

.....

APAN

ct. 17

is is

a i s.

to

one ese

when wind

orth-

can

his

pper We

save

as

onev

le of

on

One

rain-

hunn d -

of

Less

95

95

ds

ast-

nto-

.75

clear

.50

en

ights

Dig-

n seland.

who

or tall

2.00 wool, Ellis

5.00

DS

han-

inter

sea-

grey

ding

b the

in tho-

2.33

ay of Week

g just

efore.

brass

steel

1999年4日

neat

Re-enter Monopely Mephisto-Exit the Good Spirit of Public Centrol.

NOT SOLD TO YANKEE TRUST

WINNIPEG PACKER'S DENIAL

Block of Stock, However, Disposed

of-Extensive Additions to

Plant Contemplated.

Winnipeg. Oct. 17.-(Special.)-Ru-

mors were current on the market to-

day that J. Y. Griffin & Co., the big

ment, and will also been a new oblight at Fort William. This statement will allay the anxiety of local men who feared that the Ame-rican beef trust had gobbled up the Griffin firm with a view to controlling western Canada prices. There is an excellent reason for be-lowing that Griffing have been only in-

lieving that Griffing have been only in-

duced to take in outside capital, in or-

der to be in better position to fight the beef trust, which is known to be

And he generally needs

have a policy acknowledged to be un-

equaled in its broad terms and easy

Campbell's English Chop House, 30 King St. West, quick lunch.

For a good shave try 88 King-st. East

BIRTHS. LAMONT-At Coronado, on Oct. 14, to Mr

MARRIAGES.

Gilray, Thomas Jackson to Mrs. Eliza-

DEATHS.

ALEXANDER-At 28 Grove-avenue, Oct.

16, 1905, Ettle, beloved wife of E. T.

Alexander, and only daughter of the late

Funeral from her late residence at 2.30

ANGSTAFF-At her home, 666 Bathurst-

street. Toronto Clara Dowsley (Bae).

only daughter of Mrs. L. F. Langstaff,

JACKSON-CHIDLEY-In Toronto, on Met.day, Oct. 16, 1905, by Rev. Alex,

beth Chidley, both of Clinton.

Edward Lemon.

p.m. Thursday, Oct. 19.

premiums

Of course, the old party leaders will find nothing too strong to say in the way of derogation against the rebellious political freethinkers. The gamut of denunciation will be run from disparagement to innuendo,

predominating issue is clear enough. It is Lincoln against Vanderbilt. It is "government of the people, by the people, for the people" against "The people be dammed!" Our government has always been in varying degree by the people. What it must be is for the people. And the way to compass that end is by the construction of a people's party, a national party. We want a party that will evince no distrust of the people, like party. We want a party that will evince no distruct of the people, like we see at Ottawa nowadays. Rhetoric, whether at Athens, Ont., or in the house of commons. will not much longer take the place of square dealing. The national party will embody a complete confidence in the people, which will lead it to the removal of constitutional restraints, the exten-sion of the principle of direct legislation and the abolition of party ma-chinery. And it will demand, more than all, an increasing control by the soveriment over three methods of employing capital which injure the government over those methods of employing capital which injure the welfare of great masses of the people.

A struggle is going on in both the old parties. Men are breaking away day by day. They are making no noise about it, but they will be there with their votes to help to smash the old systems when the time comes. The new party will rise from the shattered ruins of the older organizations. It will not waste time in threshing the old straw of dead and gone political issues, as do the present parties. It will recognize that the tariff is pretty well settled, and is of less importance than the that the tariff is pretty well settled, and is of less imbortance than the maiagement of the corporations; that public ownership is alive, while religio-racial antagonisms will soon be defunct. The dead past will bury its dead, and the national party will live in the present, looking to the advancement of Canada, not of a few bunches of grafting Canadians.

TOUCHING THE LIVING SITUATION.



The World has a sufficiently high opin gaged in one of the severest struggles ever known in the history of Cana-dian newspapers. It is fighting for public rights against the corpora-tions and those politicians who live by the corporations. It is also often fighting lone-handed. Primarily we have been trying to establish that Sir Wilfrid Laurier is carrying on government in this country by reason of an alliance between

a solid Quebec and the corporation interests represented by Senator Cox of Toronto and Senator Mackay of Montreal. In other words that a solid Quebec, of which Sir Wilfrid is the head and which is bent on the educa-tional coercion of Saskatchewan and Alberta, is joined with the corporations which are arrayed against public ownership, increased regulation by the state of corporations, against any attempt to regulate the telephone monopoly, against any investigation of our life insurance companies To put it still another way: Quebec is against public rights, provincial rights, in regard to education, and the corporations are against public rights as against themselves. United they can override and are overriding the people of Canada.

This combination is all powerful at the present moment. They have a powerful party, or rather all-powerful manipulators at Ottawa. Senator Cox and Senator Mackay of the Grand Trunk Pacific and of the Bell Com-Cox and Senator Mackay of the Grand Trunk Pacific and of the Bell Com-pany can force a Mulock out and put an Aylesworth in. Mulock had to go because he favored public-owned telephones. Another deposed minis-ter, Mr Blair, made a celebrated deliverance in regard to the Grand Trunk Pacific that "Cox can't wait." Le Nationaliste, the organ of Mr. Bourses, the note that "Cox can't wait." Le Nationaliste, the organ of Mr. Bourses, the note that "I of a first significer to that has been mide for months, and the writer spoke from personal observation—that Sir Wilfrid preferred Cox and Mackay, who were "men of affairs," to Mulock, who had public ideas and "ideals," was even "visionary" enough to take the side of the people. side of the people.

The World also alleged that these corporation magnates had got control of many newspapers, including three in Toronto, not to mention other cities. The best proof of this is the way in which all the papers so ownel belittle public rights and anyone who fights in earnest for them, and how they champion monopolies, corporations, and how they slavishly sup-port Laurier and all his acts. These corporation papers all championed the Conmee act that Colonel Gibson of the Cataract Power Company and the solicitor of the other power companies jointly drew up and worked thru the legislature These same papers are all apologists for Jafiray's bold effort to double the water privilege of the Ross-Cox-Nicholls power company

The Montreal Herald is a type of this kind of paper in that city. Most of these corporation papers were at one time advocates of public rights. The papers that the corporations control in Toronto jumped with one accord to sing the song of the Canada Life Assurance Company be-

one accord to sing the song of the Canada International Comparison cause Senator Cox ordered them to do so. And now let us come to this question of life insurance. When the revelations were first made in New York the government at Ottawa was asked in the house if they were watching the situation and were they asked in the house if they were watching the situation and were they certain what had been uncovered in the States was not more or less existent here. Mr. Fielding professed to hardly see the connection and evinced at the same time a fearful dread of mentioning things that the corporation interests might object to.

Because the government will make no answer, because they will give no assurance that they will investigate, the work of criticism must continue. So far in spite of all kinds of misrepresentation as to itself and as to its motives The World proposes to go on

It has got this far in spite of the swan songs of the Toronto papers about "the wonderful prescience" of those who manage our insurance companies, that:

1. The expense of managing our life insurance companies is in several cases one-third of the net premium income-altogether too large a sum. The figures are the same percentage in the big Yankee companies that have been so grossly mismanaged and which are furnish-ing the greatest financial scandal of modern times. The British life companies are managed for 10 to 15 per cent, of their net premium income. What is the reason of this?

It has come out that the policyholders who are the real owners of the insurance funds have little or no voice in the management of the companies. That a few shareholders, who are out to make all the money they can, run the companies, and that these shareholders in several cases pay themselves exorbitant dividends on their needlessly high capitalization, enormous salaries to themselves and relatives as officers of the company, and take or have taken enormous commissions on all or great blocks of business done by the company.

3 It has been demonstrated that these same shareholders have complete control of the trust funds, which really belong to the policyhold-ers, and that there is every opportunity here as in the States to manipulate the trust funds by means of "associated companies" to make big profits for someone at the expense of the policyholders.

These things have been brought out, but brought out only under the most trying circumstances as far as any championship of the policyholders is concerned.

COLDER.

Cloth Conts in New York Cuts. It is a new departure for Dineen's to go into the ladies' cloth coat specialties. Meteorological Office Toronto, Oct. 17 ---go into the ladies cioth coat speciardes. but it promises to be a move which will be appreciated by the ladies of Toronto, for it will bring them in touch with the very latest ideas in a particular line of dress which can make or mar any woman's appearance.

Empress India...Yokohama Vancouver
Edwards, Morgan & Company, Char-
tered Accountants, 26 Wellington
Street East. Phone Main IId 2. 133only daughter of Mrs. L. F. Langstaff,
Fureral (private) on Thursday at 2,50,
No flowers.of dress which can make of mar any
woman's appearance.occurred in Ontario, but otherwise the wea-
the appearance of the paddock coat, or
the appearan

and Mrs. W. H. Lamont, a son. WHITE-At Medicine Hat, Alberta, Oct. 17, 1905, to Mr. and Mrs. Donald Guthrie White, a daughter.

