

Therefore, for the protection of the people, the members of this association and the students of dentistry in this province, the amendment is not only justifiable but commendable.

The clause calling for midsummer examinations was not suggested by your Board, but was recommended and insisted upon by the Legislature of the Province, and the wisdom and necessity of it is apparent in view of the rapid growth of the Province, the increased number of applicants for license and the ever-increasing business to be transacted by your Board.

One of the lesser advantages arising from the changed condition brought about by the amendment, is the ultimate settlement of the much discussed question whether or not the applicant, having presented his papers and paid his fee, should be allowed to practise in the interval between the date of application and the date of the next meeting of the Board. It is now readily apparent that no one should be allowed to practise until he shall have successfully passed the examination and received his diploma or license.

The judgment given against Mr. Washington is established legal precedent in this matter, and is in full accord with the judgment given by the Supreme Court of the State of New York in a similar case.

In 1895, by amendment to By-law No. 6, the standard of matriculation for students was raised to the level of the matriculation standard of medicine or law, and the examination was put out of the hands of the Board.

In 1897, the term of indentures for students was changed from two and a half years to four years, by amendment to By-laws Nos. 7, 8 and 9, and such provision for college attendance as was within the power of the Board to make was made by an additional clause to By-law 7.

The cases of prosecution for violation of the Manitoba Dental Association Act conducted by this Board during the three years of office are two, and though the cost in each case was considerable, the judgment given and the precedents established fully compensate for the expenditure.

In the case of Samuel Rowan, a student articled to Licentiate Clark, practising outside his preceptor's office, judgment was given against Mr. Rowan. With a view to preventing this class of offence, the Board has introduced an additional by-law, By-law No. 13, which reads as follows: "No student shall, during the term of his indentures, conduct or visit a branch office for the purpose of performing dental operations, nor shall he perform any dental operations elsewhere than at the head office of his