

the interesting group of Unionist Free Traders without an organ in the London daily press. It is singular and significant of the power and distribution of the protectionist movement that all the penny morning papers of London are now defending opinions which, as every election shows, are repugnant to the majority of the electorate. Even worse than the silencing of this solitary "Free Food" paper in the ranks of London daily journalism is that it is another overthrow of the older journalism before the avalanche of the sensational Press. It is melancholy and ominous to see the development of the worst kind of newspaper control and the dangerous power which is passing into fewer and less scrupulous hands. Mr. Pearson and Sir Alfred Harmsworth have absorbed newspaper after newspaper. Mr. Pearson now controls two morning papers and one evening paper in London, two papers in Newcastle, two in Birmingham and one in Leicester. Sir Alfred Harmsworth and his brothers possess or control a still larger collection. One old Liberal provincial paper in Newcastle fell into the hands of Mr. Pearsons, another in Leeds into the hands of Sir Alfred Harmsworth. The chief characteristics of these papers are well known. They do not represent any serious opinion or enthusiasm but live on the public appetite for sensation; they respect and care for nothing but financial success. They are the real Yellow Peril.

**A** BLUE book of rather unusual interest has been distributed from the printing bureau, under the authority of the minister of agriculture, compiled by

Mr. Geo. F. O'Halloran, deputy minister of agriculture. It relates to the Canadian Archives, and contains a list of the pamphlets on file. Most of these are rare and to have this list in permanent form is of great value to all those who may have occasion to refer to them.

**MR. F. WAYLAND GLEN** was a member of the Canadian parliament about twenty years ago, and the Montreal Gazette of the 23rd, commenting on his arrest for non-payment of a type-writer bill incurred in connection with material advocating the union of Canada with the United States, speaks of him as "an ex-Liberal member of parliament". When does a man cease to be an ex-member? The effort to associate the Liberal party of Canada with a disloyal movement is persistent, yet we have the fact that the only political leader in Canada who was an "ex" annexationist was the leader of the Conservative party, and the rebel upon whose head was set a price became a Conservative cabinet minister. The fact is that the Liberal party in Canada is the loyal party and any effort to raise a prejudice against them on this score reacts to the disadvantage of the party making that kind of a campaign. The result of the recent elections prove this, if nothing more. The Conservative party put Lord Dundonald at the head of their forces as symbolizing the Union Jack and British connection, with the result that Lord Dundonald was turned down good and hard. But the Union Jack was hoisted over the parliament buildings just the same a few days later upon the occasion of the King's birthday.