

NEVER HAD AN ACCIDENT

You will have to go a long way to find a person who has had an accident with a "Simplex" bowl. And what's more! A worn out

SIMPLEX LINK-BLADE SEPARATOR

is as scarce as hen's teeth. There are several reasons why this Separator has the reputation of being a "no break, no wear" machine.

The "Simplex" bowls are made of a very ductile grade of seamless steel tubing, that even if it were subjected to an extreme pressure would stretch, but would not fly to pieces. The spindles are made of a special grade of high carbon steel, heat treated, to increase their toughness.



There is no safer or stronger bowl than the low speed "Simplex" bowl, and this is a point that you should think about especially in these days of cheaply built high bowl speed separators.

Our aim is to make a Cream Separator that is stronger than is actually necessary. When you buy a Separator buy a good one. The safest way is to get in touch with our nearest agent.

D. Derbyshire & Company

Head Office and Works: BROCKVILLE, ONT.

Branches: PETERSBOROUGH, ONT. MONTREAL and QUEBEC, P. Q.

WE WANT AGENTS IN A FEW UNREPRESENTED DISTRICTS

THE "BT" STANCHION IS ALWAYS CHOSEN

When its Many Advantages Are Known



It is made in five sizes and of heavy "U" bar. The lock and trip are made of heavy metal. It can be easily opened with one hand and is the only stanchion that can be opened no matter what pressure the animal is putting against it. It is supplied for use with wood or steel construction. It will pay you to let us tell you about "BT" Steel Stalls and Stanchions and what we can do for you.

Write us to-day

We also build Litter Carrier and Hay Carrier Goods

BEATTY BROS., FERGUS ONT.

THE PROPOSED CANADIAN NAVY

Most Farmers Opposed to it and Anxious to Have it Submitted to the People for a Vote.

Letters that Farm and Dairy has received from farmers living in all parts of Canada show that there is a strong feeling against the proposal to establish a Canadian navy. Recently Farm and Dairy wrote to a number of its subscribers, living in each province, asking first if they were in favor of or opposed to the proposed navy, and if they would prefer to have the Canada for a vote. Three out of every four persons who replied were opposed to the proposed navy. All the farmers heard from, with the exception of two, were in favor of having the question submitted to the country to vote on.

A large number of those who expressed opposition to the establishment of a Canadian navy stated that they were in favor of helping Great Britain, but that they doubted the advisability of incurring such a heavy expenditure as is proposed and in the manner proposed. Those who favored the proposed navy did so wholly on a patriotic ground and in the belief that Canada should be prepared to protect its trade routes. Lack of space makes it utterly impossible to publish the many letters received on this question. Extracts from them, however, will show the trend of feeling.

Seymour Green of Somenos, Vancouver Island, B. C., wrote: "The farmers of British Columbia are in favor of the proposed navy, as in case of war they would suffer great hardships should our navy lose its supremacy on the seas. It is by means of our navy that our empire is bound together. The farmers of British Columbia, in case of war, would feel the first blow if we believe the signs of nations which have neglected to take upon themselves the duty of citizenship were all wiped out of existence. Have we degenerated so much as to refuse our just burdens of empire?"

From Alberta Mr. Alex S. Blackwood wrote: "I favor the proposed navy, because we contribute nothing for the advance of the empire and because we ought to bear our proportionate share of the burden, provided we have the say as to how small he spent. We should scorn to have our trade routes maintained and our nationality guaranteed by the over-burdened taxpayers of Great Britain. Who among us would pay our poorer relative to pay our debts?"

THE PATRIOTIC FEELING.

Speaking for the farmers of the province of Quebec, Auguste Fafard of L'Islet, Que., wrote: "We French-Canadians want to have our country protected and do not want to rely on the navy of Great Britain alone. We should take care of ourselves, and if England some day needs it, it will be our duty to fight for the British flag."

OPPOSING VIEWS.

"I am opposed to the Canadian navy," wrote Cecil Swale of Bruce County, "because of the great expenditure. One type of vessel is quickly superseded by a better one. We might better strengthen our frontier, and depend upon the British navy, while contributing a just tribute in the meantime. I would favor a plebiscite, as it would make the people sit up and take notice."

"I oppose the establishment of the Canadian navy," wrote D. M. Anderson, Lambton County, "because it will entail the carrying of a burden that none can estimate. War has never thought of in Canada. The establishment of a navy will turn the attention of our industrious people to war and may have a far-reaching and bad effect on the nation that is making the greatest progress of any nation

in the world. How would a farmer succeed who spent more money on guns and ammunition than on farm implements? Should he and his sons spend all their time training how to use their guns and boasting that the lion and his cubs will keep the supremacy, would the effect be likely to promote war or peace? We should have a plebiscite on this subject, if only to educate our people."

"I hold," wrote J. J. Beaumont of Bracebridge, "as do most of the farmers in this district, that while Canada should share part of the expense of the protection we receive from the British navy, that the best way for us to do it is not to establish a Canadian navy. Farmers would have to bear too much of the expenditure. The question should be submitted to the people."

WILL CAUSE TROUBLE.

"I am not in favor of the proposed expenditure for the purpose of war-fares," wrote George Wright, the Secretary of the Centre Wellington Farmers' Institute, "because it will create jealousy and distrust. The result would entail large expenses annually for stores and management and supplies. No such annual cost should be incurred without the vote of the people with full consideration."

"The sentiment which is promoting this proposed expenditure," said Chas. M. Mackie of Appleton, "is no doubt a good one, but sentiment never paid the nation's indebtedness nor provided the money for so many of the large expenditures into which it has so often thrown its money. It is well known that the people have full knowledge of the conditions under which this money is to be spent."

"The proposed navy is unequalled for and unnecessary," was the view of H. O. Boorse of South River. "I am emphatically opposed to the proposed expenditure," wrote H. F. Page of Matsqui, B. C., "because no such expenditure will be of any value as a protection unless heavily supplemented annually. Why does Canada want a navy? When during the past 80 years has there been any need for a navy? Certainly have a vote upon the question."

From recent developments in the House of Commons it seems evident that there is little chance that the people will be consulted. We should have the right and as farmers we should agitate for it, to have questions of such vast importance as this submitted to the people for their approval.

Items of Interest

A most successful series of Short Course Judging Classes been concluded in Simcoe County. These were held in Duntroon and in Cookstown. The attendance was large in both places and a great interest was aroused.

Maple Shade Farm, Brooklyn, Ont., recently made an extensive sale of Shorthorns to Mr. Thomas Stanton, of Brookland Farm, Aurora, Illinois. The purchase consisted of a car of the best and most valuable pure bred cattle that ever left Canada in one car at a single purchase, the total price paid being nearly \$6,000; the market value of a bull calf, "Prince Imperial," being \$3,500. The shipment is one of which Mr. Dryden may well be proud, and fully demonstrates that he, like his illustrious father, possesses the essential and necessary qualifications in order to intelligently and successfully produce Shorthorn cattle that readily command the highest possible figures.

Issue Each V

Vol. XXI

Possible to

FROM Farm

ords How are tained by factor in t

Follow u find some well. In th Co., Ont., Dairy Dec.

i. e., the a der to obt

ence has b closed show of over 9.0 fat per cow raised our nearly 2,000 lbs. of butter

FACT

In accom tor has been cows. Other necessary

The descended strains, the est cows, and Babcock the product

In feedin feed our co

We commen in October night and n roots. The chiefy a m barley which vances the each day u ber, when t winter.

At this ti the feeding may only fro she will eat will consume a day, will b very necessa living twice another adv

By growin for the silo both accepta the capacin should have all the cow from 40 to 6 proportion to