

DOMINION PARLIAMENT.

THE SENATE AND THE GRAND TRUNK AGREEMENT BILL.

Trying to Get Bowell Men and Ferguson Men Together - The House Talking Redistribution - Supplementary Estimates Being Looked for.

Ottawa, July 4 - In the house today Mr. Foster asked when the supplementary estimates would be brought down.

The minister of finance said the estimates would likely be presented to the house before the end of the week.

Mr. Fielding moved a vote on account of one-tenth of the total estimates for the fiscal year which began on July 1.

In agreeing to the vote Mr. Foster contradicted the course of the opposition in this matter with that of the Liberal opposition in 1892, when no vote on account would be agreed to.

The premier returned that Mr. Foster should have referred to 1891, when the Liberal opposition agreed to two votes on account.

Mr. Clarke Wallace resumed the debate on the redistribution bill. He attacked it as an infamous gerrymander, and said that the 1893 act was eminently fair and equitable.

Mr. McMillen warmly defended the bill, and with equal warmth denounced the Conservative bill of 1892.

Mr. Hill, of P. E. Island, dealt with the constitutional aspect of the subject, opposing the opposition claim that redistribution could legally be made only after each census being taken.

The house adjourned at 12:30. In the senate this afternoon Senator Wood, of Westmorland, opposed the International Extension Bill.

Senator Mills pointed out that the low rates were of benefit to the country through which the road ran.

The senate adjourned at 6 o'clock, and Ferguson men can be got together in connection with the Grand Trunk agreement bill.

Ottawa, Ont., July 5 - The discussion upon the Yukon was started again in the house by Mr. Davin, who put himself in order by moving an adjournment of the house.

Mr. Davin's motion was supported by the Liberal members, and the Conservative members were in the minority.

Mr. Davin's motion was supported by the Liberal members, and the Conservative members were in the minority.

Mr. Davin's motion was supported by the Liberal members, and the Conservative members were in the minority.

Mr. Davin's motion was supported by the Liberal members, and the Conservative members were in the minority.

shelving anyone, and that if the Ogilvie investigation proved inefficient another would be ordered.

Mr. Mackenzie asked Sir Charles Tupper to explain the propriety of Mr. Davin moving an adjournment of the house.

Mr. Mackenzie asked Sir Charles Tupper to explain the propriety of Mr. Davin moving an adjournment of the house.

Mr. Mackenzie asked Sir Charles Tupper to explain the propriety of Mr. Davin moving an adjournment of the house.

Mr. Mackenzie asked Sir Charles Tupper to explain the propriety of Mr. Davin moving an adjournment of the house.

Mr. Mackenzie asked Sir Charles Tupper to explain the propriety of Mr. Davin moving an adjournment of the house.

Mr. Mackenzie asked Sir Charles Tupper to explain the propriety of Mr. Davin moving an adjournment of the house.

Mr. Mackenzie asked Sir Charles Tupper to explain the propriety of Mr. Davin moving an adjournment of the house.

Mr. Mackenzie asked Sir Charles Tupper to explain the propriety of Mr. Davin moving an adjournment of the house.

Mr. Mackenzie asked Sir Charles Tupper to explain the propriety of Mr. Davin moving an adjournment of the house.

Mr. Mackenzie asked Sir Charles Tupper to explain the propriety of Mr. Davin moving an adjournment of the house.

Mr. Mackenzie asked Sir Charles Tupper to explain the propriety of Mr. Davin moving an adjournment of the house.

Mr. Mackenzie asked Sir Charles Tupper to explain the propriety of Mr. Davin moving an adjournment of the house.

Mr. Mackenzie asked Sir Charles Tupper to explain the propriety of Mr. Davin moving an adjournment of the house.

Mr. Mackenzie asked Sir Charles Tupper to explain the propriety of Mr. Davin moving an adjournment of the house.

Mr. Mackenzie asked Sir Charles Tupper to explain the propriety of Mr. Davin moving an adjournment of the house.

Mr. Mackenzie asked Sir Charles Tupper to explain the propriety of Mr. Davin moving an adjournment of the house.

Mr. Mackenzie asked Sir Charles Tupper to explain the propriety of Mr. Davin moving an adjournment of the house.

Mr. Mackenzie asked Sir Charles Tupper to explain the propriety of Mr. Davin moving an adjournment of the house.

Mr. Mackenzie asked Sir Charles Tupper to explain the propriety of Mr. Davin moving an adjournment of the house.

Mr. Mackenzie asked Sir Charles Tupper to explain the propriety of Mr. Davin moving an adjournment of the house.

in connection with the London election. He authorities consulted showed that though the question of election irregularities was referred to the courts by the Conservative members.

Mr. Mackenzie asked Sir Charles Tupper to explain the propriety of Mr. Davin moving an adjournment of the house.

Mr. Mackenzie asked Sir Charles Tupper to explain the propriety of Mr. Davin moving an adjournment of the house.

Mr. Mackenzie asked Sir Charles Tupper to explain the propriety of Mr. Davin moving an adjournment of the house.

Mr. Mackenzie asked Sir Charles Tupper to explain the propriety of Mr. Davin moving an adjournment of the house.

Mr. Mackenzie asked Sir Charles Tupper to explain the propriety of Mr. Davin moving an adjournment of the house.

Mr. Mackenzie asked Sir Charles Tupper to explain the propriety of Mr. Davin moving an adjournment of the house.

Mr. Mackenzie asked Sir Charles Tupper to explain the propriety of Mr. Davin moving an adjournment of the house.

Mr. Mackenzie asked Sir Charles Tupper to explain the propriety of Mr. Davin moving an adjournment of the house.

Mr. Mackenzie asked Sir Charles Tupper to explain the propriety of Mr. Davin moving an adjournment of the house.

Mr. Mackenzie asked Sir Charles Tupper to explain the propriety of Mr. Davin moving an adjournment of the house.

Mr. Mackenzie asked Sir Charles Tupper to explain the propriety of Mr. Davin moving an adjournment of the house.

Mr. Mackenzie asked Sir Charles Tupper to explain the propriety of Mr. Davin moving an adjournment of the house.

Mr. Mackenzie asked Sir Charles Tupper to explain the propriety of Mr. Davin moving an adjournment of the house.

Mr. Mackenzie asked Sir Charles Tupper to explain the propriety of Mr. Davin moving an adjournment of the house.

Mr. Mackenzie asked Sir Charles Tupper to explain the propriety of Mr. Davin moving an adjournment of the house.

Mr. Mackenzie asked Sir Charles Tupper to explain the propriety of Mr. Davin moving an adjournment of the house.

Mr. Mackenzie asked Sir Charles Tupper to explain the propriety of Mr. Davin moving an adjournment of the house.

Mr. Mackenzie asked Sir Charles Tupper to explain the propriety of Mr. Davin moving an adjournment of the house.

Mr. Mackenzie asked Sir Charles Tupper to explain the propriety of Mr. Davin moving an adjournment of the house.

Mr. Mackenzie asked Sir Charles Tupper to explain the propriety of Mr. Davin moving an adjournment of the house.

and it had been the subject of correspondence between them to see if it was not acceptable.

Mr. Mackenzie asked Sir Charles Tupper to explain the propriety of Mr. Davin moving an adjournment of the house.

Mr. Mackenzie asked Sir Charles Tupper to explain the propriety of Mr. Davin moving an adjournment of the house.

Mr. Mackenzie asked Sir Charles Tupper to explain the propriety of Mr. Davin moving an adjournment of the house.

Mr. Mackenzie asked Sir Charles Tupper to explain the propriety of Mr. Davin moving an adjournment of the house.

Mr. Mackenzie asked Sir Charles Tupper to explain the propriety of Mr. Davin moving an adjournment of the house.

Mr. Mackenzie asked Sir Charles Tupper to explain the propriety of Mr. Davin moving an adjournment of the house.

Mr. Mackenzie asked Sir Charles Tupper to explain the propriety of Mr. Davin moving an adjournment of the house.

Mr. Mackenzie asked Sir Charles Tupper to explain the propriety of Mr. Davin moving an adjournment of the house.

Mr. Mackenzie asked Sir Charles Tupper to explain the propriety of Mr. Davin moving an adjournment of the house.

Mr. Mackenzie asked Sir Charles Tupper to explain the propriety of Mr. Davin moving an adjournment of the house.

Mr. Mackenzie asked Sir Charles Tupper to explain the propriety of Mr. Davin moving an adjournment of the house.

Mr. Mackenzie asked Sir Charles Tupper to explain the propriety of Mr. Davin moving an adjournment of the house.

Mr. Mackenzie asked Sir Charles Tupper to explain the propriety of Mr. Davin moving an adjournment of the house.

Mr. Mackenzie asked Sir Charles Tupper to explain the propriety of Mr. Davin moving an adjournment of the house.

Mr. Mackenzie asked Sir Charles Tupper to explain the propriety of Mr. Davin moving an adjournment of the house.

Mr. Mackenzie asked Sir Charles Tupper to explain the propriety of Mr. Davin moving an adjournment of the house.

Mr. Mackenzie asked Sir Charles Tupper to explain the propriety of Mr. Davin moving an adjournment of the house.

Mr. Mackenzie asked Sir Charles Tupper to explain the propriety of Mr. Davin moving an adjournment of the house.

Mr. Mackenzie asked Sir Charles Tupper to explain the propriety of Mr. Davin moving an adjournment of the house.

Mr. Mackenzie asked Sir Charles Tupper to explain the propriety of Mr. Davin moving an adjournment of the house.

FARMERS' MEETINGS.

MESSERS. T. A. PETERS AND MR. TOMPKINS TALKING ON AGRICULTURE.

A Series of Valuable Lectures on the Farm Being Given in Victoria County by Direction of the Department of Agriculture - The Meetings Well Attended.

GRAND FALLS, July 3 - A series of Farmers' Institute meetings are being held in the county of Victoria.

At Bandville the speakers were received by a full house. The deputy commissioner detailed the policy of commissioners in instituting their meetings.

At Bandville the speakers were received by a full house. The deputy commissioner detailed the policy of commissioners in instituting their meetings.

At Bandville the speakers were received by a full house. The deputy commissioner detailed the policy of commissioners in instituting their meetings.

At Bandville the speakers were received by a full house. The deputy commissioner detailed the policy of commissioners in instituting their meetings.

At Bandville the speakers were received by a full house. The deputy commissioner detailed the policy of commissioners in instituting their meetings.

At Bandville the speakers were received by a full house. The deputy commissioner detailed the policy of commissioners in instituting their meetings.

At Bandville the speakers were received by a full house. The deputy commissioner detailed the policy of commissioners in instituting their meetings.

At Bandville the speakers were received by a full house. The deputy commissioner detailed the policy of commissioners in instituting their meetings.

At Bandville the speakers were received by a full house. The deputy commissioner detailed the policy of commissioners in instituting their meetings.

At Bandville the speakers were received by a full house. The deputy commissioner detailed the policy of commissioners in instituting their meetings.

At Bandville the speakers were received by a full house. The deputy commissioner detailed the policy of commissioners in instituting their meetings.

At Bandville the speakers were received by a full house. The deputy commissioner detailed the policy of commissioners in instituting their meetings.

At Bandville the speakers were received by a full house. The deputy commissioner detailed the policy of commissioners in instituting their meetings.

At Bandville the speakers were received by a full house. The deputy commissioner detailed the policy of commissioners in instituting their meetings.

At Bandville the speakers were received by a full house. The deputy commissioner detailed the policy of commissioners in instituting their meetings.

At Bandville the speakers were received by a full house. The deputy commissioner detailed the policy of commissioners in instituting their meetings.

At Bandville the speakers were received by a full house. The deputy commissioner detailed the policy of commissioners in instituting their meetings.

At Bandville the speakers were received by a full house. The deputy commissioner detailed the policy of commissioners in instituting their meetings.

BORDER NEWS.

Almost a Drowning Fatality - The Nickel Deposits to be Developed.

ST. STEPHEN, July 7 - What came very near being a fatal drowning accident took place in the harbor on Wednesday evening.

Mr. Black and the York County Council. The following resolution, which was passed at the late meeting of the York county council, has been sent to us for publication.

On motion of Councillor Seymour, seconded by Mr. Morahan, the following resolution was passed: Whereas it has been stated in the public press that the nickel deposits in the county of York are being developed by the York county council.

Whereas it has been stated in the public press that the nickel deposits in the county of York are being developed by the York county council.

Whereas it has been stated in the public press that the nickel deposits in the county of York are being developed by the York county council.

Whereas it has been stated in the public press that the nickel deposits in the county of York are being developed by the York county council.

Whereas it has been stated in the public press that the nickel deposits in the county of York are being developed by the York county council.

Whereas it has been stated in the public press that the nickel deposits in the county of York are being developed by the York county council.

Whereas it has been stated in the public press that the nickel deposits in the county of York are being developed by the York county council.

Whereas it has been stated in the public press that the nickel deposits in the county of York are being developed by the York county council.

Whereas it has been stated in the public press that the nickel deposits in the county of York are being developed by the York county council.

Whereas it has been stated in the public press that the nickel deposits in the county of York are being developed by the York county council.

Whereas it has been stated in the public press that the nickel deposits in the county of York are being developed by the York county council.

Whereas it has been stated in the public press that the nickel deposits in the county of York are being developed by the York county council.

Whereas it has been stated in the public press that the nickel deposits in the county of York are being developed by the York county council.

Whereas it has been stated in the public press that the nickel deposits in the county of York are being developed by the York county council.

Whereas it has been stated in the public press that the nickel deposits in the county of York are being developed by the York county council.

Whereas it has been stated in the public press that the nickel deposits in the county of York are being developed by the York county council.

Whereas it has been stated in the public press that the nickel deposits in the county of York are being developed by the York county council.

Whereas it has been stated in the public press that the nickel deposits in the county of York are being developed by the York county council.

Whereas it has been stated in the public press that the nickel deposits in the county of York are being developed by the York county council.

Advertisement for Johnson's Liniment and Parson's Pills, including text like 'EVERY MOTHER SHOULD HAVE IT IN THE HOUSE' and 'JOHNSON'S LINIMENT'.

Advertisement for Carter's Little Liver Pills, featuring an illustration of a man and text like 'CARTER'S LITTLE LIVER PILLS' and 'SICK HEADACHE'.

Advertisement for 'ALL HEADACHES' with text like 'ALL HEADACHES' and 'SICK HEADACHE'.