February 3, 1992

NEW LEASE

D	n	7.
Routine	Procee	onnos
TUMMM	1,0000	MULLED

Bourque, Pierre & Fils Ltée	Louis St. Laurent 555 Blvd. de la Carrière Hull, Quebec	40,796.53	91-08-01 — 16-07-31 25 years	\$ 8,356,577.49 (Net)
PREVIOUS LEASE				
Les Entreprises Duroc Inc.	Place Vincent Massey 352 Blvd. St-Joseph Hull, Quebec	28,504.53	73-07-01 — 88-06-30 15 years + 1 x 5 years	\$ 1,093,493.00
NEW LEASE				
Les Entreprises Duroc Inc.	Place Vincent Massey 352 Blvd. St-Joseph Hull, Quebec	28,506.00	86-08-01 — 11-07-31 25 years	\$ 3,145,069.00

Question No. 122-Mr. Harvard:

During the recent postal strike, was there an extra cost associated with providing the extra remittance forms for corporation returns and employee deduction remittance forms, and, if so, what was it?

Hon. Otto Jelinek (Minister of National Revenue): During the recent postal strike, Revenue Canada, Taxation provided 950,000 extra source deductions remittance forms to employers and, in addition, provided 150,000 extra instalment forms to corporations to ensure timely payments of source deductions and corporate taxes through financial institutions.

Costs incurred:

-Printing \$65,839.58

-Postage (Third class) \$220,782.30

-Total cost \$286,621.88

Question No. 142-Mr. Caccia:

Was the proposal to entrench property rights in the Constitution (a) subjected to an assessment for its environmental impact, and (b) will a public statement be released as required by the environmental assessment reform policy announced by the Minister of the Environment in June 1990?

Right Hon. Joe Clark (President of the Privy Council and Minister Responsible for Constitutional Affairs): The proposed protection of an individual's property rights is raised in the document *Shaping Canada's Future Together*, tabled in the House of Commons on September 24, 1991. This document was referred to a Special Joint Committee of the Senate and the House of Commons with a mandate to seek the viewpoints of Canadians on these matters, and to make recommendations to Parliament. The process of committee review will give an opportunity for Canadians to bring forward their concerns from a broad range of perspectives in this national dialogue. The committee's review of these matters will assist Parliament in its consideration of constitutional proposals, and contributes to the over all process for constitutional reform which involves the legislative assemblies of provinces as well as Parliament. Therefore, it is premature to consider the question of an assessment of environmental impact.

Question No. 155-Mr. Caccia:

What policies, initiatives or programs, with respective person-year and dollar allocations, have been launched in response to the recommendations from the Federal Water Policy: A Progress Report in (a) Part I, recommendations 1.1.2, 1.1.4, 2.1.2, 2.1.5, 2.1.6, 3.1.1, 3.1.3, 3.1.4, 4.1.1, 4.1.3, 4.1.5, 5.1.2; (b) Part II, recommendations 2.1, 2.2, 3.3, 4.1, 4.5, 5.1, 5.3, 5.6, 6.1, 6.2, 7.1, 8.2, 9.2, 10.2, 11.1, 11.3, 12.2, 14.5, 14.6, 14.7, 18.1, 18.2, 18.3, 22.4?

Hon. Jean J. Charest (Minister of the Environment): This information will form part of the second biannual Federal Water Policy progress report, which will be