Private Bills-Divorce

Some hon. Members: No.

Mr. Speaker: Those in favour of the motion will say "yea".

Some hon. Members: Yea.

Mr. Speaker: Those opposed will say "nay".

Some hon. Members: Nay.

Mr. Speaker: In my opinion the "yeas" have it.

And more than five members having risen:

Mr. Speaker: Call in the members.

The house divided on the motion (Mr. Winkler) which was agreed to on the following division:

YEAS

Messrs:

Macdonald (Edmonton Anderson Applewhaite East) MacDougall Bater MacKenzie Bradley MacLean (Cape Breton Brooks North and Victoria) Carter MacNaught Cavers McCulloch Decore McIvor Dewar McWilliam Eyre Mayhew Ferrie Murray (Cariboo) Fulford Prudham Garland Robinson George Gibson Sinclair Goode Stick Stuart (Charlotte) Gregg Studer Harkness Harrison Ward Helme Weaver Hetland Weir Welbourn Huffman Whiteside Kickham Kirk (Antigonish-Winkler Winters Guysborough) Wood-50. Kirk (Digby-Yarmouth)

NAYS

Messrs:

Herridge Aylesworth Beaudoin Higgins Beverstein Jones Black (Cumberland) Knight Blackmore Knowles Macdonnell (Greenwood) Bryce Catherwood McLure Charlton Nickle Churchill Noseworthy Coldwell Pearkes Ross (Souris) Dion Shaw Fair Fairclough, Mrs. Stewart (Winnipeg North) Fournier (Hull) Thatcher White (Middlesex East) Fulton Gagnon Wright-32.

Mr. Cruickshank: I was paired with the hon, member for Prince Edward-Lennox (Mr. Tustin).

[Mr. Speaker.]

Larson

Mr. Quelch: I was paired with the hon. member for Winnipeg South (Mr. Mutch). Had I voted, I would have voted against the motion.

Mr. Johnston: I was paired with the honmember for Halton (Mr. Cleaver). Had I voted, I would have voted against the motion.

Mr. Cardiff: I was paired. Had I voted, I would have voted against the motion.

Motion agreed to and bill read the second time.

JOHN HELLMAN

Mr. H. W. Winkler (Lisgar) moved the second reading of Bill No. 13, for the relief of John Hellman.

Mr. Stanley Knowles (Winnipeg North Centre): Mr. Speaker, may I point out that this is the first of the bills on the order paper tonight in connection with which we have received the evidence. It has been distributed and is in the hands of hon. members. I have taken the trouble to read it. There are very unhappy elements in this story. The feature of it that seems most unfortunate is that this couple did live together nineteen years; but the circumstances are such that I feel the members of the Senate committee were justified in recommending the divorce. Regardless of what others may feel about it, in this case I will vote for the bill.

Mr. Speaker: Is it the pleasure of the house to adopt the motion?

Mr. Fournier (Hull): On division.

Mr. Fulton: On division.

Motion agreed to and bill read the second time.

MYRTLE JESSE MARIE GANGIN DIT GILMORE COONEY

Mr. H. W. Winkler (Lisgar) moved the second reading of Bill No. 14, for the relief of Myrtle Jesse Marie Gangin dit Gilmore Cooney.

Mr. R. R. Knight (Saskatoon): This bill is one in regard to which the evidence has been distributed. The Senate committee which studied it apparently went carefully into the There are four children involved in this particular divorce, and perhaps that is the sadness of the occasion. It is too, I notice, a mixed marriage. It is interesting to note that of the five cases in respect to which the evidence has been distributed, on looking at the religious denominations in each particular case, I found an amazing situation. Five different religious denominations were represented. I merely make that remark in passing.