SOME FURTHER PROOFS OF THE EXISTENCE OF THE SUBTERRANEOUS PASSAGE;

AND OF THE WICKED PRACTICES OF THE PRIESTS AND NUNS INHABITING THE HOTEL DIEU CONVENT.

(From the American Protestant Vindicator, November 2, 1836.)

MR. JONES, editor of the L'Ami du Peuple of Montreal, and Mr. Stone, of New York, his accomplice in deceiving the public, have both declared that "No subterranean passage between the Seminary and the Hotel Dieu Convent, was ever seen or heard of!" We have not only denied their statements, but have referred to a narrative published in March, 1836, as ample proof. In addition to which, the Rev. Mr. Wilks, of Montreal, has also testified to the fact, and other gentlemen have declared that they heard of that underground medium of communication at various

periods, many years since.

d

ıe is

e. 10

ns s. hd

ty.

of

he

ler

1

n-

et

to

ıa-

s a

ıd-

m-

ide

er-

i i t

rt-3,,

10-

er.

re-

ut

We have averred that the Boston Recorder did, about the first of May, 1826, publish an account of the subterranean passage from the Seminary to the Hotel Dieu Convent, with other matters respecting the Canadian Jesuits, of a similar purport to our recent developments, We have appealed to Mr. Hallock, editor of the New York Journal of Commerce, for the truth of the fact. He will not deny it. And we call upon the editor of the Boston Recorder to look over his file of the year 1826, and tell us honestly, without any of his usual metaphysical, quibbling nonsense. about a subject with which he is not conversant; and just to answer in one word—YES or NO—Was not the subterranean passage between the Seminary and the Hotel Dieu Convent of Montreal, heard of in Boston, in the year 1826? And was not the article, published in the Boston Recorder, and copied into the Canadian papers, and did it not raise a storm of indignation, even greater than now exists? That statement was censured as an injury to the character of the province abroad, and as such ought not to have been published, and the life of the person who sent it to Boston, was publicly threatened, could it have been discoveredbut no man had the effrontery to deny the facts.

We proceed however, to decide the point concerning the past and present existence of the subterranean avenue between the priests' habitation and the residence of the mus in Montreal by

testimony which none will attempt to invalidate.

The first witness is the Rev. Oliver Wetmore, of Utica. In a