

Business of the House

although they deal with different Standing Orders. I will do it either way.

Some hon. Members: Let us do it.

Mr. Pickersgill: We could put them in tonight so they will be printed in *Votes and Proceedings* tomorrow.

Mr. Peters: Mr. Chairman, I would suggest that the only ones applicable are those that refer to this paragraph.

Mr. McIlraith: Since we are on paragraph 6 I will read the proposed amendment, if that will be more pleasing to Members opposite; but I would point out that they all come in answer to requests from Members opposite:

That Section (2) of the proposed Standing Order 43 be amended to read as follows:

"When the debate on any motion under Standing Order 15, Section (2) is adjourned or interrupted the Order for resumption of the same shall be transferred to and considered as the first Order under Government Orders."

and in consequence of that proposal:

That Section (2) of Standing Order 18 be amended to read as follows:

"Except as provided in Standing Orders 43 and 56, Government Orders may be called in such sequence as the Government may think fit".

And the following:

That Section (1) of the proposed Standing Order 65 be amended by striking out the words "with all convenient speed", and substituting therefor the words "within ten sitting days after its appointment", and by striking out the number "22" where it appears therein and substituting therefor the number "24".

And a new Standing Order 68A:

In any standing or special committee of the House questions of order shall be decided by the chairman, subject only to an appeal to the committee.

And also:

That the amendments to Standing Orders made by this Resolution be continued in effect until the end of the next ensuing session unless this House otherwise orders.

Progress reported.

BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE

Mr. McIlraith: Mr. Speaker, tomorrow we will consider the report of the special committee dealing with the procedures of the House. When that is completed we will continue with the business we have been discussing today, and when that is completed we will deal with the banking legislation, and then the budget resolutions.

Mr. Starr: You spoiled your whole speech by the last few words.

[Mr. McIlraith.]

PROCEEDINGS ON ADJOURNMENT MOTION

A motion to adjourn the House under Provisional Standing Order 39A deemed to have been moved.

**CANADIAN BROADCASTING CORPORATION—
REQUEST FOR BETTER FACILITIES IN
VANCOUVER**

Mr. R. W. Prittie (Burnaby-Richmond): Mr. Speaker, the question I wish to bring to the attention of the House and the Government is the continuing problem of the television and radio facilities of the Canadian Broadcasting Corporation at Vancouver. For many years the television production centre at Vancouver has been in a former garage, a building formerly used by an automobile dealer. It has been there since T.V. productions started in Vancouver in 1953.

"Barn-like", "cramped", "inadequate", "inefficient"—these are all adjectives which can be used to describe the C.B.C. television centre in Vancouver. Next to Toronto and Montreal, Vancouver is the principal production centre of the C.B.C. Year after year the local staff have worked in very cramped conditions, but in spite of this they have turned out some fairly good productions. One has only to think of the plays which come from Vancouver, particularly the Cariboo series last year or a variety program such as "Some of Those Days", to realize that they do turn out good programs, in spite of the fact that they have been working under the most trying conditions for many years.

The C.B.C. radio facilities in Vancouver are located in the Hotel Vancouver, but the premises are on a month to month basis and it is understood that they will have to leave the building before very long. Again, there have been some very high quality radio productions out of Vancouver.

I think it is disgraceful that neither the present Government nor the previous one authorized the C.B.C. to spend the capital for a new production centre in Vancouver. Over \$100 million has been authorized for new centres in Montreal and Toronto—about \$105 million altogether. There was an opportunity for the C.B.C. to acquire improved buildings on the West Coast. This was when the decision was made to close the former R.C.A.F. station at Kitsilano Park in Vancouver. A certain amount of land and some existing buildings were available. This would not have been as good as a new centre but