

Mr. CLARK.—I deny, Mr. Chairman, that I ever was an escheat, as the Hon. Member, Mr. Montgomery, has stated. My father was, however, a very warm advocate of escheat, and the only difference that ever arose between him and myself was on that question. I do not deny that I may have taken an active part from the year 1832 to 1845, but I was not elected till 1845, and for some time before that, the escheat party was considered dead. There was no talk of escheat when I came to the house in 1845. And I can tell the Hon. Member that the majority of my constituents are opposed to escheat and that the public mind in Prince County is not enlisted in its favour. I stated at my election that I would not promise to go for escheat and I was elected on the reason that I was a supporter of the Liberal Government.

Hon. Mr. MONTGOMERY.—I repeat, Mr. Chairman, that the Hon. Member was a warm supporter of escheat and I would recall to his recollection the time he went to Brackley Point to do all he could against the present Hon. Col. Secretary.

Mr. CLARK.—I deny, Mr. Chairman, that I was an escheat or at the time to which the Hon. Member has alluded. The question then was a quarrel between Mr. Pope and the Lieutenant Governor, and sorry enough I am from what I have seen since, that I did not support the Hon. Col. Secretary at that time.

Hon. Mr. WHITNEY.—Mr. Chairman, this is certainly a

most important question, and it has been so fully and ably

discussed that there is but little left for me to say—but it

is one of those questions in which I cannot feel myself

justified in giving a silent vote. We all know, Sir, that it

has been agitated for a great many years. In the years

1837 and 1838 the Hon. Member, Mr. Cooper, brought his

views before the House of Assembly. At that time, sir, the

Hon. Member had a large majority in the House, and much

benefit was expected to result. Myself and others were led to

believe that important alterations in the conditions under

which land was held by the large majority of the people in

the colony would be effected, and I will say now that it is

my opinion that if the Hon. Member had not been extravagant in his views, much might have been accomplished in

the direction of the Hon. Member's views—probably some

six or seven Townships might have been escheated—but the

Hon. Member believed that what could be applied to one

would be applied to all, and thus, however good his intentions may have been, he went in my opinion too far. It is,

however, Mr. Chairman, high time this question was decided;

and while I admit that it is natural for the tenants to desire

to become freeholders, and further that I believe they have

been led to believe that the lands would fall into the hands

of the Government of the Colony, I cannot withhold the

expression of my opinion that the day for that has gone by,

never to return. I believe, Mr. Chairman, that the land

purchase bill presents the only practicable mode of converting

the tenants into freeholders, and although it may be

considered by some that the Worrell Estate was purchased

at a high figure, I consider it an excellent move in the right

direction. Before long I have no doubt that the proprietors

will be glad to sell their lands to the Government—the land

tax they will pay is to be a somewhat heavy burden, which is

not without its influence upon them now—the contemplated

tax upon their rent roll is another step in the same progression

which if continued will ultimately induce the best and

finest, and indeed only practicable mode of escheat namely,

by the tenants paying a reasonable sum for the purchase of

their farms.—I do not go for taking the lands from the

proprietors. As I said before, I think the time for doing so

has passed, and if I agree to purchase a piece of land from

any man, I have no right to tell him how he received it, if

he has a title recognized by the laws of the Country. As is

the observations which have been made on the subject of the

investigation of the title to the Worrell Estate previously

to its being purchased by the Government under the Land

Purchase Bill, the fifth section of that Act requires that the

Government shall cause such investigation to be made before

they shall purchase any lands under the Act. This, Mr.

Chairman, I consider has been done by the law officer

for the Crown, the Hon. Attorney General, and I should think

that the opinion of a gentleman holding the high position

which he does, ought to be sufficient to satisfy the people of the

Colony that a proper investigation has been made, and that the

titles of the Proprietors are good. All the different Deeds and

Documents affecting the property were submitted to him, and

there is on the Table of the House his opinion, which should, I

think satisfy Hon. Members as to the mode in which the Go-

vernment acted in the purchase of the Estate. When Petitions

were before this House, it is our duty to take them into our

consideration. It is true we have an opposite position

from the other side of the Island. Those positions I shall not

go into at present, and as I have told all Hon. Members in this

House, that we have a divided Legislature, I will leave the

Hon. Member, Mr. Cooper, in his own course to carry out

the views of those who have signed that petition. I hope however

the question will be disposed of, in any way, by the other side.

I shall support the views of the Hon. Colonial Secretary.

Mr. McLEON.—Mr. Chairman, the hon. member who has just sat down, has spoken as if he hardly knew his own meaning. When he says that the grants were made improvidently, I tell him that the law and the constitution afford a remedy. If that hon. member will look, he can easily see for himself. But they who suffer under the actual pressure of evils, are those most apt to look out for the modes of relief. To show that the hon. member (Mr. Wightman) should go for Redhead, after admitting that the grants were made improvidently, I will read what will, I think, convince him that he ought to support a Court of Escheat as a constitutional measure. I find in the third section of the twelfth chapter of Chitty, on prerogatives:

"The *scire facias* to repeal or revoke unfounded or impudent grants of the Crown (*d*), is another prerogative process which requires consideration. The King is, generally speaking, bound by his grants; but this is only when they are not contrary to law either in themselves, or void for uncertainty or deception, or unjust as injurious to the rights and interests of third persons (*e*). In these cases the King *par regis*, for the advancement of justice and right, may repeal his own grant (*f*). As if the King grant what by law he is restrained from granting (*g*), or the grant be obtained by fraud or a false suggestion (*h*). No greater injury could be inflicted upon us, as British subjects, than to treat us as aliens. It is then our duty to complain, and if we are unanimous, we shall obtain what we ask.

(To be continued.)

ROAD APPROPRIATIONS.

QUEEN'S COUNTY.

DISTRICT NO. 9.

Comprising Lots Nos. 49 and 50.

Road and bridges from Flood's to Ten Mile House,	212	0	0
Thom's to Redmond's,	8	0	0
County line to Redmond's, post road,	12	0	0
Blocks and bridges to Pownal wharf,	20	0	0
Road from rear settlement, Ugg, to Murray Harbour			
road,	16	0	0
Finley's Point towards Charlottetown,	8	0	0
From south side Galloway Point to China Point,	16	0	0
Hard for road to channel towards shore, China Point,			
near Mr. Nelson's,	8	0	0
Repairs to bridge at Orwell, near Mr. Barker's,	40	0	0
From Widow Currie's (Barrens) towards Murray			
Harbour Road,	12	0	0
Improving road from Flood's, Lot 49, to Township line,			
Lot 49,	16	0	0
Mr. Howard, for bushing bays,	4	10	0
Old Three Rivers road, in Lot 49,	5	0	0
In aid of ferry boat for passengers to cross from China			
Point, to be approved of by Road Commissioner,	7	0	0
To William Fraught, for work at Pownal wharf, under			
certificate,	15	0	0
Road from Mongan to Piquid,	8	0	0
Road from Mr. Barker's shipyard to Sullivan's,	4	5	0
Road from Hayden's hill towards Ugg,	4	0	0
Piquid road, from the Town road,	7	0	0
	2311	15	8

DISTRICT NO. 12.

Comprising Charlottetown Common and Royalty Road, and Poplar Head Bridge.

Repairing and Maintaining roads throughout the
Common and Royalty, and repairing bridges, £400 0 0
Towards repairing Poplar Head Bridge, 100 0 0
From Royalty to Cambie's, 7 0 0

£407 0 0