good game. "Skim," the dummy worker, the brave and noble heart,—in fact, the Mascot of the class, has won for McGill students at large the reputation of doing more work than talk. He dashed into the rush line, checked the opponents' wings, played defence, and guarded the goals with as much energy as all his opponents put together, and not a word from his tender lips.

With such a noble and strong team no reader can doubt the result, a score of 8 to 2 in favor of Science '97, and a sumptuous banquet from their St. Johns friends. Following is the order in which the teams lined up:

Sec. '97.	Position.	St. Johns.
P. Paradis.	Goal.	McGinnis.
D. E. Blair.	Point.	O. Paradis.
C. T. Wilkinson (Cap).	Cov. Point.	J. Livingston.
J. K. Ross.	} Forwards.	{ R. Paradis.
F. L. Packard.		
F. H. White.		
		P. Chubb.

NOTICE.

The committee appointed by the several Athletic clubs of the University, to draw up a Constitution and By-Laws for the re-organization of the present Athletic Association, have now almost finished their work. The Constitution and By-Laws, after being submitted to the committees of the several clubs, will be presented at the Annual Meeting of the Athletic Association on Friday, 21st inst. As this will deeply affect the Athletic interests of the University, the attendance at the meeting should be large. The attitude of the students will to a large extent influence the future of Athletics at McGill. The new Constitution aims at making the Committee a thoroughly representative one, including a representative from each Athletic club and from each Faculty of the University; also at giving this Committee power to arrange all matters which do not relate exclusively to one club, and to make such arrangements as shall promote the harmonious relations of all the clubs.

KENNETH MOLSON,
Hon. Sec. M.G. U.A.A.

EXCHANGES.

The Students' Representative Council of the University of Edinburgh sends greetings to us in the shape of an attractive little magazine—*The Student*.

The name is perhaps rather misleading, since the Journal is almost wholly filled with Society Reports and Notes, Athletics, Dramatic Notes, etc., but we should add that they are all in a very interesting and readable style.

The place of honor in the number for Jan. 23rd is given to a photograph of the winners of the Residents' Cup for foot-ball playing.

With nine teams competing in the field during the season, they may feel justly proud in carrying off the much coveted trophy.

There are some points at least in which their way of playing foot-ball agrees with that of the numerous

teams seen on the McGill campus during the latter part of last season, as the following sentences show: "All the nine teams made their appearance in the field, and if some of the players were guilty of a want of science in their foot-ball, this was amply made up for in the eyes of the spectators by the originality of their style. Some of the games, it is true, were a little rough, but the donors of the cup will, no doubt, regard the intense anxiety to win, manifested by certain players, as a proof of the success of their scheme."

From a letter by a correspondent, who has a grievance against the paper, and an editorial in answer to the same, we are reminded that even at Edinburgh, college journalism is not all smooth sailing. Jokes are mistaken for something serious, apologies are demanded, explanations offered, etc., etc., until we begin to think, and we confess derive some comfort from the thought, that perhaps after all this should be considered the normal condition and a part of the ordinary course of affairs.

The Latin and High School *Review* of Cambridge, Mass., contains in its January number an excellent cut of H. W. Longfellow, with a short sketch of his life. There are also good editorials, short stories, poetry, etc., in all making up a number equal to its predecessors, and all of them second to none of their kind.

We congratulate the youthful editors on the very efficient manner in which they are conducting this paper, and express the opinion that they have in training those who will rival the career of the "Popular Young Editor" whom they recently introduced to their readers.

We have been somewhat surprised at the general tone of an editorial in the *Varsity* of Feb. 5th, entitled "This picture and that," in which "this picture" is Toronto University at its best, contrasted with "that picture," McGill, in its weakest points, as set forth in the University lecture by Dr. Peterson.

The writer is inclined to think that they had formerly been in the habit of comparing Toronto University with much older and wealthier institutions of other lands, to the disadvantage of their Alma Mater, and that it would not be amiss to look nearer home; and, fortunately for him, the thought came at a very opportune time when he had his material ready at hand in a copy of the lecture, delivered with a very different object in view.

So he proceeds to make comparisons of a rather startling nature, and from which he concludes that there is a certain measure of comfort and advantage in reflecting that there are others who are in some respects much worse off than themselves.

He has also consulted the Calendar, and learns that "the staff in the Modern Language department at McGill consists of but five lecturers."

We are not quite certain where he found this statement, but we think that he should not consider it altogether a fault if the authorities were somewhat slow in appointing permanent professors, until they were fully satisfied that they would worthily fill the positions, lest the students, for example, might have to make out a list for them of "Professors" who were incapable of discharging their duties.

We will admit, however, that we have no expectation of ever being called upon in that line.