

and annoyance of theft to the bee-men there seems to be no spot in Jackson Park possessing the attractions and temptations to the amateur pilferer, as well as those not naturally thieves, as the same Honey Gallery we are writing about. The professionals go for the Jewelry, Diamonds and other valuables and would disdain to stick up their light and nimble fingers with honey. This Gallery is also the place *par excellence* for the Souvenir hunters and beggars. And it is a not little amusing to hear the arguments presented by some of them (and the cheek by other) why they should get the sweet souvenirs of the great Columbian Exposition. One Columbian Guard at my case when a glass door was open, noticing that the proprietor was a British subject from Canada, gave as a reason why he should get a souvenir that he had actually the day before "arrested a man" who was trying to tear down a British flag from some place or other in the Park. As the said proprietor had himself two Union Jacks flying from his case in the breeze (from the windows) his hard hearted avareiciousness melter before that patriotic appeal even as the Candied honey was melting on the gas stoves! The guard went away with a souvenir with the admonition to tell nobody. Anyway it is better to give away a little jar than to have a bigger one stolen.

By the way the editor of the C. B. J. says in last issue that he does not know "who is responsible for the selection of the glass but the packages are too large to show the honey to best advantage." I may say that I (with inevitable circumstances) am responsible and would do exactly the same thing again under similar conditions. The best evidence that the Ontario honey was fairly well bottled up, and put up, and arranged, and installed, and managed if you please, is to be found in the fact that it has taken two awards as a collective display and exhibit, besides the fifteen individual awards; and the other fact that the press of Canada and the U. S. both, as well as visitors, interested and disinterested, have spoken in the highest terms of the display of Ontario honey at the Exposition. Let it be remembered in the first place that this was not a county show but a world's Fair. The small-potatoes, small bottle work which would therefore be excusable or justifiable at the former place, or even at a Toronto Industrial, would be inexcusable and inappropriate here. I had glass holding from one to two ounces up to 65 lbs having the buckwheat Extracted Honey and other dark honey in the latter and that was in my opinion, the way to make a display especially the quantity I had to display. More-

over, when the glass was selected we expected to be able to exhibit only collectively as a province. This assurance came from head quarters. And as bunching up every man's exhibit by itself in the case in small bottles tagged would have utterly spoiled the appearance of the exhibit collectively, I refrained from doing so absurd a thing. Suppose that method had been followed, and the surplus left out in the packing boxes where would have been the provincial awards or the numerous individual awards, or the verdict of press and visitors that the Ontario honey case display was the best in Jackson Park? The Judge himself remarked when he looked the case over that we knew "not only how to produce honey but how to display it." But I not only got in my application to the Bureau for collective examination and awards, but for individual awards; and in the vascillating confusion and muddle of the Bureau of awards this right was secured only by persistent effort.

And I may say here that no individual exhibitor suffered any injustice in consequence of a part of his extracted honey being in large jars and having his exhibit distributed instead of bunched; because each exhibit was apportioned in both small and large jars and could be seen in both; and the judge was duly apprised of this fact, and carefully, and I believe, conscientiously examined and tasted each man's honey. I had never met the honey judge before, but I believe he was not only painstaking and competent but anxious to do right by all. The original intention of the fair regulations in this department was, I believe, to individualize the exhibits in the show cases with the exhibitor's name attached to his exhibit. This was not carried out, as the superintendents would have been greatly hampered in their efforts to make the most of their chances for their countries and their exhibitors. With the scattering of the individual exhibits went in a measure the desirability of the personal tag. Moreover, when the state borrows or buys the honey from its individual citizens and becomes responsible to them for its return or its equivalent in cash, and does this at great trouble and expense, then the State has the right to manage and exhibit the goods as it sees fit in the interests and for the credit of the Country as a whole. When the Exhibitor foots the bill for exhibiting his own goods then his right to do the work in this way or to suit himself becomes manifest. Canadians instead of finding fault ought to be proud of the results at the Exposition in the apiarian and all other Departments. To secure them the Country must in the first place be a good one and